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#### Abstract

In January 1982, the Wake County Board of Education adopted The Schools of Choice Programs. The stated objectives of the Programs were: (1) to improve facility utilization systemwide, (2) to establish a consistent organizational pattern of schools ( $\mathrm{K}-5$, 6-8, and 9-12) county-wide, (3) to racially balance student populations of schools, (4) to provide a more effective and economical transportation system, (5) to improve educational programs through expanded curricula, (6) to provide equity of educational opportunity, and (7) to provide for increased parental participation. This report is an evaluation of these objectives and of questionnaires evaluating the Programs sent to teachers, students, and parents. The objectives, program descriptions, student achievement, and attitudes toward the programs are discussed, and a summary is presented. (Data presented should be considered as a base for.a longitudinal study.) (PN)
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In January 1982, the Wake County Board of Education adopted The Schools of Choice $\therefore$ ams, Prior to this time the school system had been experiencing overcrowding in some ols and under-utilization of others; racial imbalance of student bodies; inequity of educational rtunity between schools. The absence of a long-range plan for student assignment and facility 's obvio'is. The adoption of The Schools of Choice Programs was an attempt to solve these F ns. The stated objectives of the Programs were:

To improve facility utilization systemwide.
2 . Fo establish a consistent organizational pattern of schools (K-5, 6-8, and 9-12) county-wide.
3. To racially balance student populations of schools.
i. To provide a more effective and economical transportation system.
s. To improve educational programs through expanded curricula.
6. To provide equity of educational opportunity.

ᄀ. To provide for increased parental participation.
The Schools of Choice Programs were implemented in September !982. After one year of operation it would be presumptious to suggest that the data are conclusive as to whether or not these programs are successful. However, one can look at trends after one year and gain insight as to improvements to be made as the programs enter a second year. This should be considered a oneyear progress report of a three-year study.

In an effort to obtain an evaluation of The Schools of Choice Programs and insure that data be reviewed by an uninterested party, an Advisory Committee was selected with representatives from the schoci system and experts in curriculum and research from the area universities. Technical assistance was secured from consultants having expertise in educational research, including the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, Department of Research. The names of committee members can be found on preceeding pages. This advisory group developed a design for evaluation of The Schools of Choice Programs which is found in Appendix I of the evaluation document. Considerable discussion and deliberation led to the formulation of this evaluation plan.

The research design calls for the evaluation of the objectives of The Schools of Choice Programs which are listed on page one. Therefore, the data which have been gathered and analyses of these data are presented as measures of The Schools of Choice Programs objectives.

## Limitations of This Study

The Advisory Committee suggested that evaluation of a program of this magnitude would probably take about three years and further that it should involve longitudinal assessment of the program over a three-year pèriod. This was based on the assumption that the implementation year requires many changes and thus may not occar as smoothly as subsequent years. These occurances make interpretation of the data difficult. Since evaluation procedures were not decided upon until eight months after initial implementation of the programs, the design was limited to collection of data which was already available in some cases.

There was a desire to know how the consuming public-teachers, students, and parents evaluated the Schools of Choice Programs. Questionnaires were developed and presented to these three groups. There was not sufficient time prior to the closing of the school year to field test these instruments. Since the entire population was surveyed, it was felt this data gave an accurate indication of evaluative responses of the consuming groups.

Some difficulty was experienced in matching test scores of students over a three-year period due to the varying formats of the research tapes and lack of sufficient identifying information. The result was a match of fewer scores than was optimal, but it appears this error was random and thus the test results may be interpreted with confidence.

OBJECTIVE 1: To Improve Facility Utilization Systemwide. Over the past several years, the Wake County Public School System had experienced a gradual deciine in the number of students enrolled in its schools. Total enrollment dropped from 55,649 in 1976 to 53,322 in 1982, based on the 20 -day enrollinent figures. Wake County, however, has grown in population, increasing from 229,006 in 1970 to 301,327 in 1980. Raleigh's population has increased from 122,830 to 150,255 during the same period. Simultaneously, neighborhoods within the beltine have "aged-out." This means that a larger percentage of citizens with schoolage children moved into areas outside the beltine. This population shift in size and location has dramatically affected the use of school buildings. Existing housing patterns encouraged under-utilization of inner-city schools and overcrowding in the Northwest Raleigh-Wake County, Cary, and Apex areas. It was hoped that The Schools of Choice Programs established in under-utilized schools and offering unique programs would attract students from overcrowded ones.

After one year, what progress has been made toward this objective? The Wake County Board of Education established school capacity figures simultaneously with the adoption of The Schools of Choice Programs. In order to accomplish the goal of increased facility utilization, the school administration developed an assignment plan to be implemented over a two-year period, which included 28 magnet schools. Nine schools within the city area were targeted due to underenrollment or racial inibalance of the student population. These schools were: Bugg, Conr., Hunter, Lacy, Poe, Powell, Foot, (elementary),: and Martin, and Enloe (secondary).

The school system's plan to improve facility utilization was a two-phase plan. The nine targeted schools named above, were to be filled during the first year as parents chose The Schools of Choice Programs for their children. It was planned that during phase sne some non-magnet schools would experience a decrease in enrollment and, in many cases, enrc idment was anticipated to drop below capacity figures. The second phase would entail some assignment of students from $i$ schools which continued to be over-enrolled as well as to provide available space to accommodate - anticipated future growth. The second phase was to be completed during 1983-84.

Table 1 shows a comparison of capacity to enrollment for the nine targeted schools for the two-year period 1981-82 and 1982-83.

Table 1
Capacity Versus Enrollment in Nine Targeted Schaols


The f ir schools below are treated under the reorganization objective but are also deserving of attention in this area.

|  | CAPACITY | ENROLLMENT | UTILIZATION PERCENT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1982-1983$ | $1982-1983$ |
| Ligon/Crcisby | 1,100 | 1.124 | $98 \%$ |
| Underwood | 400 | 416 | $104 \%$ |
| Washington | 500 | 440 | $88 \%$ |

Washington, Underwood and Crosby were sixth grade centers during 1981-82. Only Washington’s and Crosby's enrollments were at capacity. Washington and Ünderwood were converted to K-5 Gifted and Talented Magnet schools featured in the draw from over-enrolled schools to the innercity area. Crosby became a part of the Ligon Middle School Gifted and Talented campus to increase inner-city middle school capacity.

The objective was to be accomplished over a two-year period. Eight of the twelve schools treated under this objective reached $96 \%$ to $104 \%$ of their capacity the first year.

OBJECTIVE IY: To Establish a Consistent Organizational Pattern of Schools K-5, 6-8, and 9-12) Count. Wide. Prior to the impleınentation of The Schools of Choice Programs, the Wake County Public School System organization pattern was incensistent...Orgas izational patterns of the 'ollowing were included: K-1, K-2, K-3, 4-5, K-5, K-6, sixth grade centers, 7-8, 6-8, 7-9, 9-12, 712, 10-12. The preferred organizational pattern adopted by the Wake County Board of Education was one based on a K-5, 6-8, 9-12 gftiyping of grade levels. This plan created middle schools and eliminated sixth grade centers.

With the implementation of The Schools of Choice Programs, an organizational change was made in the following middle schools: Carroll, Daniels, East Millbrook, Ligon, Martin, and West Millorook. Due to space limitations, junior high schools were continued at North Garner, East Cary and West Cary. At the elementary level, an attempt was made to eliminite all sixth grade centers. Kingswood is the only sixth grade center which remains. Cary Elementary, Garner Elementary, Apex Elementary, Swift Creek, and Zebulon Elementary have sixth grade included in the organization. Knightdale-Lockhart, Baucom-Apex Elementary, Wendell-Carver, Lincoln Heights-Fuquay Elementary and Willow Springs continue to have an arrangement which houses grades K-5 in two schools. Six elementary schools experienced an organizational change effective for the 1982-83 school year: Crosby, Hunter, Millbrook Elementary, Underwood, Wake Forest Elementary, and Washington.

This objective has not been met completely, but considerable progress has been made toward a uniform school organization for Wake County Public Schools which is $\mathrm{K}-5,6-8,9-12$. The aforementioned enrollment changes were facilitated by The Schools of Choice Programs.

OBJECTIVE III: To Racially Balance the Student Populations. Wake County Public School System's guidelines for racial balance stipulate that minority enrollment in any school fall between 15 percent and 45 percent of the total enrollment. Schools named below had minority enrollments outside of this range for 1981-82 or 1982-83. Nine schools had minority enrollments exceeding the $45 \%$ upper limit of the range and no school showed less than $15 \%$ minority enrollment during 1981-82. As can be seen from Table 2, The Schools of Choice Programs had a positive impact upon the racial balance of the schools.

- Table 2

Schools Outside the Acceptable Racial Balance Range
1981-82
1982-83

| School | Percent Minority |  | School ${ }^{-1}$ | Percent Minority |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 81-82 | 82-83 |  | 81-82 | 82-83 |
| Enloe Sr. | 49 | 42 | Millbrook Sr. | 18 | 14 |
| Carnage Middle " | 46 | 47 | Carnage Middle | 46 | 47 |
| Ligon Junior | 51 | 39 | West Milibrook | 18 | 14 |
| Bugg Elem. | 58 | 57 | Bugg Elem. | 58 | 57 |
| Conn Elem. | 51 | 44 | Fuller Elem. | 54 | 53 |
| Fuller Elem | 54 | 53 | **Longview | 43 | 59 |
| Phillips Elem. | 99 | 63 | **Phillips | 99 | 63 |
| Powell Elem. | 48 | 38 | *Poe | 43 | 59 |
| *Wiley Elem. | 48 | 42 | **Sherwood-Bates | 42 | 48 |

[^1]Progress has been made toward achieving racial balance in all the schools in Wake County, however, some additional changes or controls may need to be implemented during the 1983-84 school year.

OBJECTIVE IV: To Provide a More Effective and Economical Transportation System. Wake County Public Schools is in the unique position in the State of transporting 40,000 students over 860 square miles. Prior to the 1982-83 school year, each senior high school served as a transportation center for their feeder schools or a group of elementary schools assigned to them. This allowed for more local control, but almost eliminated the possibility of maximizing bus utilization and effectiveness of operation.

With the coming of the 1982-83 school year, the transportation needs of The Schools of Choice Programs were added to an already complicated system. Students desiring admission to a partic alar magnet program were provided transportation if he/she were admitied to the program. It was hoped that through the use of a computerized transportation system maximum use of equipment and manpower could be achieved.

With the implementation of a computerized systern for transportation management, the number of regular buses was reduced from 598 in 1981-82 to 596 in 1982-83. The number of siudents transported daily rose, however, to slightly over 40,000 from 35,000 and this increase was accommodated by the new system.

The following facts must be considered in the evaluation of this otjective:

1. The number of miles traveled by buses increased 1,483,224 miles from 1981-82 to 1982-83.
2. The number of galions of gasoline used increased 234,437 gallons over gallons used during 1981-82.
3. The number of buses used decreased by , ).
4. The average cost per pupil per mile decreased from . 014 to .012 .
5. The average cost of transportation per student rose $\$ 3.97$ (from $\$ 147.33$ to $\$ 151.30$ ) or $2.6 \%$.
6. The increase in the number of students transported daily was in excess of 5,000 students.

The data suggest that computerized management of the transportation system has led to a feasible, effective system of busing. More students are being transported more miles than previously. The cost of transportation has increased. This objective was partially met. It appears that the transportation system is more efficient and perhaps cost effective but with more miles traveled, is more expensive.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

OBJECTIVE V: To Improve Educational Programs Through Expanded Curricula. Aiternative programming was offered in 28 magnet schools. Seventeen sciools were designated as Gifted and Talented Magnets. This included two middle schools and ene senior high. Two were International Studies Schools; five were Classical Studies Schools and five schools offered Extended Day Programs. Fuller offered both the Extended Day and Gifted and Talented option.

The Gifted and Talented alternative offered an expanded curriculum through elective courses. Electives in visual and performing arts, computer technology, science, foreign language and basics were options for elementary students. Each school surveyed its student body to determine which elective courses were of interest. Course offerings were based on student/parent interest and availability of competent instructional personnel.

Gifted and Talented Magnet programming was facilitated during an eight-period day with each period approximately 45 minutes in length. All elementary students receive instruction in four basic areas: reading and language arts, math, social studies, science and health. Basics are taught
for four periods each day. In grades kindergarten through two, Monday through Thursday, two periods are set aside for elect:ives. In grades three through five, three periods, four days each week, are available for electives. Friday afternoon is dubbed I.D.E.A.L. Day! This time is set äside for field trips, clubs, special projects and other elective activities. Gifted and Talented selected courses are available for those students having exceptional talents. Provision is made through electives for participation in Gifted and Talerted selected courses.

At the middle school level, approximately four teachers instruct students in the basic areas of reading/ language arts, math, social studies, and science/health during the eight-period day. Three periods are available to students for electives and/or GT selected courses. Elective classes may meet iive days per week, or only two, or three days per week. Electives include a majority of offrrings in academics, foreign languages, visual and performing arts, science, physical education, computer technology as well as other areas.

Enloe High School was designated as a Magnet High School. A wide range of accelerated and elective courses wer, offered. An eight-period day permitted flexible scheduling to include double periods for some laboratory courses or enrollment in a wider variety of courses: Radio, TV production, visual arts, music, drama, computer technology, advanced foreign languages, along with a variety of electives challenged Enloe students.

Classical Studies programming was offered at three elementary schools and two middle schools. This program emphasized reading, writing, speaking, science and character development. Scheduling occurred during an eight-period day or through a modified self-contained classroom setting with a double period for language arts/reading. A specific time each day was devoted to character education. Students read the classics or have them read to them. Although classes in this program are more structured than in the Gifted and Talented and International Studies Programs, some electives are offered at the middle school level.

Numerous foreign languages are offered in the International Studies Magnet including: French, Spanish, German, Chinese, and Japanese. Eight periods each day provide time for electives similar to the previo..sly described Gifted and Talented Program. Friday is International Day, when half the day is devoted to basics and the other half to activities which emphasize cultural understandings. Opportunities are also available in this program to learn computer technology and obtain instruction in the basics. The Gifted and Talented Middle School program is designed as a continuation of the elementary International Studies Program:

Extended Day Programs were established to provide quality care for children of working parents both before and after school. Parents are charged a fee for this service. Students are involved in a program after school until 6:00 p.m. which includes learning activities. Examples of these activities are physical education, clubs, scouts, carpentry/woodworking, art, cc jking, piano, and tutorial studies. Parents of the extended day students furnish transportation for their children since they do not come to school at the regular time.

## ACHIEVEMENT

As the curricula in The Schools of Choice Programs have been expanded, many observers have become concerned that stidents in these programs receive adequate time and instruction in basic courses. One method of evaluating achievement is through the use of standardized achievement tests. Several statements can be made about the test scores of all Wake County students:

1. Achievement in reading, language and math (as measured by the California Achievement Test) in Wake County is considerably above average or norm for the test.
2. Over the past three-year period, achievement soores have continúed to increase in grades 3, 4, and 5.
3. Achievement scores fluctuate at the middle grades $(6,7,8)$.
<
4. At all grade levels, achievement in math is slightly higher than in reading.
5. The question has been raised, as to whether or nat transfer out of a school to a magnet program resulted in a decrease in school-wide performance (of the sending school) from 1981-82 to 1982-83. If one follows a group of students longituđinally, their does not appear to be a consistent correlation between test scores and percentage of students who transferred out of non-magnet schools.

Achievement test scores for individual students currently enrolled in magnët programs were collected for 1981-82 and 1982-83. At grades 5 and 8, three years of scores were available, thus enabling the matching of scores over a three-year period at these grade levels. Wake County Public Schools administers the California Achievement Test, Form C, Levels 13C-18C in grades 3-0. The procedure used in this study followed the same group of students regar dless of previous school assignment. Magnet students' scores were matched for either two or three years. Méan scores were determined for each grade level by programrtype. Additionally, data were analyzed by obtaining a mean score for each quartile. The data were identified as scores for "draw" students and scores for base students. Comparisons between these two groups were obtained as mean scores for each grade level by program type. The following statements can be made regarding the achievement, as measured by a standairdized test, of students enrolled in The Schools of Choice Programs:

1. Overall, achievement of magnet school students has not changed significantly. Some losses are observed but scores are consistently above average.
2. Test scores in reading, language and math seem to drop consistently from third gradè to fourth grade whether or not the child is in a magnet program.
3. It is noteworthy that in reading and language, GT students appear to have experienced a loss from grade 3 to 4 , which was before implementation of the new program, and began to regain this loss from grade 4 to 5 which was during the year of implementation.
4. Of concern, are losses in the area of math in Elementary GT and Elementary Classical Studies Programs.
5. There are not consistent differences in achievement as to type of magnet program; however, a few trends may be emerging:
a. Students in the International Studies Program seemed to consistently experience gains in reading, language, and math. A caution here is that the numbers are small but are probably sufficient to be reliable.
b. Performance of students in Extended Day Programs is somewhat sta'le with increases in all areas.
c. Elementary Classical Studies students' scores in reading increased while their math scores decreased.
6. Students in the fourth quartile ( 75 percentile to 99 percentile) generally showed an improvement in test scores or maintained the status quo. Mean scores for quartiles one, two and three varied. In some ases there were slight gains; in others, losses. A larger number of gains are seen in reading and language and a larger number of losses in math. Students in the International Studies Program showed gains in all but a few areas in all quartiles; all consistently improved in reading and language arts.
7. Generally, the mean scores for draw students are higher than for their base counterparts except in the Extended Day and Middle Classical Studies Programs where the gap is not as wide.
8. In order to obtain baseline data in the areas of science and social studies, the

Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills, Science and Social Studies Subtests, were administered to all fourth and fifth grade students in the Wake County Public Schools in May 1983. Mean scores were calculated for students at each grade level by program type. The following observations were made regarding the performance of students in science and social studies in the various programs:
a. Science and social studies scores are generally above the national average as is true for math, language and reading achievement of students in Wake County.
b. The mean score in social studies for students enrolled in the Classical Studies Program at both grades 4 and 5 are above scores in other magnet programs, in the non-magnet schools and above the county-wide mean. This result was more pronounced in grade 5.
c. There is a difference in the science achievement of fourth grade students enrolled in the magnet programs and the regular program. The mean score of students in the non-magnet schools is higher.
d. Science achievement of fifth grade students in the Classical Srudies Magnets, Gifted and Talented Magnets, and the non-magnet schools is higher than those of the International Studies Magnets and Extended Day Magnets. Fifth grade students in the Gifted and Talented Schools scored higher.
9. Foreign language proficiency was assessed in four schools: Washington, Wendell, Underwood: G.J. Magnets; and Wiley - Ifternational Studies Magnet, as a pilot: study. Students in these schools, who had been enrolled in a foreign language elective for the entire year, were tested individually using the Foreign Service Institute Test to obtain a rating of proficiency.

The purpose of this pilot study was to determine if achievement in oral foreign language could be measured and to determine problems involved in assessment of this nature. After one year of a foreign language elective, approximately $70 \%$ of the students earned a rating indicating that they are able to use common expressions and perform in a limited manner within very predictable settings using learned phrases in the foreign language. Fifteen percent of those testers were judged to know practically none of the second language. Conversely, approximately $15 \%$ were functioning at a level indicating they knew isolated words and phrases or have achieved elementary proficiency. The grade in which the student was enrolled did not seem to be a big issue so far as achievement was concerned. Based on comments made by parents on the parent survey, some parents have an unrealistic notion as to objectives of a foreign language program in the elementary school. This is an area to be addressed.

## ATTITUDES TOWARD THE SCHOOLS OF CHOICE PROGRAMS

How do the consumers of The Schools of Choice Programs - teachers, parents, students evaluate the programs? This question was answered through focus group interviews and the collection of survey data.

## Research Procedures

The data for the attitude assessment were drawn from surveys of parents, students, and teachers, and from focused group interviews with parents and students. All parents, teachers, and students (grades 3-12) in the magnet schools were sent questionnaires that asked them to respond to a series of items about their overall assessment of the program, opinions about special issues and a series of demographic questions. The parent and student surveys were direct, simple statements asking for a "yes" or "no" response to most items. The teacher survey was obtained through use of an instrument which provided a choice of five responses. Information from these questionnaires was summarized by computer and analyzed by Dr. George Noblit. Responses were compiled by
magnet program type and student responses were categorized as base or draw. Groups of parents and students from each type of magnet program were invited to a focused group interview to elicit their perspectives. Ten were invited to each hour and a half interview. The structured interviews found in Appendix II of the evaluation document were used with all groups.

## Findings

The results of the interviews and surveys reveal a consistently positive perception of The Schools of Choice Programs' success in offering an attractive academic program. Farents, students and teachers all agreed that they would choose to participate in The Schools of Choice Programs again. All three groups perceived students enjoyed school this year, and that early implementation problems were successfully overcome.
${ }^{`}$ The interviews and surveys sought information on three major issues: First, information was sought on the adequacy of basic instruction. While most parents and stu'ents perceive the basics are adequately covered, a majority of teachers perceive that class time and materials are inadequate for the basics. Second, data was sought on electives. There is a positive perception toward the electives although scheduling of desirable electives was secn as an issue by some of each of the groups. Third, data was sought on bus transportation. In general, there is agreement by a majority of parents and students that bus transportation is adequate, even given some concerns with length of ride and overcrowding on some buses.

The findings from sarvey and interview data indicate a generally positive assessment of the various magnet programs. The interpretation of the data summarized below indicates departures of programs from the generally positive pattern.

## Senior High, Gifted and Talented Program

Enloe studens, parents and teachers were generally quite positive of the magnet rrogram. Students expressed concern over the six minutes allowed between classes, but others wanted longer class periods. Parents expressed both concern over basics and also general satisfaction with delivery. Teachers expressed disagreement that students choose a baianced schedule. They also expressed some concern that basics were adequately covered. But as a group, they were supportive of the magnet program and believed instruction to be adequately provided. As one student at Enloe stated, "The Program was excellent, I didn't expect so much."

## Elementary Gifted and Talented Program

Parents, students, and teachers were positive about the program and most parents would repeat the experience. Most parenis, however, considered the equity approach to be desirable. Some parents expressed concern with schedules, electives, and the bus ride. Students were very positive about the magnet program, although the bus ride remains an object of concern.

## Middle Gifted and Talented Program

The findings from students, parents and teachers were positive but conditioned by several concerns. Students were more vocal in their complaints about the school especially regarding bus transportation. Draw students tended to be more positive about the program than base. Parents were highly positive but base less so than draw. Parents did express concern with implementation, elective offerings, and coordination with the high school programs. Teachers in the middle gifted and talented program were among the least enthusiastic supporters of the program. Their concerns tended to center on isšues of planning time and preparation, curriculum and coverage of basics, and adequacy of materials for electives.

Overall, the interpretation of survey and interview data reveal a very positive perception by students, parents and teachers. Teachers appear to like being in the program. This positive attitude is shared by' the students and parents. In the interview, parents expressed few dislikes. Among students, a primary complaint was the length of the bus ride, but most were enthusiastic about the program in the interview and positive in the survey.

## Middie Classical Program

Like their middle gifted and talented counterparts, middle classical students, teachers, and parents exhibit conditional support of the program. Parents were quite positive about the schools - especially the structure and emphasis on basics. They expressed some concern over elective offerings. Most parents said they would select this school again if they had the opportunity. Middle classical teachers were the least positive about the magnet program among, teacher groups. They expressed. less satisfaction with materials and coverage of basics, the adequacy of existing curriculum, and students' schedules and behavior. Students were less positive about the middle classical program than other magnet student groups. They expressed concern about the strictness of rules, class length, time between classes, course offerings and schedules. As summarized by one student: "School was different. I learned more in language arts." Añother student said of classes, "Some were fun. Some weren't."

## International Elementary Program

As a group, the students were positive about the program, but like elementary students generaily, were less positive about the bus ride. Parents expressed particufar concern over the distance of bus transportation and general facilities, but this group of parents were generally positive about the program, instruction, schedule and electives. These parents were among the least satisfied with their child's progress. Nine out of ten parents would, however, repeat the experience. Teachers were generally positive about the program, but expressed some concern regarding ciass time and coverage for the basics.

## Extended Day Program

This program is designed to provide extended activities for children of working parents. Parents like the program and concept very much. Parents from draw areas were more positive than parents in base areas. Many of the "base" parents do not see this program as a magnet. Students report generally liking the program offered, but would not choose to be in the program. As one student indicated, "I have to be in it." Extended Day teachers were among the least enthusiastic of teacher groups perhaps because the magnet program is not part of the regular curriculum, however, no significant dislikes about the program were expressed. In general, parents - and to less extent, teachers and students - favor the program and are satisfied with its delivery.

## Summary of Expanded Curricula Objective

Expanded curricula were offered in 28 magnet schools. One year after implementation, the data do not support the notion that achievement in the magnet schools is drastically different from that in the non-magnets. Most parents, teachers and students responded positively concerning all the magnet programs. Less support was evident at the middle school level. A majority of parents, teachers, and students said they would choose the same magnet program again if that were an of n .

OBJECTIVE VI: To Provide Equity of. Educational Opportunity. One of the major purposes of The Sinools of. Choice Programs was to increase facility usage and achieve racial balance in particular schools. These schools, for the most part, were located within the beltline. As previously described, the curriculum at the elementary gifted and talented magnets was expanded to provide offerings in an array of content and special interest areas. The structure of the elementary school was modified to accommodate elective courses. Parental desire for these classes led to the school administration placing the elementary gifted and talented programs in schools accessible to students living in all areas of the county. Gifted and Talented Magnet Programs were established in eight schools outside the beltline - Apex Elementary, Baucom, Carver, Fuquay Elementary, Lincoln Heights, Wendell, Wake Forest Elementary, and Zebulon Elementary. Since the rationale for placing the program at these schools was one of equal educational opportunity, these became known as. "equity" programs.

Equity programs did not have "draw" students assigned to them. This was the major difference between the magnet schools inside the beltline and those outside the beltline. In all other aspects - personnel, program, funding - the equity programs were comparable to other gifted and talented programs. The elective scheduling is the same in all gifted and talented magnets, but the actual electives provided varied from school to school depending upon the interests and requests of students and their parents. Personnel were assigned and materials and equipment were provided to a particular school based upon the students' and parents' requests for electives. Personnel, materials and equipment needs differed slightly in all gifted and talented magnets. This was true regardless of location - inside or outside the beltline. Slight differences in courses and the accompanying support was also evident, but there was no apparent difference. due to equity.

Personnel and materials were provided sufficiently to implement The Schools of Choice Programs. An opportunity for similar (equitable) educational programs was offered for students to all parents in Wake County and this objective was met.

OBJECTIVE VII: To Provide for Increased Parental Participation. Each magnet schoool held one or more parent orientation meetings for the purpose of familiarizing parents with the magnet concept. At these meetings, the concept of electives was explained. In the Gifted and Talented and International Studies Magnets, the scheduling process necessitated the involvement of the parents. Parents assisted the child in course selection and signed the schedule before it became final. Thus, parents of students in the above magnet programs were more personally involved in their child's scheduling than had previously been the case.

Other areas of parent participation in all schools are PTA membership and activities sponsored by parents and parent yolunteers. Whether or not a school had a magnet program did not seem to affect parent participation in these categories. Data of this type gpt 1981-82 was not available and therefore, no comparisons can be drawn.

It appears that this objective was accomplished, but through involvement with selection of a c'ass schedule twice per year and not through participation in general parent activities.

## SUMMARY

What progress :has been màde toward meeting the objectives of the Schools of Choice Programs?

Facility utilization ranged from $96 \%$ to $104 \%$ in eight of the twelve schools targeted for improvement in the ratio of capacity to enrollment. This completed part one of a two phase plan. Crganizational patterns were changed in six middle schools, six elementary schools and four senior high schools to bring a consistent pattern of organization of K-5, 6-9, 9-12 to schcols in Wake County. Only three junior highs, one sixth grade center and two senior highs continue to have organizational patterns other than the preferred organization adopted by the Wake County Board of Education.

Racial balance was accomplished in five of the nine schools out of balance during the 1981-82 school year. Some improvement was made toward obtaining racial balance in three others.

A computerized system of transportation management has been installed. The average cost of transportation increased \$3.97. per student and more than 5,000 additional students were transported. The computerized system provided transportation to the Schools of Choice Programs but the cost has risen.

Alternative programming was offered in 28 magnet schools. Parents and students 'demonstrated a high degree of interest in the Gifted and Talented, International Studies, Classical Studies and Extended Day Magnet schools. Gifted and Talented programs were offered in eight schools outside the beltline to provide equity of educational opportunity.

Some concern was expressed by parents, teachers and students regarding the length of classes, number of elective offerings and bus transportation, but in general these three groups were supportive of the magnet programs. The scheduling process in the magnet schools necessitated that parents become more involved.

Monies for implementation of the Schools of Choice Programs came from three sources: local funds, state funds, and grants and gifts from federal, state and private sources. Several attempts have been made to determine the amount of funds which were spent to implement the Schools of Choice Programs. A separate accounting for implementation cost was not maintained. Per pupil allotments to schools are based on the number of students enrolled in a school and were the same for magnet and non-magnet schools.

The Division of Maintenance and Operations was able to identify the following projects and accompanying costs as directly related to implementation of the magnet programs:

PROGRAM
Dance
Audio/Video-Media
Extended Day - Lockers
Art
Science
Drama
tOTAL

NO. OF PROJECTS
14 6

3
1
3
3

ESTIMATED COST
\$. 64,713
25,421
2,100
3,876
13,003
4,362
S113,475

As stated above, granis represented a third source of funds for magnet school expenditures. There were ninc sources of revenue from which support was given to the development/implementation of the magnet school programs providing a total of $\$ 773,899.40$ as follows:

| Basic Skills Program | \$ 10.330.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bilingual Education | 20,878.00 |
| Computeronics | 8,359.00 |
| Creative Learning through Arts and Social Studies | 22,498.00 |
| Digital Equipment Corporation | 140,000.00 |
| ECIA Chapter 1 (Educationally Disadvantaged) | 58,874.40 |
| ECIA Competitive Grant | 108,000.00 |
| Education Consolidation and Improvement Act | 382,716.00 |
| The Transitional Program for Refugee Children | 22.244.00 |
| TOTAL GRANTS | \$773,899.40 |

The implementation of the Schools of Choice Programs necessitated additional personnel in some schools. Generally, these were home-school coordinators and persons to teach foreign language. Monies in the amount of $\$ 323,825$ were provided from grants listed above to support these additional positions.

Data presented here should be čonsidered as a base for a longitudinal study. Through a study over time the effects of permanent change become evident.
an evaidiatian of the
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In January 1982, the Wake County Board of Education adopted the Schools of Cncice Program. Prior to this time the school systen had been experiencing overcrowding in some schools and under-utilization of others; racial imbalance of student bodies; inequity of educational opportunity between schools. The absence of a long-range plan for student assigrment and facility use was obvious. The adoption of The Schools of Choice Programs was an attempt to solve these problems. The stated objectives of the Programs were:

1. To improve facility utilization systenwide.
2. To establish a consistent organizational pattern of schools (K-5, 6-8, and 2-12) county-wide.
3. To racially balance student populations of schools.
4. To provide a more effective and economical transportation system.
5. To improve educational programs through expanded curricula.
6. To provide equity of educational opportumity.
7. To provide for increased parental participation.

The Schools of Choice Programs were implemented in September 1982. After one year of operation it would be presumptious to suggest that the data are conclusive as to whether or not these programs are successful. However, one can look at trends after one year and gain insight as to improvements to be made as the programs enter a second year. This should bet considered a one-year progress report of a three-year study.

Several options for obtaining an evaluation of The Schools of Choice Program were considered by the administration. The evaluation could have been conducted internally or externally. An evaluation by an agency outside of the school system would provide a disinterested perspective. Time and money were issues. No funds had been budgeted to finance this evaluation. The Wake Board of Education was interested in having an evaluation by the sumer of 1983. External evaluators indicated that due to the massive amount of data to be collected and their schedules, it world be impossibe to begin data collection in "tay and present an evaluation in late summer. Most felt that a longitudinal. study would yield more reliable information.

In an effort to obtain an evaluation of The Schools of Choice Program and insure that data be reviewed in an unbiased fashion, an Advisory Committee was selected with representatives from the school system and experts in curriculum and research from the area universities as well as the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, Department of Research. The names of comittee members appears on the preceeding pages. This advisory group developed a plan for evaluation of The Schools of Choice Prograns which is found in Appendix I. Considerable discussion and deliberation led to the formulation of this evaluation plan. It was considered the most responsible approach to conducting an evaluation, given the constraints of time and effort, needed to conduct an evaluation of a program of this scope.

## Iimitations of this Study

The Advisory Comittee suggested that evaluation of a program of this magnitude would probably take about three years and further that it
should irvolve longitudinal assessment of the program over at least a three-year period of time. This was based onlthe assumption that the implementation year requires many changes and thus the program may not operate as smoothly as in subsequent years and a change is truly a change only when it stands the test of time. These occurrences make interpretation of the data difficult. Since evaluation procedures were not decided upon until eight months after initial implementation of the programs the design was limited to collection and analysis of data which were already available.

## Evaluation of Objectives

The research design developed by the Advisory Comittee called for the evaluation of the School Soard's objectives of The Schools of Choice Programs which are listed on page one. Therefore, the data which have been gathered and the analyses of these data will be presented as measures of The Schools of Choice Program objectives.

Objective I: To Improve Facility Utilization Systemwide. Over the past several years the Wake County School System had experienced a gradual decline in the number of students, enrolled in its schools. Total enrollmen: dropped from 55,649 in 1976 to 53,322 in 1982, based an the 20-day enrollmer figures. Presently, the largest classes are found at the middle and senior high school levels, foreteliing the coming of smaller enrollments at these levels in a few years as the elementary students move up.

Wake county, however, has grown in population, increasing from 229,006 in 1970 tio 301,327 in 1980. Raleigh's population also has increase from 122,830 to 150,255 during the same period. The population increase
has been the result of migration from other areas of the Research Triangle Park and surrounding areas. Numerous new housing developnents"can be found in the northern, western, and northwestern parts of the county. Simultaneously, neighborhoods within the beltline have "aged-out." This means that a larger percentage of citizens with school-age children moved into areas outside the beltline. This population shift in size and location has dramatically affected the use of school buildings. Existing housing patterns encouraged under-utilization of imer-city schools and overcrowding in the northwest Paleigh - Wake County, Cary and Asex areas. It was hoped that The Schools of Choice Programs established in under-utilized schools and offering unique programs would attract students from overcrowded ones.

After one year, what progress has been made toward this objective?

The Wake County Board of Education established school capacity figures simultaneously with the adoption of The Schools of Choice Program. Capacity figures for all șchools are shown in Table I for 1981-82 and 1982-83. Age and condition of the building, plant layout, grade level, and whether or not programs are housed there that demand additional space were considerations other than square footage in the ditermination of capacity for the schools. Thus Table I indicates the percentage capacity based on the aEove capacity determination which was then compared to enrollments for 1981-82 and 1982-83.

In order to accomplish the goal of increased facility utilization, the school administration developed an assignment plan which included 28 magnet schools to be implemented over a two-year period. Nine schools
within the city area were targeted due to under-enrollment or racial imbalance of the student population. These schools were: Bugg, Comn, Hunter, Lacy, Poe, Powell, Poot, (elementary); Martin and Enloe (secondary).

Nineteen other schools were designated to house Schools of Choice programs to meet other objectives. Racial imbalance was evident at Iigon, Fuller and Wiley (falling outside the 15 to 45 percent minority range). Washington and Inderwood were corverted to a K-5 organization from sixth grade centers. Grosby and Ligon were combined to form a middle school. All other fifted and Talented, Intemational Studies, and Classical Studies programs were located strategically in order to make magnet programing accessible to students in all areas of the county. This included programs outside the beltline such as Fuquay Elementary, Wake Forest Elementary, Apex Elementary, Baucom, Carver, Lincoln Heights, Wendell Elementary and Zebulon Elementary. Middle school Classical Studies programs were established at Carroll and Camage to provide a contimn ${\underset{\varepsilon}{l}}^{\text {Cof }}$ Classical Studies programming. Additionally, the Extended Day programs were scattered throughout the city and placed in locations which world be convenient for working parents. They were located at Coubs, Olds, Prillips, Fuller and Joyner.

The school systen's plan to improve facility utilization was a two phased plan. The ten targeted schools named above, were to be filled drring the first year as parents chose The Schools of Choice programs for their children. It was plamed that drring phase one some non-magnet schools would experience a decrease in enrollment and in many cases enrolld was anticipated to drop below capacity figures. The second phase would entail some assignment of students from schools which contimued to be overenrolled as well. as to leave available space to accomodate anticipated futh growth. The second phase was to be colppleted dring 1983-84.
higal sciock orcanization
AND FACILITY USACE 1981-82; 1982-83
table 1


AND FACILITY ISACF. 1981-82; 1982-83
TARIE 1

|  | ORGANIZATION |  | Capacity |  | 20-UAY ENROLIMENT |  | ENROLLAERT TO capacity ratio |  | EMINORITIT |  | COTHENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | i981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 |  |
|  | *6-8 | 7-9 | 800 | 800 | 909 | 647 | 114\% | $81 \%$ | 17.5 | 17 | Sixth grade moved to elementary in 1982-93 during renovation |
| dle | 6-8 | 6-8 | 850 | 850 | 837 | 772 | 98\% | 91\% | 46.3 | 47 |  |
| die | *7-9 | 6-8 | 975 | 975 | 1:0:3 | 1,045 | 107\% | 107\% | 26.5 | 25 | Organization changed to 6-3 |
| dle | *7-9 | 6-8 | 975 | 975 | - 939 | 909 | 96\% .. | -93\%'. | 26.6 | 28 | Organization changed to 6-8 |
| Inior | 7-9 | 7-9 | 975 | 975 | 1,036 | 1,027 | 106\% | 105\% | 23.9 | 23 |  |
| - Juntor | 6-7 | 6-7 | 950 | 950 | 898 | 883 | 95\% | 93\% | 21.5 | 27 |  |
| ook Jr. | *7-9 | 6-8 | 975 | 975 | 1.127 | 1,200 | 116\% | 123\% | 24.5 | 28 | Organization changed to 6-3 |
| 11 e | 6-8 | 6-8 | 675 | 675 | 598 | 611 | 89\% | 91\% | 29.9 | 28 |  |
| e | *7-9 | 6-8 | 850 | 1,100 | 800 | 1,124 | 94\% | 98\% | 51.3 | 39 | Orgailzation changed to 6-8 |
| 11 e | *6-9 | 6-8 | 900 | 950 | 790 | 951 | 98\% | 104\% | 26.2 | 32 | Capacity adjustment for GT Mapret |
| r J . | 8-9 | 8-9 | 1,080 | 1,080 | 985 | 1,010 | 91\% | 94\% | 26 | 25 |  |
| : Middle | 6-8 | 6-8 | 900 | 900 | 676 | 606 | 75\% | 67\% | 30.5 | 31 |  |
| Juntor | 7-9 | 7-9 | 700 | 700 | 660 | 665 | 94\% | 95\% | 24.3 | 23 |  |
| cook Hildle | *7-9 | 6-8 | 975. | 975 | 1,195 | 1,219 | 123\% | 125\% | 17.8 | 14 |  |
| Wle | -6-3 | 6-8 | 975 | 975 | 883 | 902 | 91\% | 93\% | 30.4 | 31 |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |

## EAmTARY SCMOL OPFANLZATIOI

AND FACILITY LSAKE 1981-92; 1982-83
table 1

| 1004 | ORCANIzATIOH |  | Capaity |  | 20-day enrollment |  | ENROLLMENT TO CAPACITY Ratio |  | - MIRORITY |  | COHMERTS - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 600 | 600 | 620 | 573 | 103\% | 96\% | 25.7 | 24 | . |
|  | 4-6 | 4-6 | 550 | 625 | 419 | 615 | 76\% | 98\% | 25.8 | 23 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 400 | 400 | 509 | 479 | 127\% | 120\% | 29 | 27. |  |
|  | K-3 | K-3 | 550 | 500 | 468 | 511 | 85\% | 1027 | 31.3 | 30 | C.T. Magnet 1982-83 |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 650 | 650 | 546 | 520 | 84\% | 80\% | 27.5 | 35 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 550 | 550 | 628 | 625 | 114\% | 114\% | 23.1 | 19 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 600 | 600 | 548 | 482 | 91\% | 80\%. | 28.6 | 36 |  |
| Igon) | K-5 | K-5 | 425 | 425 | 312 | 407 | 73\% | 96\% | 57.8 | 57 | Classical Magnet 1982-83 |
|  | K-6 | K-6 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 935 | 900 | 94\%\% | 90\% | 19.6 | 21 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 525 | 525 | 375 | 374 | 71: | 71\% | 32.7 | 32 | Extended Day Magret 1982-83, |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 475 | 400 | 346 | 412 | 73\% | 103\% | 51.6 | 44 | G.T. Magnet 1982-83; reduced by 75 |
|  | *6 | 6-8 | 370 | e |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weame part of Ligan 6-9, 1992-33 |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 550 | 550 | 507 | 368 | 92:\% | 67\% | 34.9 | 43 | - |
| n Woods | K-5 | K-5 | 625 | 625 | 668 | 653 | 107\% | 105\% | 17.4 | 21 |  |
|  | - K-5 | K-5 | 325 | 325 | 347 | 318 | 107: | - $98 \%$ | 53.7 | 53 | Extended Day E: G.T. Yagnet 1992-83 |
|  | 4-5 | 4-5 | 550 | 500 | 381 | 358 | 69\%, | - 72:', | 34.1 | 31 | G.T. Iagnet; Capacity reduced 50 in 1982-83 |
|  | K-6 | K-b | 1,100 | 1,100 | 912 | 894 | 93\% | 81\% | 35 | 36 |  |

$\infty$

Elatariary salool orcantization
ANTI FACILITY USACE: 1981-82; 1992-83
TABLE I

| 02 | ORCANLIATION |  | Capacity |  | 20-DAY EAROLLMENT |  | EMROLLDENTTOCAPACITY RATIO |  | PINORITYEHROLIMENT |  | COHAENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 |  |
| ove | K-5 | K-5 | 500 | 500 | 512 | 421 | 102\% | 84\% | 23 ' | 33 |  |
|  | * ${ }_{\text {k }}-6$ | K-5 | 600 | 500 | 463 | 447 | 77\% | 8\%\% | 35 | 42 | G.T. Iagnet; Capacity reduced 100 in 1982-83 |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 550 | 550 | 1,136 | 564 | 207\% | 103.' | 15.3 | 20 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 450 | 450 | 327 | 345 | 73: | 77: | 38.1 | 39 | Extended Day Iagnet 1992-93 |
| ghts | 6 | 6 | 350 | 350 | 334 | 311 | 95\% | 89\% | 20.1 | 24 |  |
|  | K-2 | K-2 | 350 | 600 | 442 | 422 | 126:\% | 70\% | 25.7 | 25 | New achool building 198?-83 |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 525 | 525 | 400 | 415 | 76:\% | $79 \%$ | 38.3 | 40 | Classical Magnet 1983-83 |
|  | K-3 | K-3 | 600 | 550 | 498 | 473 | 83\% | 86:" | 36.3 | 37 | G.T. Mapnet; Capacity reduced by 50 in 1982-83 |
|  | 3-5 | 3-5 | 520 | 520 | 514 | 442 | 99: | 85\% | 26 | 26 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 350 | 350 | 232 | 217 | 66:' | 62\% | 43.4 | 59 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 725 | 725 | 692 | 791 | 95\% | 109\% | 25.1 | 22 |  |
|  | * ${ }^{\text {-6 }}$ | K-5 | 950 | 950 | 843 | 636 | B9:', | 67\% | 29.4 | 40 |  |
|  | K-5 | Closed | 150 |  | 136 |  |  |  | 16.7 |  | - |
|  | K-5 | 1:-5 | 600 | 600 | 754 | 677 | 126:" | 113\% | 21.7 | 23 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 600 | 600 | 484 | 437 | 81:\% | 73: | 19.5 | 20 |  |
|  | K-5 | k-5 | 350 | 350 | 355 | 324 | 101:\% | 93\%. | 40.7 | 35 | Extended Day Magnet |
|  | K-5 | 'r-5 | 150 | 350 | 198 | 197 | $57{ }^{\circ}$ | 36\% | 98.4 | 63 | Extended Day Lagnet |

## of Choice Program
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Ementary samol orcanzation
AHD FACILITY USACE 1981-82; 1982-83
TABLE 1

| Hoole | ORGAMIZATIOH |  | CAPACITY |  | 20-dAY EnROLLMENT |  | ERROLLAERTT TO capacity ratio |  | STIMORTITENROLLMENT |  | COHHENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 |  |
| cates | K-5 | K-5 | 470 | 470 | 336 | 375 | 71:\% | 80\% | 42.1 | 47 | Intemational Studies Magnet 1992 |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | . 345 | 310 | 251 | 307 | 72\% | 99\% | 48 | 38 | G.T. Magnet: Capacity reduced by 3! |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 690 | 600 | 504 | 433 | 84\% | 72\% | 39.5 | 43 | ; |
|  | K-S | K-5 | 375 | 375 | 268 | 375 | 71\% | 100\% | 33.3 | 31 | , |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 425 | 425 | 368 | 292 | 87\% | 69 | 42 | 48 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-S | 600 | 600 | 546 | 457 | 91\% | 76\% | 29.9 | 22 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 500 | 500 | 432 | 386 | 86\% | 77\% | 43.6 | 43 |  |
| k | K-6 | K-6 | 595 | 595 | 530 | 447 | 89\% | 75.\% | 30 | 30 |  |
|  | * 6 | K-5 | 550 | 400 | 413 | 416 | 75\% | 104\%, | 24.1 | 21 | G.T. Magnet; Capacity reduced by students. Organization changed $t$ K-5, 1982-83 |
|  | K-S | K-S | 150 | 150 | 304 | 2.60 | 205\% | 173\% | 17.3 | 20 |  |
|  | K-5 | K-5 | 600 | 600 | 558 | 492 | 93\% | 82\% | 27.4 | 27 |  |
| [ | *K-6 | K-S | 900 | 825 | 805 | 788 | 89\% | 96\% | 28.3 | 26 | G.T. Magnet 1982-83; Capacity reduced by 75 . State identifled G.T. Slxth grade removed. |
|  | * 6 | K.-5 | 750 | 500 | 713 | 440 | 95\% | 88\% | 34.9 | 38 | G.T. Magnet; K-5 organization in 1982-83; Capacity reduced by 250 |
| rver | K-5 | K-5 | 800 | 725 | 792 | 508 | 98\% | 70\% | 33.8 | 33 | G.T. Yagnet: Capacity reduced by 75 students 1932-83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EIMTITARY SGIOML OMRNIZATIOT

AND FACILITY USACE 1981-82; 1982-83
TABLE 1
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Table 2 shows a comparison of capacity to eniollment for the rine targeted schools for the two year period 1981-82 and 1982-83:

Table 2
Capacity Versus Errollment in Nine Targeted Schools


The forr schools below are treated under the reorganization objective but are also deserving of attention in this area.

|  | Capacity | Enrollment | $\frac{\text { Utilization Percent }}{1982-1983}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ligon/Crosby | 1,100 | 1,124 | 98\% |
| Underwood | 400 | 416 | 104\% |
| Washington | 500 | 440 | 88\% |

Washington, Underwood and Crosby were sixth grade centers trring 1981-82. Only Washington's and Crosby's enrollments were at capacity. Washington and Underwood were converted to K-5 Gifted and Talented Magnet schools featured in the draw from over emrolled schools to the inner city area. Crosby became
a part of the Ligon Middle School Gifted and Talented campus to increase inner city middle school capacity.

This objective was to be accomplished over a two-year period. Eight of the twelve schools treated under this objective reached $96 \%$ to $104 \%$ of their capacity the first year.

Objective II: To Establish a Consistent Organizational Pattem of Schools (K-5, 6-8, and 9-12) Cornty-Tide. Prior to the implementation of the Schools of Choice Programs the Wake County School System organizational pattem was inconsistent. Organizational pattems of the following were included: $K-1$, $K-2, K-3,4-5, K-5, K-6$, sixth grade centers, $7-8,6-8,7-9,9-12,7-12$, and 10-12. The preferred organizational pattern adopted by the Wake County Board of Education was one based an a $k-5,6-8$, and $9-12$ grouping of grade levels. This plan created middle schools and eliminates sixth grade centers.

Table I lists the grade organization of each school for 1981-82 and 1982-83. 1982-83 was the first year of inplementation of the Schools of Choice Programs. Data is also presented for the year which preceded implementation of the Schools of Choice Prograns (1981-82). An asterisk beside the level indicates, that a change has occurred. Four senior high schools which previously had a 10-12 grade organization now have a 9-12 grade level organization. Due to space considerations, Cary Senior High and Gamer Senior High contime to have a 10-12 organization while Zebulon Senior High has a 7-12 organization.

An organizational change was made in the following middle schools: Carroll, Demiels, East Millbrook, Ligon, Martin, and West Millbrook Middle. Due to the space limitations mentioned above, junior high schools were continued at North Gamer, East Cary and West Cary.

At the elementary level an attempt was made to eliminate all sixth grade centers. At this point, Kingwood is the only sixth grade center which remains. Cary Elementary, Gamer Elementary, Apex Elementary, Swift Creek, and Zebulon Elementary have a sixth grade included in the organization. (See Table I). Rrightdale-Lockhart, Baucom-Apex Elementary, Wendell-Carver, Lincoln Heights-Fuquay Elementary and Willow Springs continue to have an arrangement which houses grades $\mathrm{K}-5$ in two schools. Six elementary schools experienced an organizational change effective for the 1982-83 school year: Crosby, Hunter, Millbrook Elenentary, Underwood, Wake Forest Elenentary, and Washington.

This objective has not been met completely, but considerable progress has been made toward a uniform school organization for Wake County Schools which is $K-5,6-8,9-12$. The aforementioned enrollment changes were facilitated by the Schools of Choice Programs.

Objective III: To Racially Balance the Student Population. Table I indicates the percentage of minority enrollments by school for the 198182 and 1982-83 school years. Wake County Public School System's guidelines for racial balance stipulate that minority enrollment in any school fall between 15 percent and 45 percent of the total enrollment. Schools named below had minority enrollments outside of this range for 1981-82 or 198283. Nine schools had minority enrollments exceeding the $45 \%$ upper limit of the range and no school showed less than $15 \%$ minority enrollment during 1981-82. As can be seen from Table 3, the Schools of Choice Programs had a positive impact upon the racial baliance of the schools.

## Table 3

## Schools Outside the Acceptable Racial Balance Range

| 2. Scmool | 1981-82 |  | 1982-83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FERCENT MINORITY |  | Sc+u01 | PERCENT MIMORTTY |  |
|  | 1981-82 | 1982-83 |  |  | 1982-82 |
| Enloe Sr: | 49\% | 42\% | Millbrook Sr. | 18\% | 14\% |
| Camage Middle | 46\% | 47\% | Camage Middle | 46\% | 47\% |
| ligon Junior | 51\% | 39\% | West Millbrook | 18\% | 14\% |
| Bugg Elem. | 58\% | 57\% | Bugg Elem. | 58\% | 57\% |
| Corn Elem. | 51\% | 44\% | Fuller Elen. | 54\% | 53\% |
| Fuller Elem. | 54\% | 53\% | * Longview | 43\% | 59\% |
| Phillips Elem: | 99\% | 63\% | **Phillips | 99\% | 63\% |
| Powell Elem. | 48\% | 38\% | *poe | 43\% | 59\% |
| *Wiley Elem. | 48\% | 42\% | **Sherwood-Bates | 42\% | 48\% |

* Poe and Wiley were made International Studies Magnets and by program désign, a variety of non-majority groups were to be represented and assigned to these schools. Racial balance was not an issue.
** By design, these schools were not made magnet schools and therefore racial balance and capacity were not addressed drring 1982-83 in the Schools of Choice Program.

Four schools had minority enrollments in 1982-83 that fell outside Wake County guidelines. Two of these four (Phillips and Longview) were recomended for closing once the 'Schools of Choice Frograms were implenented. A third school, Poe, was made an Intemational studies magnet, and by program design and philosophy, a variety of non-fimjority groups were to be represented and assigned to this school. Therefore, racial balance was not a factor at Poe. It was anticipated that the draw to the magnets would effect Sherwood Bates as well as.Ingigiew. A committment was made to protect program integrity at these two schools by maintaining persomel staffing pattems and instructional resorrces.
in Wake County, however, some additional changes or controls may need to be implemented during the 1983-84 school year.

Objective IV: To Provide a More Effective and Econarical Transportation System. Wake County Public Schools is in the unique position in the State of transporting 40,000 students over. 860 square miles. Prior to the 1982-83 school year, twelve separate bus fleets were operated. Each semior high school served as a transportation center for their feeder schools or a group of elementary schools assigned to them. This allowed for more local control, but almost eliminated the possibility of maximizing bus utilizatian and effectiveness of operation. Some attendance areas scheculled elementary and secondary schools to either start or end similtaneously, requiring those areas to be given more buses than should have been needed.

With the coming of the 1982-83 school year the transportation needs of the magnet were added to an already complicated system. Students desiring andission to a particular magnet program are provided transportation if he/she were admitted to the prog:am. Previous experiences indicated that new programs had little chance of success if transportation is not furiished. It was hoped that through the use of a computerized transportation system maximu use of equipment and man-power could be aclieved.

## Problems Addressed-

The Magnet Program was implemented with transportation provided for Magnet Students. The number of regular buses was reduced from 598 in 1981-82 to 596 in 1982-83. The muber of students transported daily rose to just over 40,000 from 35,000, but this increase was accomodated by the system. More effective routing reduced the cost per pupil per mile for transportation.

## Areas Which Continue to be Problematic

Many bus routes are longer than desired by parents, students or the Wake Schools Administration. Some schopl adninistrators complain that they do not have as much control over discipline and student behavior as in the past. The estimated cost to operate a bus is $\$ .87$ per mile.

The figures below also indicate that although the cost per pupil, per mile has decreased, the number of miles traveled, has increased and thus the average cost per pupil is up $\$ 3.97$ for the year.

| Table 4 <br> Transportation System Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981-82 | 1982-83 |
| Number of miles traveled | 6,333,909 | 7,817,133 |
| Number of gallons of gaoline consumed | 1,302,049 | 1,536,486 |
| Number of buses used | 598 | 596 |
| Average cost per pupil per mile | \$ . 0140 | \$ . 0120 |
| Average cost per pupil | \$ 147.33 | \$ 151.30 |
| Total cost of activity bus routes | \$30,969.23 | \$54,160.73 |
| Total cost of Ligon shuttle | N/A | $\therefore 8,469.23$ |
| Numer of double drivers | None | Not Avail |
| Number of drivers driving double routes | Not Availab | Not Avail |

## Conclusions

The, question is, .'Is this a more economical System of Transportation. than the one available in 1981-82?': This is a difficult question to answer. On the surface, more miles are being driven at a slightly cheaper rate. It is difficult to say that it is more economical. There is little doubt that the current system is more efficient and perhaps effective. Without
the current system, plus the implementation of the magnet programs one would expect the cost ${ }^{0} f$ transportation to increase. Therefore, one can probably say the system is cost effective.

Objective V: To Improve Educational Programs Through Expanded Curricula. Following the establishment of the Schouls of Choice Programs by the Wake County Board of Education, the following schools were designated to house magnet programs for the 1982-83 school year:

Gifted and Talenter
Apex Elementary
Baucom Elementary
Carver Elementary
Corm Elementary
Fuller Elementary
Fuquay Elementary
Funter Elementary
Ligon Middle
Martin Middle
Intemational Studies
Poe Elementary

## Classical Studies

Bugg Elementary
Lacy Elementary
Root Elementary
Extended Day
Combs Elementary
Fuller Elementary
Joyner Elementary
Lincoln Heights Elementary
Powell Elementary
Underwood Elementary
Washington Elementary
Wake Forest Elementary
Wendell Elementary
Zebulon Elementary

Enloe Senior High

Wiley Elementary

Carroll Middle
Camage Middle

Rey
$\%$

Implementation of The Schools of Choice Programs required a change in philosophy and scheduling in the schools involved. Each.magnet school was established with the hope that unparralleled programming would draw students to that school and thus aciomplish many of the previously discussed objectives. Each magnet program offered something uricque--schedrling, corrses, services.

A thread of similarity runs through all the magnet schools. Parents now have an opportunity to choose areas of emphasis of stivdy for their children. Each program operates an eight period day which includes basic courses and electives. Parents can request placement for students in any - of these magnet schools. The anly limiting factors are: amornt of space avail able in the school and a womment to maintain racial balance. There is no "screening" process. Transportation is provided. Each type of magnet school offers programming which is somewhat different from other magnet programs The following pages contain a description of each type of magnet program. Both elenentary and secondary models are presented as well as a typical schedule for each.

THE GIFTED AND TALENIFD ELEMENTARY MACNET SCHOOL PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Gifted and Talented Magnet School is founded on the belief that all students possess gifts and talents that need to be identified, valued, murtured, and rewarded and that it is the responsibility of educators and and parents to identify these gifts and talents and provide an educational program which develops them. Because the gifted and talented magnet school addresses the gifts and talents of all children, there are no performance measures, auditions, or tests that need to be passed before a child is accepted into the school.

The philosophy of the Gifted and Talented Magnet School is put into effect through the structure of the curriculim and instructional techniques. The curriculum model advocated is a "choíce" model for students and parents. It provides them with options and altematives to leaming and is built on student gifts, talents, strengths, needs, and interests. The program design is flexible to accommdate varying types of gifts and talents.

## ELEMENTARY MDDEL

The three curriculum components which make up the gifted and talented magnet school program are the BASICS, ELECIIVES, AND GIFTED AND TALENIED SEIECIED COURSES (hereafter referred to as GI SLECIED COURSES.) All students are involved in the basics and electives, while students involved in the GI selected courses are those who extibit strengths and capabilities in particular areas.

All students have an eight-period day with each period consisting of forty-five minutes. These periods are not distinguishable in kindergarten through grade two with the exception of the two periods each day that are set aside for electives. With the exception of the electives, students in kindergarten through grade two are placed in self-contained classrooms with enrichment astivities,. art, music, physical education, and library experiences being part of their basics.

In grades three through five, two teachers are responsible for a group of approximately fifty children. These two teachers provide students with instruction in the basics - reading/language arts and social studies drring one two-period block and math, science, and health drring other two-period block. Three periods, four days each week are available to students for electives and/or GI selected courses. Students are assigned to the basics, but parents and students choose the courses to be taken in the electives and GI selected areas. These courses are selected on student and parent interest.

## Curriculum

Based on the philosophy of the gifted and talented magnet school, the curriculum is divided into three components: BASICS, EJECTIVES, AND GIFIED AND TALENTED (GI) SELECIED COURSES.

Basics: All children participate and receive instruction in four basic areas: reading and language arts, math, social studies, science and health. Instruction in the basics component is plamed to accommodate each child's skill level. Students in kinergarten through second grade also have scheduled periods for music', art, physical education, and library experiences as part of the basics. Students in grades three through five have these subjects/ offered to them as part of the electives.

Parents and students may expand basics instruction through a choice of academic electives. Additionally, all basics are offered in an accelerated format to students involved in GI selected courses. The electives and GI selected courses provide children with the oppormity to apply their basic skills in a variety of ways.

Electives: The ēlectives portion of the Gifted and Talented Magnet School program is comprised of high-interest courses that are chosen on an individual basis by parents and students to match student interests and needs. In the electives portion of the curriculum, courses are offered in the following areas: reading/language arts, social studies, math, science, health physical education, foreign language, art, instrumental and vocal music, dance, drama, computers, life skills, contemporary arts, and media.

Studies in the electives are designed to allow children to match their interests with appropriate programs and to develop new interests and knowledge A child does not have to show a special talent in order to take an elective. These courses give students the opportunity to study what they want to know.

Students in kindergarten through grade two are offered electives four days a week for two periods each day. Students in grades three through five are offered eilectives four days a week for three periods each day. These students also have elective activities on I.D.E.A.I. Day.

Gifted and Talerted Selected Courses: A child's particular strenths, gifts, and talents determine what courses he/she will take in the GI Selected Corrses, for this is the highly individualized part of the curriculum. Here
students who have outstanding strength and capabilities in a particular area, or areas, apply their knowledge in new ways and pursue ideas in greater depth and breadth. These areas include: general intellectual ability, aptith in specific academic subjects, creative or productive thinking, and visual and performing arts.

Students are chosen for GI selected courses through a nomination process The teachers make most of the nominations and serve as advocates for their nominees before a panel that includes specialists as well as administrators, review the appropriateness of an advanced class for the child. However, a parent also may nominate his child or a child may nominate himself to be considered for placement in GI selected courses. The final responsibility for placement rests with the administrator. Students who are involved in GI selected courses are assigned to these courses during electives periods.

While basics are taught five days per week, electives and GI selected courses are offered four days each week. One day ercitied 'I.D.E.A.L. Day" (In-Depth Experiences and Activities for Leaming) is scheinled each week to allow students to specialize in the performing arts, visual aits, physical education, futuristics, or academic areas. This allow a studrate with a high level of interest in music, for example, to devote mosc of the electives time on I.D.E.A.L. Day to this area. On I.D.E.A.I. Day sturents wust half the day in basics and half the day in elective activities. This gives students the opportunity to apply basic skills and talents to a group project or performance, or participate in a related off campus activity.

Support services such as Chapter I Reading, Basic Skills Reading/Math, Migrant Education, Bilingual. Education, and/or Exceptional Children's Resoirlc programs are provided for students drring the periods scheculed for e'lective:

Sample Schedule - Elementary Gifted and Talented School

Kindergarten - Grade 2

|  | Mon. Tues. | Wed. | Thurss. | Fri. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9: 15$ | BASICS |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 10: 00 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | BASICS |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 10: 45 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | BASICS |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 11: 30 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | BASICS | LUNCH |  |  |
| 12:15 | BASICS | LINCH |  | I. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1: 00 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Tell Turtle <br> I (Computer) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rite On/ } \\ & \text { Irite Now } \end{aligned}$ | D. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1: 45 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | African Safari | FRENTCH |  | A. |
| $\begin{gathered} 2: 30 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 3AS |  |  | DAY |

Grade 3 - Grade 5:

|  | Mon. Tues. | Wed. | Thurs. | Fri. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 9: 15 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | Shutter Bug | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jounna- } \\ & \text { lism } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 10: 00 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | Sports for Everyone | $\begin{gathered} \text { French } \\ \text { 1A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 10: 45 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | BASICS |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 11: 30 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | BASICS |  |  |  |
| $2: \frac{7}{5}$ | BASICS | Linct |  | I. |
| 1:00 | BASICS | LINCH |  | $\mathrm{E} .$ |
| 1:45 | BASICS |  |  | A. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2: 30 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CHAP. I. REAP | onstage Nusic | with | DAY |

In grades 6-8, four teachers are responsible for a group of approximately 100 students. These teachers provide instruction in the basics--reading/ language arts, social studies, math, and science/health. Three periods are available to students for electives and/or GI selected courses. Elective clas: may meet five days per week; or only two; or three days per week. Middle scho students have an eight-period day.

Students enroiled.in classes of the visual and performing arts are given an opportunity to contribute to displays or participate in several performance during the school year. Middle school students choose electives for a semester but some such as foreign language and music can be contimued for the year. Soc temis, dance, photography, creative cuisine, computer programming, typing, cho French, and radio, T.V. production, and English grammar are examples of electiv available at the middle school.

Sample Schecule

## G.T. Middle Schonl

| Hour | Period | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:00-8:42 | 1 | Basics - Social Studies |
| 8:42-8:52 |  | Homeroom . |
| 8:57-9:39 | 2 | Monday/Tuesday - Termis |
| 9:44-10:26 | 3 | Monday/Tuesday - Photography/Darkro Wednesday/Thursday - English Grammar |
| 10:31-11:13 | 4 | Basics/Language Arts |
| 11:18-12:00 | 5 | Basics/Math |
| 1:05-12:4? | 6 | Lanch |
| 12:59-1:34 | 7 | Basics/Earth Science |
| 1:39-2:25 | 8 | Monday/Tuesday/Wecnesday/Thursday Typing |

Electives held two days per week meet on alternate Fridays at the regular time.

## G.T. Magnet and Mon-Magnet Comared

The G.T. Magnet Schools offer a wide variety of electives not found in the regular curriculum. For example, physical education is a required course in the regular curriculum and the course is the same for everyone at a grade level. In the G.T. Magnet Schocl, a youngster may elect to take soccer one semester and temis the next. The G.T. Magnet schedule calls for an eight-period day while non-magnet classroons are somewhat self-contained at the elementary level. This means that each teacher(s) establishes a schecule for a specified classroom. Electives in the G.T. Magnet Program include computer technology, foreign language, academic electives and a variety of offerings in the arts.

Electives are available in the regular school program at the middle school level but the momer is usually limited to art, music, industrial arts and foreign language. The G.T. Magnet schedule at middle school calls for an eight-period day as opposed to a six-period day in the regular school. Arena scheduling is used at the secondary level: A home school coordinator is available at both G.T. magnet levels to work with parents and facilitate elective scheculing: This position is not found in non-magnet sahools.

## EXIENDED DAY MAGTET SCHOOL

The Extended Day Program provides before and after school care for children in grades kindergarten through five. The program operates from 7:00 A.M. until the regular school program begins (9:00 A.M.) and from the close of the regular school day (3:00 P.M.) unt.il 6:00 P.M. Children enrolled in this program also attend the school for the regular day program from 9:00 A.M. until 3:00 P.M. Programing in the Extended Lay Magnet during the regular school day is very similar to that found in non-magnet schools.

Children participating in the Extended Day Program can be brought to school before the regular school day begins and remain after school to participate in developmental and enrichment activities. Working parents may bring their children to school en route to work and pick them up on the way home. Transportation for all chiIdren participating in the Fxtended Day Program is provided by parents.

Certified teachers and teacher aides are employed to staff the Extended Day Program. The following is a sample staffing pattern:

Sample Staffing Pattem
(Sased on 140-i50 students enrolled in the Extended Day Frogram).

## Morning Program

3-5 Teacher Assistants
Volimteers

## Afternoon Program

1 Guidance Counselor/Extended
Day Coordinator
5 Teachers ( $1 / 2$ Time Positions)
2-4 Teachers Assistants
1/2 Time Positions
Volumteers

Hours:
6:50 А.M. - 8:30 А.M.

ARTS AND CRAFTS: weaving, painting, sculpting, drawing .
IIDUSTRIAL ARTS: woodworking, solar energy projects, photography
PHYSICAL EDUCATION: gymastics, tumbling, gross and fine motor activities, team sports, movement education, dance
. MULTI-EXPERIENCE CENIER: math, science and language arts activities, cooking, seving
. MJSIC: instrumental and vocal
. SMALI AND LARGE GAME AREAS
HOMEWORK AND STUDY TIMES
SPECTAL INIEREST ACTIVITIES AND CLUBS

Parents pay a fee for their child to attend the Extended Day Program. This fee covers the cost of program persomel, materials, and a daily snack for all children.

## Sample Extended Day Schedule

7:00 A.M. - 9:00 A.M. 9:00 A.M. - 3:30 P.M.

2:30 P.M. - $2: 45$ P.M. 2:35 P.M. - 3:00 P.M.

3:00 P.M. - 3:55 P.M. 3:55 P.M. - 5:30 P.M. 5:30 P.M. - 6:00 P.M.

Supervised activities (Library, small games, hometrork)
Regular school schedule
Students dismissed from regular classrooms Snack.

Physical education period for all students
Activity Station (activity may change week 1 y)
Students remaining, gather in Leaming Center for activities of their choice.

## Extended Day Magnet and R̈egular Program Compared

A regular instructimal program is found in the Extended Day Magnet School. Course offerings and scheduling are the same during the normal school day. The Extended Day Program is unique in that child-care before and after school is offered to working parents. Quality child-care provides an opportunity to engage in activities which enhance the regular curriculum. Due to the fact that the parents come to the school twice per day, parental participation has greatly increased in these schools.

THE INTERNATIONAL STUUTES MAENET SCHOOL
The International Magnet School is designed to meet the needs of a large and increasingly diverse population. It is important that educational programs are provided to increase awareness and understanding of the world commanity that is rapidly developing and shaping to American experience. The International Studies Magnet is based on the philosophy that education must prepare students. to live responsibly, commicatively, and competently in a world of incraasing intemational tensions, economic interdependence, cross-cultural conflicts, and tecimological competition.

Two elementary schocls were desig:ated as Interiaticnal Studies Magnets. There is no.middle school equivalent. The three cumricula components which make up the International Studies Magnet Program are the BASICS, ETECIIVES, AND CONCENTRATION/GIFTED AND TAIENIED SETECTED COURSES. All students are involved in the Basics and Electives, while students involved in the Concentration /GT Selected Courses are those who exhibit strengths and capabilities in particular areas.

All students have an eight-pexiod day with each period consisting of forty-five minutes. With the exception of the two periods per day for four
days for electives, students in kindergarten through grade two are placed in self-contained classrooms with enrichment activities, art, music, physical education, and library experiences being part of their basics. Students in grades 3-5 have four periods for basics; 3 periods for electives and one period for lunch/physical activity.

Students are assigned to the basics, Concentrations/GI Selected Courses, but parents and students choose the courses to be taken in the electives. These courses are selected on student and parent interest.

All children participate and receive instruction in four basic areas: reading and language arts, mathematics, social studies, science and health. Instruction in the sasics component is planed to accommodate each child's skill level. Students in kindergarten through second grade also have scheduled periods of music, art, physical education, and library experiences as part of the basics. Students in sades sirree through five have these subjects offered to them as part of the electives.

Parents and students may expand basic instruction in their choices of electives. The basics are incorporated into all areas of curriculum. The electives, Concentrations/GI Selected courses provide children with the opportunity to reinforce their basic skills in many different ways.

The electives portion $c f$ the International Magnet School program is comprised of high-interest courses that are chosen on an individual basis by parents and students to match student interests and needs. The elective courses are designed to develop understanding of major systems which influence the present and future condition of world societies. Major systems which affect the quality
of human life experience are: Technological Systems, Commoications Sys'ems, and Cultural Systems. The International Magnet School Cirriculum provides elective courses in these three major systems.

Under Technological Sýstems, elective courses $\epsilon$ tend the basic mathematical concepts to application in ceative problem solving and statistical anilysis. Computer literacy and use of the computer as a tool for research and management are included. Science and media technology are organized to offer explorations in specific speciality courses.

The area of Commrication Systems provides courses to develop fluency and high proficiency levels in thinking, listening, speaking, reading, and writing in English and salected foreign languages. The social science and arts courses examine Cultural Systems through the comparing and contrasting of man's beliefs, goverments, records, institutions, methods of exchange, and creative expression. in the nation and in the world.

Studies irr the electives are designed to give children a wide background of experiences, allow them to match their interests with appropriate programs, and to develop new interests and knowledge. A child does not have to show a special talent in order to be introduced to these new areas. A child needs only to have an interest and willingness to leam. These courses are highly motivational and give students the opportmity to study what chey want to know.

## Bilingual Education

The design of the International Magnet School is such as to serve a student body which includes students who come from a wide range of world cultures such
as England, Germany, France, Italy, nations of the Orient, and developing nations of the East and South. These students and their families bring a wealth of cultural resorrices to the International Magnet School commmity. While the magnet school provides structured language skill development in English for these students, they may also participate in language, art, and cultural studies courses which focus on their native backgrounds. Thus, the Intemational Magnet School, serving an intemational student population, is enriching for both American-born children and children from other lands and provides an opportmity for both to improve their understanding of each other.

Sample Schecule: Intematicnal Studies Magnet

Kindergarten - Grade 2

|  | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BASICS |  |  |  | $\downarrow^{\text {BASICS }}$ |
| 2 | BASICS |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | : | I |  |  |  |
| 4 | BASICS |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | BASICS |  |  |  | AL DAY |
| 6 | Spanish IB Room 13-A |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Puppet |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Team Spo } \\ \text { Gym } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 8 | BASICS |  |  |  | BASICS |


|  | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Tmursday | Friday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 <br> 2 | $B$ $A$ $S$ $I$ $C$ $S$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Introdur } \\ \text { Data Pr } \\ \hline \text { Beginr } \\ \text { Germe } \end{array}$ | on to | Expository Writing Printmaking |  |  |
| 5 | L U N C Y |  |  |  |  |
| 6 <br> 7 | B | A | I | S |  |
| 8 | German. | ties | Soc |  |  |

Intemational Studies Magnet and Non-Magnet Compared
As was seen in the G.T. Magret, this magnet program offers electives and an eight-period day. As opposed to other magnet programs, the emphasis seems to be on foreign language, gaining an understanding of other cultures and emphasis on technology (computers).

In comparison, the regular schoo.l program has a six-period day; instruction in foreign language is not available; additional electives are limited to art and music.

THE CIASSICAL STUDIES MAGVEI SCHOOL

## PROGRAM DESCRIPIION

The Classical Studies Magnets, as they are designed for Wake County, attempt to incorporate the objectives that are characteristic of good traditional programs across the nation and in this school district. Instruction is teacher directed with emphasis on the mastery of skills in the basics - reading, writing and arithmetic. Character education, discipline, parent involvement, science and citizenship are also ịmportant aspects of this curriculun.

This magnet gives emphasis to some areas that may be ulike most traditional schools. In language arts, for instance, oral language, formal and informal, is given high priority in the curriculum. Students leam how to make presentations in all subject areas that will give them proficiency and confidence. Literature, from the classic fairy tales to traditional works of the masters is read to and by the students in this program. Composition for personal expression and for academic exposition is stressed. A high value is given to vocabulary development and expansion.

## Curriculum

The curriculum in the Classical Studies Magnet gives emphasis to the mastery of skills in reading, writing, and mathematics. Character education is an integral part of the curriculum. . Instruction is provided in a structured atmosphere. Students are given ample practice for the basic skills in classwork and in homework. Frequent tests are administered to determine progress and instructional needs. Study skills are taught in order to insure the student's growth in independent study habits. A very strong emphasis on literature and acquisition of writing skills prevails in all the Classical Studies Magnets. Each grade level has a recommended list of classics that students read or hear begiming with kindergarten.

In the elementary school, reading and related language arts occupies almost half of the school day. In the middle school, language arts are taught at least two periods every day for all three grade levels.

Writing emphasizes correct spelling, sentence structure and vocabulary arkancement. Stress is given to originality, content, clarity, and logical
presentations. Frequent assigments are made in expository and creative writing and are correlated with the various curriculum areas.

Oral language is stressed in every subject area. Frequent opportmities are made for the student to make presentations to small and large groups. These presentations include reports, recitations, debates, and oral responses to teacher's questions or directives.

Social studies and health, are integrated into the curriculum with built-in opportumities for the student to excercise his knowledge of the basic skills in addition to acquiring knowledge in these content areas. Also, students are encouraged to use the critical thinking skills that are introduced in the basic areas. Additionally, science is emphasized. Through a science resouce teacher, instruction is offered twice weekly to all students in grades l-5, in a laboratory setting provided in each school.

Physical eduation, art, music are offered according to district regularities. These areas are incorporated into basic programs when it is deened appropriate.

In addition to the academic curriculum, the Classical Studies School places high value on character education, and daily lessons are planned for instruction in this area. Character education in the Classical Studies Magnet aims to teach students to be considerate, respectful, responsible, knowledgeable, and productive citizens.

Parents have specific responsibilities for involvement in the child's educational experience. Parent education programs are offered to increase the parents' knowledge and effectiveness as they participate in the schooling process. Conferences are scheduled on a regular basis for the purpose of sharing information regarding the child's progress.

Sample Student Schedule:

| Time of Day | Subject | Mimutes Per Day |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $9: 00-9: 15$ | Character Education | 15 |
| $9: 15-11: 15$ | Reading/Language Arts | 120 |
| $11: 15-11: 45$ | Physical Education | 30 |
| $11: 45-12: 00$ | Classics Read to Students | 15 |
| $12: 00-12: 30$ | Lanch | 30 |
| $12: 30-1: 15$ | Mathematics | 45 |
| $1: 15-1: 55$ | Science(2)/Social Studies(3) | 40 |
| $1: 55-2: 35$ | Art/Music/Health/Library/Assembly | 40 |
| $2: 35-2: 55$ | Silent Reading/Recreational | 20 |
| $2: 55-3: 00$ | Reading | Preparation for Dismissal |

MIDDTE SCHCOL MODEL -
in the Classical Studies Middle School, foreign language and vocational studies are introduced into the curriculum in addition to other elective and interest courses that support the basic areas. Higher level English and mathemat courses are offered to those students who need more in-depth work with the basic areas. Emphasis on developing skills in basic areas, writing and character education continue.

Sample Student Schedule:
Tine of Day
8:00-8:20
8:25-9:06
9:10-9:53
9:57-10:40

10:44-11:26
11:30-12:12
12:10-12:58
1:02-1:42
1:46 - 2:.

Subject
Homeroom/Character Education20
Science ..... 41
Social Studies ..... 43

43

Interest Course $M / W / T$ a 43
P.E. - Tues.

Health - Fri.
Language Arts
Language Arts . 42
Lunch
Elective/Typing • 40
Math

4242

42

Minutes Per Day


## Classical Studies Magnet Versus Nom-Magnet Program

Reading, writing, speaking, mathepatics and character education are euphastzed in the Classical Studies Schools. Students become familar with classical literature. The curriculum and programing are more traditiona than is found in the non-magnet schocls. In the elementary classical studies, magnet language arts and related activities occupy almost half the school day while a two period block is allotted for language arts at the midc school level.

## ENLOE MAGNET HIGH SCHOOL

## OVERVIEW

The Wake County Public School System developed the Enloe Magnet High School concept to offer an attractive, mique and educationally sound program in order to attract parents to send their children to this program voluntarily, although it might not be located in their immediate neighborhood. Four major long-term goals of this program are the folloh ing:

- To offer a flexible schedule to allow maximm utilization of resources and persomel.by developing the gifts and talents of the high school magnet student
- To provide curricular programs not offered in the regular high school program
- To provide numerous quality educational programs from which parents and their students choose their own instructional opportunities
- To allow students the chance to concentrate in special fields of study through an extended eight-period day and multiple periods in specified courses
The Magnet High school is inique in many ways. The flexible student scheduling mears that students may not meet every class every day, while others may meet sone classes for more than one period per day. Courses, mombering well over three hmared, are offered to allow students a choice in their program of study. 15re than two-thirds of these courses are not offered at any other high school setting in the System. Students may choose a concentration in at least one field of study where classes may meet for two-period blocks of time. Along with a concentrated area of study, students are allowed, through an extended eight-period day, the opporturity to explore areas that are not available in a regular high school setting. The Padio/TV/Mbtion Picture curriculum focuses on the
design and production of programs that are classified as instructional software." These areas of exploration may be in a specialized creative area or simply areas of interest. Computer math and science, social science, special foreign languages, intensive language arts and reading programs, performing and visủal arts (including tours and many performances), new and imovative approaches to health and physical education, extended vocational programs, and a specialized counseling program are only a few of the special programs being offered.

A follow-up of a school's graduates often allows one to view anvther dimersion of the school's population, goals and achievements. Below is a profile of the students who graduated in 1982 from the Eriloe High School Magnet:

| Enroiled in a four year college or miversity | $40 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Enrolled in a commonty college |  |
| Enrolled in vocational training | $36 \%$ |
| Found full-time employment. |  |
| Found part-time employment | $11 \%$ |
| Enlisted in military | . |

## HISTORY

In the past four years, Enloe Magnet High School has been in a state of flux. When the School opened in the fall of 1980, student participation in the designated magnet courses were liniced to a select group of students. This created an image of two separate student bodies. This separateness was further complicated by the fact that in the previous year the neighboring junior high was closed and that facility became part of the high school campus. Thus, the image of two student. bodies was further enhanced by the two separate campuses. The magnet was created in an attempt to fill empty classrooms due to declining emollment
and to bring suburban white students into the facility to achieve racial balance. Until fall, 1982, the draw to the magnet high school was less than successful. In August, 1982, the situation changed and Enloe High School had a united study body of 1,900 .

The following events occurred to fill the two campuses: (1) over three mmdred courses were made available to the entire student body (this widespread availability of classes lessened the division that existed among students); (2) the course curriculum was made more relevant to the needs and interests of the student body; (3) students and their parents have input and authority conceming the selection to a student's course of study; (4) the image of the school and its students has constantly improved due to honors and awards received by the students and programs; (5) students have taken greater pride in their campuses, and their studies, and show increased appreciation of one another.

## MAGNET SCHEDULING

The students anrolled in the Magnet High School have flexible scheculing opportunities not offered in the traditional high school. There are courses which may be selected in all areas of study which meet during two-period blocks of time each day. This time fluctuates within the eight-period day to allow maximm utilization of the facility. Other flexible scheduling allows for nearly every class to meet a double period once each week for special extensions of instruction. Some twoperiod blocks meet the last periods of the day to allow off campus activities and extended practice, research, or facility usage.

Studerts not enroiled-in two-period-a-day conrses would meet each class five persids 2 vaek but not meet every day. This allows far one two-period block a week for six class periods. Fifth and sixth periods, as designated inoch periods, would meet every day at the same time interval. A matrix showirg the schedule is below.

| Monday | Tuesday | Wemesday | Thursday | Friday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 L | 2I | - 2 |  |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 L | 4 L | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | $\pi$ | 8L | 8 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{L}=$ Laboratory time |  |  |  |  |

This scheduling best allows the implementation of the unique course offerings at the Magnet High School. To more fully explain the scheduling, a sample student schecule is presented below.

First Period - English
Second Period - Math

- Third Period - Science

Fourth Period - Drama
Fifth Period - Lunch
Sixth Period - History
Seventh Pericd - History
Eighth Period - P.E.
The student's schedule could be the one shown below.

| Monday | Tuesday | Wecnesday |  | Thursday |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Math | Friday |  |  |  |  |
| English | English | Math |  | English |  |
| English | Math |  | Math | Math |  |
| Science | Science | Drama |  | Science | Science |
| Drama | Science | Drama |  | Drama | Drama |
| Lunch | Lunch | Eunch | Lunch | Lunch |  |
| History | History | History | History | History |  |
| History | History | History | History | History |  |
| P.E. | P.E. | P.E. |  | P.E. | P.E. |

## ACADEMIC PR:OGRAM

Enloe High School Magnet has something for almost everyone. A student may enroll in advanced courses, take advanced placement courses, sign up for a regular high school schedule or enroll in basic courses if remediation is needed. Many offerings are available in the Arts areas. Vocational courses, computer technology and radio, IV production are emphasized. Variety anc choice are the themes.

Requirements for graduation are the same as for students in the other Wake County High Schools, but the opportunity of expanding one's knowledge beyond normal classroom activities can be found at Enloe. Graduation requirements for a 9-12 school are:

| English | 4 units |
| :--- | ---: |
| Math | 2 units |
| Social Studies | 3 units |
| Scijence | 2 units |
| Health and P.E. | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ units |
| Electives | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ units |

## Language Arts

Students are required to take a survey course each year-9, 10, 11 , and 12. (The survey course is required for all students except those taking Civilization and Culture each year or A.P. English the senior year.) The survey course is offered on three levels of difficul-ty--basic level, average level, and advanced level. Students may select the level of difficulty they wish to take.

The required English course each year will emphasize vocabulary, reading, and writing skill development and literature and literary terms rather than a concentrated study of specieic works of "great literature."

Students gain an awareness of the mafir authors and works from each literary period, but they select electives to pursue an in-depth sturly of a specific author and his work.

In addition to the required survey course, students may take elec- tive courses that offer in-depth study of topics of interest. Elective courses may be either a year or a semester in length.

The Civilization and Culture course consists of a chronological study of history, literature, and the arts. The course is diviced into forr years of study.

## Social Studies

Opportunities for a wide selection of elective courses are provided in all grades from nine through twelve. Basic graduation requiremeity. are met within the framework of course options available to all students. Diploma requirements mandate a minimm of three yèars of the social sciences for all candidates for graduation. Requirenents inćlude four . semesters of American Studies and two semesters of World Studies, Students who participste in the Intemational Studies, Government and Law, and Civilization and Culture Programs meet graduation requirements during their course of study. These programs require a tro-period block of time for the entire year.

The govermment and law prigram has obvious career implications for the student whose interes: lies in this area of the social sciences.. In-depth studies of the forms of goverrment as they exist today, theoretical ard practical issues relating to public and private jurisdiction, aind the historical evolution of the concept of justice provide the basis of this program.

The international studies program integrates social science, language arts, and foriegn language studies. Students are concerned with man, his various cultures, religions, beliefs, and practices. Also, world cooperation, conflict, interdependence, and comparative politics are major topics of study. By merging the study of the political, economic, and social histories of countries with their languages and literature, the international studies program gives students an intemational perspective on current as well as past issues which spage the world in which they live. Special opportmities to learn European and Asian lanaguages is an exciting dimension for many students. Extensive field experiences in the commanity and travel provide another key element to the program.

The civilization and culture program combines the study of history, literature, and art appreciation. Students earn one English credit and one social science credit for this course. In addition, an advanced placement program exists which offers students an opportunity to pursue collegelevel strivy in American history and European history. In the program, a student car. receive advanced placement and credit, or one of these, upon entering college:

## Mathematics

Two full units of study in mathematics are required for high school graduation. In the Magnet High School, those units are not specified as to particular courses. Students may select areas of interest by year, semester, or nine-week courses as long as the total credit in mathematics is at. least the minimm of two full units. Students may enroll in basic math courses, take the regular course available in any of Wake County's high schools or choose to specialize in mathematics.

Students who choose the Magnet High School for the concentration in mathematics must consider the following four years of basic or core curriculum: Grade S - Integrated Math I; Grade 10 - Integrated Math II; Grade 11 - Precalculus Mathematics; and, Grade 12 - Advanced Placement Calculus witn Analytic Geonetry. The integrated approach to mathematics in $n$ orporates algebra I, geometry, and algebra II, intertwining the topics as the scope and sequence demands that they be taught. Although the students de not, then, take the traditional sequence of algebra $I$, geonetry, and algebra II, they are well prepared for the precalculus course at grade 11. Flexibility is built into the mathematics rrogram strand for students who have accelerated tie beginning algebra studies in grade 7 or 8 , or who choose to enter the Majnet High School after grade 9. Because of the regular course offerings, a grade 7 student in algebra I cou-d enter the Magnet High School in rade 9 in honors algebra II and proceed in grade 10 with precalculus mathematics. Likewise, a student entering beyond grade nine should be able to adapt to the many course offerings and enter the core curriculum in mathematics.

## Foreign Language

Opportunities for developing comversatimal skills in a wide variety of languages and for learning about countries and cultures of other people are available. Students interested in pursuing in-depth study of a language may enroll in French, Spanish, German, Latin, Pussian, Japanese, Italian, Chinese, Arabic, Hebrew, Vietnamese, or Laotian.

In order to fully comprehend the interrelationship of the world's comtries and cultures, focus is on common problems such as social justice, resource distribution, pollution, housing and food. 'Third World"
countries and their place in global affairs is included. Cultural exchanges of people, ideas and artifacts are arranged. Opportunities for visual, oral, and personal commmication with other countries are available to students. A student may be enrolled in fureign language for four years at Enloe with offerings from basic through advanced instruction. Arts or Music

Visual Arts. The visual arts program is a two-track program with instruction provided by on-staff artists in their areas of expertise and supplemerted by guest artists of local, state, and national reputation. The advanced track is an in-depth program which meets the requirements of the serious student of art. The initial class is a Fundamentals course which lays the groundwork for the subsequent atelier classes. In the two dimensional area, students study drawings, color and design theory, psychology of visual perception; water color, oil and acrylic painting; relief, intaglio and silk screen printings and ari history. In the 3dimensional area, students study hand built and wheel through pottery, glaze formulation, sculpture, enameling, metal crafting, and fibers (weaving, batiking, and fabric printing).

Mi:i-course, which are an imovative and integral part of the program, offer nine week and semester classes in such areas as calligraphy and commercial art wisch eerve to supplement the advanced track. Additional mini-courses of students rino are excited by the flexibility to explore individual areas $c$ E interest. These are students who are often shut out of the traditional high school program.

Field trips, membership in the N.C. Art Society, and participation with the civic and business commonity have made a positive contribution to the students. Numerous national, state, and regional awards have been won by Enloe students giving rise to a recent citation for national excelience in art.

Dance. Enloe's dance studios have been especially designed to provide the optimm conditions for learning with such advantages as mirrored walls, bars; and wooden floors. Instruction is provided in three separate categories: jazz, modern dance and ballet. Guest performances, artists and an artist-in-residence grant expand the program and introduce the students to the viability of dance as a current art form.

Skills. strength development, and body control are studies in the basic classes leading to an appreciation of the body as a living 3-D art form as it moves through space. In the advanced classes, students leam to choreograph and produce their own compositions. The dance groups have performed at many civic functions and schools, as well as special performances at the Governor's Mansion and on television.

Drama. The drama offerings at Enloe have been expanded from that of the typical high school program to offer a total of 12 courses available over a four year period. These courses range from an introductory course to the advanced course, 'Technical Theatre." Through courses selection, advanced students concentrate on one or more of the various areas in drama: makeup, costuming, set design, improvisational threatre, history of the theatre, etc.

Field trips to local theatric productions as well as involvement in the various local groups provides an expanded environment for motivation and learning. Three major in-school productions are presented each year. Mini-productions are piesented to various school and civic groups, as well as participation in annual arts plosure activities on the State Capitol grounds.

Band and Orchestra. The band section of the music department at Enloe provides basic band experience for enrolled scudents, as well as concentrations in more specialized areas. The basic band experience includes two levels of concert band, training band, and an after school marching band all operating concurrently. The additional areas include but are not limited to: pep band, jazz-rock ensemble (state band), brass choir, clarinet choir, percussion ensemble, an instructional solo class, herald trumpets, and a variety of small ensemble and solo groups.

Orchestra is a daily class; three days per week are spent in group performance, the remaining two are devoted to small groups and individual. instruction. Great flexibility for dealing with individual accomplishment and skill levels make our program exciting. A number of Enloe students have won hono:s in lstate and regional competitions.

Vocal Music. Students enroll in the vocal music program through selection of the Voice I class for beginners. With concentration on correct vocal production, the topics studied includes: 17th and 19th century Italian Art Songs, English folk songs, and selections from

Schubert and Schumann. Voice II continues the skill development begun in the proceeding course and expands the repertoire to include Brahms, Mozart, Haydn, and French Art Songs. All classes present concerts and recitals on a regular basis.

A unique component of the Enloe program is the music theory courses which range from begiming to advanced. Advanced students may elect "Composition" as a means of developing their creative skills. The piano lab featrres 13 electronic keyboards and four class levels.

A total of 8 teachers participate in the five arts/music programs listed above. These teachers provide instruction to a total of 488 students.

Science and Technology
Computer Technology. The computing facilities at Enloe include 24 Gigi intelligent terminals which are linked via a multiplixer to a DEC computer shared for instructional purposes by all Wake County Schools. Students may elect to take either computer literacy courses or courses in which programming skills and techniques are stressed. Languages available to the student include BASIC, PASCAL, FORTPAN, COBAL, DAL. Courses are designed so that a student may learn several languages during his high school career. Students on independent study may develop in materials, write programs applicable to other content areas, irvestigate: and learn a new programming language or assist in cómputer classes.

Radio. The radio program stresses the study and application of theory and techniques used in broadcasting. Classroom discussions cover $\mathfrak{a}$ var:iety of subjects: sound, news, script-writing, speakers, commercials, milti-t:ac recording and more.

Students actually write scripts, make recordings, and hold planning sessions in a studio furnished with equipment which exceeds that of most comercial stations. Advanced course offerings cover such areas as management, produrtion, eivertising, and other specialty areas.

Television. Enloe Magnet High School's television and motion picture department is unique not only on a comty level, but as a premier program for the state as well. It is the onl; high school program in North Carolina which features a fully equipped studio with faculty having actual network experience. The range of courses available here exceeds that of most colleges.

The $t \%$ atudent leams an overvie: of television, production, skills and tectraues. Using equipment wis has all the components of a commerc it station, our advanced studerts specialize in various typas of productions: do nentary, sports, news, children's prograrming, etc.

Unds. in ructor guidance, st cuents write, tape, edit, direct, and product their own programs. Qu-ins, originality, and craftsmanship wipled with technical know-inc are Messary cutcrins students have mastered at the completion of our cuurse of study.

Science. The science crsiculum focuses upon presenting the traditional discipline areas of b .llogy, chemistry, and physics in at least two formats. A strong academic approach to subject area in year-iong courses provides a tr ditional approach in science to those students who desire those courses. A strong laboratory experience base enharices these courses.

A :ncing academic approach to subject area in year-long, semester, and a ni:s-week course provide a scierice curriculum attuned to the social issues a: today and the future as the second format. Students have the opporturity, to construct their discipline studies from a wide range of options. Visits to research laboratories and functional scientific facilities on a locin", state, and national level are available.
G.I. Biolog: students design and carry out original science research projects. Vertetrate Zoology students design and implement "field" researci. Fres (such as live animal trapping and food selection by wildlife); cxiplete experiments studying the effects of the hormone thyroxin on tadpole metamorphoses and study reproduction in African Clawed Froge. Tre male and female frogs were injected with mman chorionic shadotrophin and induced to lay eggs. The development of the larvae are studied.

A total of 19 teachers serve the four curriculum areac previously discussed. These teachers provide instruction to 1,851 students taking science and technology courses.

## ocational Education

The high school magnet vocational curriculum is designed to: (1) help students acquire skills. (a) for entry-level employment and/or (b) to serve as a basis for further education and training; (2) provide experiences which will help students make informed and meaningful educational and career choices; and (3) prepare students for their multiple roles as homemakes, wage earners, and consumers.

The vocational. education curriculum at the high school magnet includes courses in the program areas of: (1) Business and Office Education, (2) Home Economics, and (3) Marketing and Distributive Education (MDE), (4) Trade and Industrial Eduçation (T\&I), (5) Health Occupations, and (6) Industrial Arts. Students may earn credit toward graduation through cooperative education courses (in business, MDE, and T\&I) which include both classroom instruction and employment experience with pay.

Vocational Education courses provide opportmities for 1,545 students to make practical application of commication, computation, and social skills they have leamed in other courses. The 17 vocational education teachers provide activities that enable the student to integrate cognitive, affective, and psychomotor skills in realistic learning activities. Special Education

Special education students at Enloe High School take courses in English, Math, Science, Social Studies, and Vocational Education. Additionally, an independent study opportunity is available. The Special Education Department serves 77 students who are mainstreamed in regular classes to varying degrees and 31 students who are in low-incidence programs.

The cross-categorical program employs three special educators who provide instruction in English Math, Science, and Sociai Studies to 77 exceptional students. These students are designated as educable mentally handicapped, leaming disabled or emotionally handicapped. The classes are grouped primarily by academic needs, rather than by area of exceptionality. The instruction in these classes ranges from corrective to
remedial and focuses on preparation for the N.C. Competency Test in grades 9 and 10. The emphasis in grades 11 and 12 is on survival skills necessary for daily living. Students are mainstreamed to the degree that is appropriate in all academic and vocational areas.

Two low-incidence programs are also found on the Enloe campus. A program for trainable mentally handicapped adosescents, ages 12 through 16, serves 19 students. One teacher and two aides provide limited academic instruction emphasizing survival skills as well as pre-vocational and vocational training. The TMH students at Enloe are mainstreamed if appropriate. Several students have attended vocational classes on a limited level and all the students participate in a dance class taught by one of Enloe's dance teachers. Student aides work in the program providing additional instruction and supervision for the class.

Two classes for autistic adolescents are also at Enloe. These classes provide intensive training in language and in appropriate behavior. The students are trained in a classroom that offers many features found in a sheltered workshop environment. The two teachers in the classroom are assisted by two aides and several student assistants. The student assistants are required to complete research in autism and develop instruciional units to be used in the classroom under the supervision of the teachers.

Gifted and Talented
The 138 students identified as gifted and talented are provided courses within each department. These courses allow for concentrated, advanced study and carry weighted credit.

## Bilingual or Multi-Cultural Education

Foreign language instruction is available in Fiench, Spanish, German, Latin, Japanese, and Russian. For most languages, $a_{c}$ travel exchange or study tour program is available during the summer months. Prior to these visits to other countries, the students study the people and their culture. During the tour/visit, the students live among the people and" visit sites of interest.

Within the Social Studies Department, advanced level students may concentrate in the Intemational Studies area.

## Advanced Study

Advanced study programs are available in the areas listed below. Students are assigned to advanced placement and honors classes by any combination of the following: (1) CAT scores, (2) teacher recommendation, (3) student request, (4) parent request, (5) recommendation from the screening committee (the screening committee interviews students, particularly minorities, whose actual grades or scores may exclude them but whose interests, aptitude, perserverence, and desire may include themi).

| : | No. in Advanced Study 1982-83 | Definition of Advanced Study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $584$ | 85th percentile or higher on CAT, Reading and Language Section |
| Math | $276$ | Those students enrolled in Algebra II or abcve |
| Social Studies | $187$ | Those students enrolled in Advanced Placement courses; or in Civilization and Cultures course |
| Science | $412$ | Those students enrolled in courses beyond Biology and those enrolled in Advanced Placement courses |
| Foreign Language | $72$ | Those students enrolled in the 3rd year and above |

## Extra Curricular and Co-Curricular Activities

Normal school organizations such as student goverrment and normal school-wide activities such as dances and homecoming events are found at Enloe. Enloe offers mmerous opportunities for student involvement. Nine teachers supervise intramural sports; approximately 150 students participate. School sponsored teams compete in football, basketball, soccer, volleyball, wrestling, baseball, softball, track, temis, and cross-country, as well as swimming and gymmastics. Seventeen staff members assist the 233 participating students. Twenty-one teachers sponsor the clubs listed below. These clubs provide school related activities for approximately 500 students.

Student Coumcil; Cheerleaders; Chess, Cooperative Office Occupations; Distributive Education Club; Dramatics Club; French Club; Future Business Leaders of America; Future Homemakers of America; German Club; Health Occupations Students of America; Marshals; National Honor Society; Music Ensemble, Mens' Ensemble, Mixed Ensemble, Marching Band -- Color Guard, Majorettes, Concert Band, Wind Ensemble, and Pep Band; Pep Club; Publications -- Eagle's Eye, Quotamis, and Image; Ser Club; Spanish Club, ICL (Latin Club); Vocational Industrial Clubs of America; Science Club; Enloe Literary Organization

## ACITEVEMENT

As the curricula in the Schools of Choice Programs have been expanded, many observers have become concerned that students in these programs receive adequate time and instruction in basic cources. One method of evaluating achievement is through the use of standardized achievement tests. Table 5 contains percentile equivalents of scale score means for the total student population in Wake County by grade level and for students at a given school at the same level. This table includes test results for 1981, 1982, and 1983. Although this gives an idea of achievenent in Wake County over a three-year period, it should be noted that these scores do not reflect achievement oi the same students over the same three-year period. A few trends seem to evolve from t this data:

1. Achievement in reading, language, and math (as measured by the California Achievement Test) in Wake County is considerably above the average or norm for the test.
2. Over the past hree-year period, achievement scores have continued to increase in grades 3,4 , and 5 .
3. Achievement ocores flucuare at the midile grades ( $6,7,3$ ).
4. At all grade levels, achievement in math is slightly higher than in reading.

CALIFORNIA ACHTEVEMENT TEST RESULTS 1981`-1983
(Percentile Equivalent of Scaled Score Mean) Table " 5 "
GRADE 3

| SCHOOL | TOCAL READING |  |  | TOTAL LANGLAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | $1983{ }^{\circ}$ |
| TVake County | 68 | 69 | 71 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 75 | 75. | 75. |
| Adams | . 74 | 77 | 84 | 83 | 86 | 88 | 79 | 86 | $89^{\circ}$ |
| Aversboro | 71 | 76 | 74 | $\varepsilon 0$ | 82 | 83 | 85 | 87 | 87 |
| Baucowi | 69 | 62 | 68 | 81 | 78 | 76 | 79 | 75 | 70 |
| Brentwood | 72 | . 72 | 71 | 69 | 71 | 77 | 80 | 71 | 76. |
| Briarcliff | 71 | 79 | 79 | 74 | 84 | 86 | 72 | 82. | $80:$ |
| Brooks | 75 | 83 | 85 | 85 | 87 | 90 | 78 | 86 | 86 |
| Bugg | 63 | 58 | 63 | 76 | 70 | 67 | 75 | 62 | 63 |
| Cary | 75 | 78 | 80 | 78 | 81 | 80 | 82 | 86 | 84 |
| Combs | 76 | 75 | 71 | 76 | 79 | 77 | 75 | 73 | 63 |
| Comm | 53 | 56 | 56 | 6.4 | 66 | 72 | 73 | 64 | 72. |
| Douglas | 73 | 65 | 62 | 78 | 70 | 71 | 79 | 73 | 64 |
| Farmington Woods | 77 | 84 | 81 | 84 | 88 | 85 | 79 | 79 | 81. |
| Fuiler | 55 | 48 | 59 | 67 | 56 | 70 | 63 | 57 | 68 |
| Gamer | 58 | 52 | 58 | 61 | 63 | $7 ?$ | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| Green | 63 | 66 | 56 | 68 | 77 | 65 | 71 | 70 | 63 |
| Humter | 71 | 62 | 70 | 82 | 71 | 72 | 71 | 61 | 69 |
| Jeffreys Grove | 74 | 78 | 80 | 81 | 81 | 80 | 30 | 84 | 76 |
| Joyner | 72 | 79 | 77 | 79 | 85 | 82 | 87 | $8 ;$ | 72 |
| Lacy | 75 | 70 | 68 | 86 | 79 | 73 | 84 | 76 | 63 |
| Lincoln Heights | 65 | 58 | 64 | 69 | 67 | 68 | 71 | 78 | 71 |

GRADE 3 - (Continued)

| SCHOOL | TOTAL READING |  |  | TOTAL LANGUAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Lockhart |  | 66 | 63 |  | - | 79 |  | 67 | 73 |
| Longriew | 58 | 71 | 38 | 63 | - | 8 | 68 | 75 | 68 |
| Lima Road | 66 | 73 | 79 | 74 | $7 \div 1$ | 32 | 68 | 76 | 74 |
| Mil13roo' | 71 | 72 | 67 | 79 | 79 | 74 | 75 | 72 | 71 |
| Nortil Ridge | 86 | 85 | 83 | 53 | '93 | 87 | 91 | 90 | 32 |
| Vortinwods | 72 | 74 | 67 | 77 | 75 | 70 | 74 | 75 | 71 |
| Olds | 78 | 70 | 75 | 84 | 72 | 73 | 86 | 78 | 73 |
| Exilips | 54 | 65 | 41 | 61 | 71 | 47 | 60 | 75 | 48 |
| roe | 48 | 53 | 57 | 58 | 69 | 65 | 67 | 64 | 68 |
| Prwel1 | 68 | 63 | 74 | 77 | 81 | 85 | 69 | 75 | 74 |
| Polesvilie | 63 | 66 | 62 | 79 | 79 | 74 | 74 | 81 | 75 |
| S00 | 36 | 80 | 76 | 90 | 83 | 78 | 94 | 87 | 79 |
| Shermoc zaces | 77 | 81 | 81. | 83 | 88 | 89 | 88 | $85^{\circ}$ | 85 |
| Smis | 60 | 60 | 60 | 74 | 77 | 75 | 73 | 73 | 69 |
| Stoust | 57 | 68 | 60 | 77 | 70 | 69 | 78 | 75 | 62 |
| Swise Croed | 68 | 49 | 60 | 81 | 68 | 73 | 73 | 59 | 57 |
| inderanod | 43 |  | 89 | 44 |  | 91. | 46 |  | 90 |
| Vrace | 37 | 46 | 59 | 41 | 52 | 68 | 50 | 59 | 70 |
| Vancora Springs | 72 | 74 | 78 | 71 | 75 | 81 | 68 | 75 | 72 |
| Tase Forest | 47 | 51 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 63 | 65 | 66 | 72 |
| arshington |  |  | 80 |  |  | 86 |  |  | 81 |
| Vendel: | 57 | 67 | 65 | 70 | 76 | 77 | 04 | 68 | 70 |

```
GRADE 3 - (Con+inued)
```

| SCHOOL | IOTAL READING |  |  | TOTAL LANGUAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1901 | 1982 | 198j | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Tilbunn | 62 | 67 | 68 | 73 | 79 | 80 | 66 | 75 | 75 |
| Wiley | 50 | 60 | 72 | 60 | 58 | 72 | 61 | 69 | 75 |
| Willow Springs | 63 | 0 | 70 | 77 | 69 | 77 | 75 | 64 | 75 |
| Yor: | 78 | 83 | 79 | 86 | 85 | 86 | 78 | 84 | 83 |
| Zebulon | 57 | 56 | 68 | 65 | 62 | 77 | 52 | 61 | 72 |

CALIFORNL: ACHIEVEMENT TEST RESULTS 1981 - 1983
(Percentile Equivalent of Scale Score Mean)
GRADE 4

SCHONL

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wake County | 64 | 65 | 70 | 71 | 73 | 77 | 70 | 71 | 75 |
| Adams | 64 | 76 | 78 | 71 | 79 | 78 | 73 | 82 | 86 |
| Apex | 59 | 70 | 65 | 68 | 78 | 76 | 69 | 78 | 80 |
| Aversboro | 70 | 67 | 76 | 76 | 73 | 90 | 81 | 80 | 93 |
| Brentwood | 68 | 71 | 76 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 75 | 76 | 79 |
| Briarcliff | 68 | 63 | 78 | 71 | 71 | 78 | 75 | 37 | 77 |
| Brooks | 77 | 71 | 75 | 78 | 82 | 77 | 82 | 78 | 84 |
| Bugg | 68 | 61 | 56 | 70 | 62 | 62 | 77 | 74 | 61 |
| Cary | 78 | 71 | 75 | 83 | 84 | 88 | 83* | 86 | 84 |
| Combs | 76 | 71 | 78 | 80 | 80 | 78 | 78 | 76 | 70 |
| Corm | 48 | 58 | 74 | 55 | 59 | 78 | 53 | 59 | 70 |
| Douglas | 76 | 58 | 67 | 77 | 59 | 68 | 86 | 65 | 73 |
| Farmington | 79 | 78 | 85 | 85 | 80 | 98 | 82 | 79 | 86 |
| ruller | 45 | 42 | 53 | 55 | 56 | 59 | 48 | 52 | 67 |
| Fuquay | 50 | 56 | 49 | 63 | 73 | 56 | 55 | 61 | 56 |
| Garner | 49 | 48 | 59 | 62 | 63 | 7.0 | 61 | 68 | 70 |
| Green | 73 | 67 | 78 | - 72 | 76 | 80 | 84 | 75 | 77 |
| Hunter | 52. | 83 | 63 | 62 | 87 | 68 | 59 | 88 | 66 |
| Jeffreys Grove | 77 | 80 | 73 | 83 | 84 | 78 | 88 | 84 | 83 |
| Joynep | 46 | 56 | 75 | 54 | 67 | 81 | 63 | 67 | 86 |
| Lacy . | 66 | 70 | 70 | 74 | 75 | 72 | 75 | 73 | 65 |
| Lockhart | 55 | 59 | 58 * | 57 | 68 | 66 | 55 | 59 | 57 |

GRADE 4 (CONTINMED)

| SCHOOL | TOTAL READING |  |  | TOTAL LANGUAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Longview | 71 | 59 | 71 | 86 | 79 | 85 | 82 | 80 | 83 |
| Lymn Road | 66 | 68 | 71 | 72 | 76 | 81 | 63 | 68 | 67 |
| Millbrook | 72 | 63 | 66 | 75 | 73 | 74 | 74 | 71 | 62 |
| North Ridge | 77 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 85 | 80 | 79 | 85 | 79 |
| Northwoods | 72 | 76 | 79 | 75 | 76 | 79 | 70 | 78 | 80 |
| O1ds | 64 | 71 | 67 | 74 | 80 | 67 | 67 | 83 | 69 |
| Phillips | 54 | 50 | 61 | 70 | 70 | 67 | 64 | 53 | 62 |
| Poe | 52 | 48 | 65 | 60 | 54 | 71 | 56 | 54 | 75 |
| Powell | 57 | 63 | 76 | 7.0 | 70 | 87 | 64 | 68 | 82 |
| Rolesville | 46 | 59 | 63 | 66 | 70 | 73 | 58 | 71 | 73 |
| Root | 72 | 85 | 80 | 81 | 94 | 89 | 81 | 92 | 83 |
| Sherwood Bates | 73 | 67 | 82 | 85 | 80 | 91 | 80 | 73 | 89 |
| Smith - | 54 | 61 | 58 | 64 | 73 | 73 | 54 | 60 | 67 |
| Stough | 75 | 67 | 70 | 77 | 73 | 77. | 77 | 73 | 75 |
| Swift Creek | 56 | 67 | 53 | 60 | 73 | 58 | 61 | 68 | 43 |
| Underwood | 46 |  | 90 | 44 |  | 93 | 47 |  | 96 |
| Vance | 52 | 41 | 44 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 56 | 44 | 54 |
| Vandora | 71 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 80 | 84 | 74 | 76 | 72 |
| Wake Forest | 51 | 58 | 55 | 52 | 67 | 61 | 56 | 68 | 67 |
| Washington |  |  | 82 |  |  | 86 | * |  | 88 |

GRADE 4 (CNTINUED)

| SCHOOL | TOTAL READING |  |  | TOTAL LANGUAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Wende11. " | 57 | 56 | 62 | 64 | 65 | 71 | 67 | 65 | 58 |
| Wilburn | 52 | 58 | 65 | 65 | 67 | 75 | 62 | 58 | 67 |
| rivile; | 52 | 41 | 59 | 64 | 52 | 79 | 68 | 48 | 76 |
| York | 79 | 79 | 84 | 89 | 87 | 87 | 89 | 80 | 80 |
| Zebulon | 38 | 46 | 56 | 64 | 59 | 67 | 67 | 59 | 58 |

CALIFIG". A ACHIEJENENT TEST RESULTS 1981 - 1983 (DERC: 'IF EQUTVALEMT OF SCALFD SCORE MEAN)

GRADE 5

| SCYOOL | TOTAL ESti: |  |  | Totaj Languacs |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981. | 15 | 3 | 1881 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | $1982$ | 1983 |
| Wake ur: | 69 | \% |  | 7 | 76 | 77 | 71. | 71 | 73 |
| Adams | 74 | 76 | 81. | ?? | 85 | 82 | 84 | 35 | 90 |
| Apex | 63 | $i:$ | 74 | 69 | 76 | $8 ?$ | 62 | 71 | 75 |
| Aversion:0 | 60 | 67 | 69 | 65 | 73 | 82 | 58 | 7 | 65 |
| Brentwocd | 69. | 70 | 74 | 79 | 8i | 81 | 70 | 76 | 73 |
| Briarcliff | 77 | 83 | 78. | 30 | 85 | 83 | 83 | 85 | 77 |
| Brooks | 79 | 30 | 81 | 83 | 34 | 83 | 82 | 79 | 82 |
| Sugg | 70 | 61 | 54 | 74 | 67 | $6:$ | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| 6 | 75 | 79 | 74 | 31 | 83 | 85. | 85 | 35 | 82 |
| Combs | 74 | 75 | 62 | 81 | 85 | 75 | . 36 | 82 | . 71 |
| Com - | 46 | 46 | 53 | 55 | 61 | 50 | 51 | 50 | 52 |
| Douglas | 75 | 71 | 70 | 72 | 75 | 75 | 80 | 80 | 77 |
| Farmingion | 8\% | 86 | 82 | 87 | 90 | 87 | 34 | 85 | 81 |
| Fuller | 51 | 4. | 5 C | 64. | 50 | 60 | 48 | 39 | 49 |
| Fuquay | 53 | 59 | 55 | 58 | 68 | 70 | 54 | 67 | 59 |
| Garner | 62 | 59 | 54 | 73 | 71 | 72 | 62 | 63 | 64 |
| Green | 68 | 7. | 66 | 74 | 73 | 71 | 64 | 67 | 71 |
| Hunter | 70 | 28 | 86 | 7 | 38 | 88 | 77 | 88 | 88 |
| Jeffreys Grove | 80 | 75 | 79 | 33 | 87 | 85 | 81 | 83 | 77 |
| Joyner | 70 | ss | 62 | 63 | 61 | 67 | 69 | 63. | 77 |

GRADE 5 - (CONTINUED)

| SCHOOL | TOTAL PEADING |  |  | TOTAPL LANGUAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1933 |
| Lac' ${ }^{\prime}$ | 75 | 7.1 | 73 | 8 ? | 79 | 77 | 69 | 75 | 67 |
| Lockhart | 5.3 | 65 | 62 | 60 | 70 | 68 | 59. | 65 | 61 |
| Longview | 81 | 79 | 73 | 88 | 35 | 84 | 30 | 85 | 77 |
| Lym Road | 76 | :3 | 77 | 79 | 76 | 31 | 77 | il | 73 |
| Mil.1broo\% | 72 | 76 | 68 | 76 | 79 | 74 | 74 | 75 | 68 |
| North Pidge | 73 | 75 | 37 | $8:$ | 34 | 90 | 86 | 33 | 91 |
| Northwoods | 76 | 78 | 73 | 77 | 80 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 75 |
| Olds | 75 | 70 | 7.4 | 74 | 76 | 88 | 73 | 76 | 89 |
| Phillips | 63 | 59 | 60 | 76 | 67 | 68 | 66 | 58 | 56 |
| Poe | 62 | 5/4 | 5: | 70 | 70 | 70 | 63 | 59 | 67 |
| Pơwell | 47 | 67 | 71 | 55 | 73 | 76. | 55 | 65 | 60 |
| Rolesville. | 5 | 46 | 57. | 64 | 63 | 72 | 58 | 56 | 63 |
| Root | 82 | 74 | 79 | 88 | 85 | 85 | 30 | 31 | 71 |
| Sherwood Bates | 7.4 | 79 | 72 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 73 | 82 | 73 |
| Smith | 62 | 61 | 69 | 69 | 68 | 81. | 61 | 59 | 73 |
| Stough | 72 | 71 | $\because$ | Su | 71 | 68 | 73 | 71 | 68 |
| Swift Creek | 55 | 61 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 71 | 54 | 63 | 68 |
| Inderwood | 45 |  | 37 | 49 |  | 93 | 45 |  | 9.. |
| Vance | 66 | 58 | 34 | 60 | 65 | 63 | 63 | 58 | 50 |
| Vandora | 69 | 71 | 75 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 72 | 63 | 77 |
| Wake Forest | 65 | 65 | 67 | 66 | 70 | 70 | 63 | 68 | 72 |

## 89

GRADE 5 - (CONTINTED)

| SCHOOL | TOTAL READING |  |  | TOTAL LANGLAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Vashington |  |  | 74 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 79 |  |  | 77 |
| Tendell | 63 | 58 | 53 | 71 | 67 | 61 | 71 | 65 | 54 |
| Wilbum | 66 | 61 | 55 | 72 | 70 | 66 | 64 | 63 | 65 |
| Tiley | 63 | 67 | 64 | 69 | 70 | 75 | 52 | 68 | 65 |
| York | 87 | 82 | 77 | 91 | 85 | 85 | 82 | 82 | 75 |
| Zebulon | 53 | 58 | 54 | 65 | 59 | 66 | 55 | 59 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CAT FOFNIA ACHIETEENT TEST RESUTS 1981 - 1983 (PFRCFNTILE ESUTVALENT OF SCALED SCORE MEAN)

CRADE 6


CALIFORNLA ACHIEVEMENT TEST RESULTS 1981 - 1983
(FFRCETILE EQUIVAIENL OF SCALED SCORE MEAN)
GRADE 7
1981-1983

| SCHOOL | TOTAL READING |  |  | totat ' |  | LACE | TOTAL METY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Wake Comity | 66 | 73 | 68 | 70 | 77 | 74 | 73 | 79 | 75 |
| Apex Middle | 78 | 76 | 72 | 83 | 79 | 79 | 85 | 85 | 88 |
| Camage | 57 | 52 | 54 | 64 | 56 | 59 | 61 | 56 | 54 |
| Carroll | 77 | 70 | 70 | 80 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 75 | 78 |
| Daniels | 60 | 70 | 72 | 64 | 71 | 74 | 66 | 73 | 74 |
| East Cary | 71 | 78 | 72 | 76 | 79 | 80 | 85 | 89 | 90 |
| East Garner | 64 | 63 | 59 | 68 | 68 | 65 | 65 | 67 | 65 |
| East Millbrook | 65 | 70 | 68 | 67 | 71 | 74 | 72 | 73 | 75 |
| Fuquay Varina | 55 | 59 | 61 | 57 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 75 | 71 |
| Ligon | 45 | 68 | 70 | 51 | 73 | 76 | 55 | 71 | 72 |
| Martin | 74 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 82 | 84 | 80 | 80 | 83 |
| Wake Forest | 48 | 50 | 62 | 55 | 56 | 64 | 58 | 63 | 67 |
| West Cary | 70 | 68 | 70 | 66 | 70 | $74^{\circ}$ | 71 | 70 | 72 |
| West Millbrook | 79 | 78 | 80 | 81 | 80 | 81 | 34 | 83 | 83 |
| Thitlet | 52 | 59 | 53 | 55 | 65 | 62 | 60 | 61 | 65 |
| Zebulon Sr . | 49 | 48 | 55 | 52 | 44 | 58 | 60 | 54 | 64 |

## 32

CALIFORMIA ACHIEVENDT TEST RESUTTS 1981-1983 (PEPCENTILE EQUTVALIN:I OF SCALFD SCORE MEAN)

GRADE 8

SCHOOL
TOTAL READING
TOTAL LANGUAGE
TOTAL MATH

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wake County | 64 | 72 | 69 | 66. | 73 | 72 | 67 | 73 | 72 |
| Apex Middle | 69 | 75 | 76 | 74 | 79 | 77 | 75 | 79 | 80 |
| Camage | 49 | 54 | 54 | 53 | 58 | 58 | 49 | 52 | 57 |
| Carroll | 75 | 75 | 74 | 76 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 73 | 75 |
| Daniels | 70 | 68 | 69 | 65 | 67 | 73 | 67 | 67 | 72 |
| East Cart | 66 | 68 | 72 | 68 | 70 | 75 | 73 | 78 | 81 |
| East Millbrook | 68 | 70 | 72 | 68 | 68 | 75 | 71 | 67 | 73 |
| Fuquay Varina | 43 | 50 | 61 | 46 | 58 | 66 | 53 | 61 | 71 |
| Ligon | 44 | 59 | 75 | 46 | 59 | 77 | 46 | 56 | 72 |
| Martin | 74 | 73 | 78 | 74 | 75 | 83 | 71 | 70 | 78 |
| North Garner | 60 | 61 | 60 | 65 | 65 | 64 | 64 | 67 | 62 |
| Wake Forest | 45 | 52 | 54 | 48 | 58 | 55 | 53 | 56 | 61 |
| TTest Cary | 68 | 70 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 72 | 71 | 67 | 70 |
| T.Nest Millbrook | 78 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 82 | 83 | 34 |
| Thitley | 51 | 50 | - 57 | 57 | 54 | 62 | 52 | 50. | 56 |
| Zebulon Sr. | 47 | 44 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 45 | 56 | 54 | 56 |

CALIFOPNLA ACHIEVEMFNT TEST PESULTS 1981 - 1983 (PERCENTHE EQ!IVALFNT OF SCALED SCORE MEAN).

GRADE 9
1981-1983

| SCHOOL | TOTAL READING |  |  | TOTAL LANGUAGE |  |  | TOTAL MATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1981 | 1082 | 1983 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| Wake County | 62 | 66 | 69 | 63 | 69 | 72 | 62 | 67 | 69 |
| Apex Sr. | 70 | 72 | 77 | 68 | 73 | 78 | 69 | 74 | 77 |
| Atheris Drive |  |  | 60 |  |  | 63 |  |  | 60 |
| Broughton |  |  | 72 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 67 |
| East Cary | 65 | 72 | 73 | 66 | 73 | 78 | 72 | 76 | 81 |
| East Wake | 47 | 51 | 50 | 45 | 54 | 55 | 47 | 49 | 50 |
| Enloe | 66 | 64 | 74 | 65 | 64 | 74 | 63 | 61 | 68 |
| Fuquay Sr. | 49 | 47 | 55 | 49 | 51 | 63 | 51 | 49 | 59 |
| Millbrook Sr |  |  | 76 |  |  | 81 |  |  | 76 |
| North Gamer | 61 | 60 | 65 | 64 | 68 | 72 | 63 | 64 | 67 |
| Sandersen |  |  | 75 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 75 |
| Take Forest Sr. | 49 | 58 | 54 | 59 | 59 | 56 | 59 | 63 | Gú. |
| T.?est Cary | 66 | 75. | 69 | 66 | 77 | 69 | 65 | 75 | 67 |
| Zebulon Sr. | 42 | 43 | 55 | 50 | 51 | 59 | 47 | 53 | 61 |

The total enrollment in the schools listed in Table 5 may have either increased or decreased during 1982-83. (See table 1.) Using the number of students who transferred out of these schools in 1.981-82 to a school with a magnet program, the percentage of transfer to a mag et was calculated. Tatle 6 is a list of schools which lost $10 \%$ or more of the 1981-82 enrollment to a magnet program.

> Table 6 Schód 1 : With 10\% of Studenic Population or More Transferring to a Magnet Program

School
Brooks
*Combs
Douglas
*Hurier
Jeffreys Grove
*Joyner

* Lacy

I mgview
Sherwood Bates
Stough
Swift Creek
rijilbum
*rifley
York
Millbrook Seriior
\% Transferred to Magnet

Lynn Road and Daniels Middle experienced $9.8 \%$ and $9 \%$ losses respectively.

The question has been raised as to whether or not this transfer out caused a decrease in schoolwide performance from 1981-82 to 1982-83. If one follows a group of szudents longitudinal. 1 y , there does not appear to be a consistent correlation between test scores and percertage of students whto transferred out.

## Methodology and Limitations

Achievenent test scores for incividual studertes cimenti\% enrolied in magnet programs were collected for 1981-32 and 1982-32. At Eracies 5 and 3. three years of scores were available thus enabling the natching of scores over a three-year period at these grade levels. Wake Comty Pubiic Schools administers the California Achievement Test, Form C, Leveis 13C-18C in zades 3-9. Several difficulties were experienced in this process:

1. I.D. numbers rere available on test scores for 10.92 ori $\because$. This resulted in the use of other criteria to maten scores of students. Sex, race, school, similaricy of name mere used to manually match scores.
2. The number of matches over the two or three-year period is somewhat low. Due to lack of proper identification andior tratsfer in and transfer out of the system, matches mare accomplished for approximately $50 \%$ of the sturents. This shopld result in a rancom error and chesefore it is assurec that the data presenter is a Eair representation of the efect of the magnet programs.
3. Tho different companies scored tests given in tivis s\%stem ove: the past tiree vears. Each company used a diEferont fomat which made programing cumersone.
4. In 1982 Chapter I students were sestec ofeleve and sures were stored on a separate research lape

Using computer resources, dita was analyed mamet protan yee Scaled scores were used for all calculations. yean scaie scores weve then comerted to a percentile equivalent. Table 7 presencs the near scoves percentile form by magnet program the.

## $\varepsilon$

A common error made is that scores of a group (i.e. a grade level) are compared from one year to the next without regard to grade placement. This does not trace the performance of the same group of children and is. thus like comparing apples and oranges. The procedure used in this study foll owed the same group of stadents regardless of previous school assignment.

One limitation of a study conducted after one year: of operation is that it is impossible to determine the effects of the treatment over time. The experience of many researchers has been that test scores tend to go down the first year due to problems of implementation.

Interpretation of Mean Scores by Program
After studying the mean scores by program type in Table 7, the following statements can be made regarding achievement as measured by a standardized test (Califormia Achievement Test):

1. Overall, achievement of magnet school students has not changed significantly. Some losses are observed but scores are consistently above average.
2. Test scores in reading, language and math seem to drop consistently from third grade to fourth grade whether or not the child is in a magnet program.
3. It is noteworthy that in reading and language, "G.T. Students appear to have experienced a loss from grade 3 to 4 , which was before implementation of the new program and began to regain this loss from grade 4 to 5 which was during the year of implementation.
4. Of concern are Iosses in the area of math in Elementary G.T. and Elementary Classical Studies Programs.
5. There are not consistent differences in achievement as to type to magnet program, however a few trends may be emerging.
a. Students in the Intemational Studies Program seemed to consistently experience gains in reading, language, and math. A caution here is that the numers are smali but are probably sufficient to be reliable.
b. Performance of students in Extended Day Programs is somewhat stable with increases in all areas.
c. Elementary Classical Studies students' scores in reading increased while their math scores decreased.

Data was also analyzed by quartiles. A quartile represents 25 percent of the total number of student scores on a given subject at a grade level.

In effect a local norm was used in that the test scores were ranked from low to high and 25 percent assigned to each quartile. (When a national norm is used, the following percentile scores form a quartile: 1-25 quartile 1 ; 26-50 quartile 2; 51-75 quartile 3; and 76-99 quartile 4.) The mean scaled score for each quartile for each year by program type was calculated. The results are shown in Table 8.

This procedure revealed the students in the fourth quartile (75th percentile to 99 th percentile) generally showed an improvement in test scores or maintained the status qu. Mean scores for quartiles one, two and three varied. In some cases there were slight gains; in others, losses. Once again, a larger number of gains are seen in reading and language and a larger number of losses in math. Students in the International Studies Program s'-owed gains in all but a few areas in all quartiles; all consistently improved in reading and language arts.

Acnievement of base and draw students is shown in Table 9. Only mean scores were analyzed here due to the low numbers in some categories. (Several grade levels have less than 30 students in a base or draw category.) Generally, the mean scores for draw students is higher than for their base. counterparts except in the Extended Day and Middle Classical Studies Programs where the gap is not as wide:

TABLE 7
Mean Scores by Magnet Program Type


1 TABLE 7 (CONTINUED)

## Grade 5 19. 0-81 15..1-82 1ヶ02-83 <br> Gradc 6 1981-82 1982-83 <br> Grade 7 1981-82 1982-83 <br> Grade 8 1980-81 1981-82 1982-83

EXTENDED DAY
Grade 4 1981-82 1982-83

Grade 5
1980-81
1981-82
1982-83


TABLE 8
Profile of Scores by Magnet Type


TABLE 8 (CONTINUED)


TABLE 8 (CONTINUED)
Grade 9
Quartile $\# 4$
$1981-82$
$1982-83$

Quartile $\# 3$
$1981-82$
$1982-83$
Quartile $\# 2$
$1981-82$
$1982-83$

Quartile $\# 1$
$1981-82$
$1982-83$

INTERNATIONAL, STUDIES:

Grade 4
Quartile \#4 1981-82 1982-83

Quartile \#3 1981-82. 1982-83
nuartile \#2 1981-82 1982-83

Quartile \#1 1981-82 1982-83

Grade 5
Quartile \#4 1980-81 1981-82 1982-83

Quartile \#3 1980-81 1981-82 1982-83

TABLE 8 (CONTINUED)


TABLE• 8 (CONTINUED)


TABLE 8 (CONTINUED)


TABLE 9

Comparison of Achievement of Base and Draw Students


TABLE 9 (CONTINUED)


TABLE 9 (CONTINUED)
Grade 7
$1981-82$
$1982-83$

Grade 8
$1980-81$
$1981-82$
$1982-83$
Draw
Grade 4
$1981-82$
$1982-83$
Grade 5
$1980-81$
$1981-82$
$1982-83$

Grade 6
$1981-82$
: $1982-83$

Grade 7 1981-82 1-982-83

Grade 8 1980-81 1981-82 1982-83

EXTENDED DAY
Base Grade 4 1981-82 1982-83

Grade 5 1980-81 1981-82 1982-83

## Draw

Grade 4 1981-82 1982-83

| Number of Students | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reading } \\ \% \text { ile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Language } \\ . \% \text { ile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { \%ile } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 243 | 57 | 68 | 62 |
| 243 | 60 | 66 | 63 |
| 141 | 50 | 58 | 55 |
| 141 | 54 | 58 | 59 |
| 141 | 54 | 59 | 57 |
| 24 | 75 - | 82 | 81 |
| 24 | 75 | 80 | 75 |
| 19 | 74 | 79 | 88 |
| 19 | 72 | 82 | 85 |
| 19 | 77 | 82 | 65 |
| 30 | 75 | 74 | 74 |
| 30 | 72 | 76 | 66 |
| 23 | 62 | 72 | 67 |
| 23 | 69 | 69 | 71 |
| 25 | 51 | 64 | 47 |
| 25 | 50 | 58 | 53 |
| 25 | 51 | 54 | 52 |
| 63 | 67 | 72 | 73 |
| 63 | 74 | 77 | 77 |
| 77 | 69 | 76 | . 80 |
| 77 | 69 | 77 | 76 |
| 77 | 69 | 80 | 80 |
| 45 45 | 60 66 | $\begin{array}{r}71 \\ \hline \quad 70\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\cdots$ | 110 |  |  |

TABLE 9 (CONTINUED) ${ }^{r}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Grade } 5^{\circ} \\
& 1980-81 \\
& 1981-82 \\
& 1982-83
\end{aligned}
$$




## ATTIIUDES TONARD THE SCHOOLS OF CHOICE PROGRAM

Attitudes toward the Schools of Choice Programs were assessed by surveying all teachers, parents, and elementary and secondary students in the in the Magnet School Programs and by conducting focused group interviews with parents and students. In general, the attitudes towards the Schools of Choice Program were quite positive. The summaries of results (below) for each group reveal the basic pattern of positive attitudes while showing some concerns of each respondent group.

## Summary of Teacher Attitudes

The survey of teachers reveals that a majority have a positive opinion of the Schools of Choice Programs. The teachers feel they are adequately prepared to teach and the majority do not want additional staff development activities. Length of class periods and number of electives are issues on which there is not a clear consensus. Apparently, there have been some start-up problems which need attention -- multigrade electives, lack of materials, and inadequate written curricula for the electives. The middle school programs teachers have the least positive attitudes, but a majority were still positive. Further, teachers were much more likely to express positive opinions about the program and the school if the teacher had chosen to teach in the school. .

## Summary of Parent Attitudes

Parents were both surveyed and interviewed and are quite positive in their overall assessment of the magnet schools and.programs. They see magnet schools as distinctly more desirable than forced busing. Draw
parents were more enthusiastic than base parents. Classical middle parents and base extended day parents were not as enihusiastic as other parents in the survey about their program. Concerns were expressed in both the surveys and interviews about elective offerings, bus transportation, and coverage of the basics. Draw parents tended to be more concerned about elective offerings and bus transportation while base parents were more concerned about the length of time spent in the basic courses.

## Summary of Elementary Student Attitudes

In both the survey and the interviews, students were not as positive about the extended day program as other programs possibly because it competes with being at home and family. The survey found classical students not as positive as in the interviews. This may be because classical students see their program as traditional and thus less exciting even though they liked the experience. In general, though, the elementary students were positive regardless of program type.

Draw students were not discernably different from base students, except in the concems with their: unique problems of lengthy bus rides, student behavior on buses and integration into a new student population. Draw and base students seemed to share concerns over elective offerings and time spent in classes.

## Summary of Secondary School Attitudes

The secondary students indicated in both the surveys and interviews that they had positive experiences in school and with the magnet program.

The senior high gifted and talented students were quite enthusiastic about their program. The middle gifted and talented students were not as positive, while the middle classical students were even less so. It may be that the strict and serious image of the classical magnet dampers some student enthusiasm. Draw students were not discemibly different from base students, except in the concems with their unique problems of lengthy bus rides. Overall, their positive attitudes consistently prevailed. While still generally positive, however, the middle schools, regardless of type of program, seem: to be seen not as favorably as other schools. It may be that this is due to the developmental problems of students of these ages. Draw parents and students were generally more positive than base parents and students. Finally, teachers who choose to teach in the school were more positive than those who were assigned. '

## An Illustration of Results

The attitude studies are appended to this report, and should be carefully considered prior to drawing conclusions about the Schools of Choice Program. (See Appendix IV)

The studies, however, are somewhat technical. Further, some of the data illustrate the overall conclusions. The parents and students in the focus group interviews, for example, indicated that with only rare exception they would choose to repeat this year's experience. The surveys also asked s.imilar questions that compared this year to last. (See Table 10).

The table reveals that a majority of the teachers believe the Schools of Choice Program has been worth the effort and three-fourths of them would choose to teach in a magnet school, after this year's experience. Comparatively, teachers see the program as some effort, but resulting in a desirable teaching situation.

The table also reveals that $88 \%$ of the parents like their scinool the same or better compared to last year's school. Another way to say it, is that of those who saw a change (more and less) $78 \%$ saw a positive change. While the second item is not comparation of last year's experience with this year's, but it does tap the strength of parent's approval, which seems quite strong. For parents, the Schools of Choice Program seems to have been an improvement in the Wake County Schools.

Elementary students seem to like school better this year (78\%) and are sufficiently attached to their magnet school to prefer it over another school ( $67 \%$ ). Elementary students have found the magnet program to be an improvement in their education.

The secondary students similarly like school better this year (63\%) and would choose their magnet school over other schools (69\%). Again, the Schools of Choice Programs are seen by secondary students as an improvement in their educational experience.

The illustration could be more elaborate but the pattern is clear. For teachers, parents, and students the magnet program has created a desirable experience. Middle schools, consistently, are not seen as positively as
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the other magnet schools. Draw parents and students were more positive than base. Teachers who, chose to teach in the school were more positive than those who did not.

A sumary of all responses is found in Tables 11-14. These data are presented by program type in Appendix IV.

Table 10
IILUSTRATIVE DATA FROM TEACHER, PARENT AND SIUDENI SURVEYS

## Teachers

All in all, the Schools of Choice Program has been worth the effort.

If you have a choice, will you opt to teach in a magnet school next year.

## Parents

Compared to last year, I like my child's school

I would recommend this school to other parents.

## Elementary Students

I like school better this year than last.
I would choose to come to this school rather than another school.

Secondary Students
I like school better this year than last.
Percent Agreeing or Strongly Arreeing 5\%
$75 \%$
$\frac{\text { Some }}{\frac{\text { Percent }}{\text { More }}} \frac{\text { Less }}{40 \%}$
$40 \%-12 \%$
Percent'Yes"
$89 \%$
Percent'Yes"

If If could start over, I would choose this school rather than another.

69\%

Table 11
Tabulation of Responses
Magnet School Teacher Survey

Strongly-Agree

Disagree-
Neutral Strongly Disagr

General Assessment

| 1. I like teaching this year. | $78 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19. All in all, the Magnet School Program | $59 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| has been worth the effort. |  |  |  |
| 20. If I have a choice, I will opt to teach | $75 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| in this magnet school next year. | $\%$ |  |  |

Special Issues
A. Students and Instruction
2. My students seem to like school this $83 \% \quad 13 \%$. year.
3. Most of my students are motivated to
$69 \%$. $16 \%$
$15 \%$
learn this year.
4. Teacher expectations of students are
$73 \%$
$18 \%$
9\% high in the Magnet Schools.
5. Student behavior is good this year. $44 \% \quad 35 \%$
16. Students have successfully adjusted to $68 \%$ 17\% the schedule.
17. Students have chosen well-balanced
$37 \%$
$31 \%$ schedules.
B. Materials
6. I have more materials for basic courses $18 \% \quad 33 \% \quad 49 \%$ this year than last year.
11. I have had adequate materials to $31 \% \quad 52 \%$
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# Strongly-Agree <br> Agree <br> Disagree- <br> Neutral Strongly Disas 

C. Time and Coverage
7. The length of classes is sufficient
$38 \%$
$14 \%$
48\% for students to learn basic skills:
8. The basics are being adequately
$55 \%$
$19 \%$ covered this year.
14. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time spent } \\ & \text { should be in }\end{aligned}$
D. Curriculum
2. Existing curricula are adequate to guide preparation in the basic skills (language arts, math, science, social studies).:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 12. Written curricula are adequate to } & \text { Muide preparation in elective courses. } & 26 \% & 22 \% \\ \text { gur } & & 52 \% \\ \text { 15. Multigrade electives are acceptable. } & 39 \% & 22 \% & 39 \%\end{array}$
E. Preparation Time
10. I would like more staff development
activities that prepare me to teach.
F. Teachers Prepared
13. I am adequately prepared to teach.
$90 \%$
$5 \%$
$5 \%$

Table 12
Tabulation of Responses for All Programs
Magnet School Parent Survey

## General Assessment

1. This year my child likes the school he or she attends.
2. This year, I like my child's school.
3. Compared to last year, I like my child's school.
4. I'y child was assigned to the Magnet Program at my request.
5. I would recommend this school to other. parents.

Percentage of Responses
Yes $\quad 92 \%$ No_ $8 \%$

Yes $92 \%$ No $\quad$. $\%$
More _ $59 \%$ Same $40 \%$ Less $12 \%$

Yes $\quad 56 \%$ No ${ }^{4} 44 \%$

Yes_ $89 \%$ No $11 \%$

## Special Issues

5. I am pleased with my child's class schedule.


No_ $17 \%$
6. Class periods are long enough for my child.
7. I feel my child's teachers are wellprepared.
8. My child's teachers are available to talk with me about my child's school work.
10. My child rides the school bus.
11. I am satisfied with the bus transportation provided for my child.
-12. I am satisfied with my child's progress this year.
Yes_ $83 \%$

Yes_ $82 \%$ No $\quad 18 \%$
Yes._91\%
No $9 \%$

No $\quad 5 \%$
Yes $\quad 89 \%$ No $\quad 11 \%$
Yes_68\% No $32 \%$
Yes $82 \%$
No $\qquad$
13. If your child has electives, are you satisfied with them?
14. The choice of electives is: Too Few_ $12 \%$ Adeçuate_ $70 \%$ Too Many $13 \%$ No Electives $16 \%$ Yes_70\% No $14 \%$

Tabulation of Responses for all Magnet Programs
Elementary Student Survey
Ceneral Assessment

1. I like school better this year than last year. ..... $78 \%$ ..... $22 \%$
$\frac{\text { Percentage of Responses }}{\text { Yes }}$
2. I like my new subjects this year. ..... 87\% ..... $13 \%$
3. School is fun this year. ..... $82 \%$ ..... $18 \%$
4. I would choose to come to this school rather

$$
67 \%
$$ ..... $33 \%$than another school.

Special Issues
4. This is a good school. ..... 87\% ..... $13 \%$
5. I like changing rooms for different classes. $81 \%$ ..... $19 \%$
6. I like the school bus. ..... $70 \%$ ..... $30 \%$
7. I like to ride the school bus. ..... 48\% ..... $52 \%$
9. My parents and I talk about school a lot. ..... 64\% ..... $36 \%$
Table 14
Tabulation of Pesponses for all Magnet Programs Secondary Student Survey
$\frac{\text { Percentage of Pesponses }}{\text { Yes }}$
General Assessment

1. My parents and I requested magnet assignment. ..... $45 \%$ ..... 55\%
2. I like school better this year than last year. ..... 62\%$38 \%$
3. If I could start this year over, I would choose ..... 69\% ..... $31 \%$ to come to this school rather than to another.
Special Issues
4. I was able to schedule most of the elective ..... $70 \%$ ..... $30 \%$subjects I wanted.
5. I like the elective subjects I am taking. ..... $79 \%$ ..... $21 \%$
6. Class periods are long enough for me to learn ..... $82 \%$ ..... $18 \%$ the subjects.
7. I am assigned to ride the school bus. ..... $73 \%$ ..... $22 \%$
8. Bus transportation which is provided is$72 \%$$23 \%$satisfactory.

SPECIAL UNDERSTANDINGS IN SCIENCE, SOCIAL STUDIES AND FOREIGN LANGUAGES
By design, science and social studies were to receive equal emphasis with the other basic areas in the Elementary Gifted and Talented Programs. Language Arts and writing received emphasis in the Elementary Classical Studies Programs, while Foreign Languages abound in the Intemational Studies Programs. Coupled with the study of Foreign Languages was a study of other cultures which broadens the social studies curriculum. Foreign Languages were also offered in the G-T Schools but the selection was basically limited to French or Spanish.

In order to obtain baseline data in the areas of science and social studies, the Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills, Science and Social Studies subtests were administered to all fourth and fifth grade students in the Wake Comnty Schools in May 1983. Below is an indication of the performance of Wake Couniy students. Additionally, mean scores are reported for each magnet program and the regular school program.

Table 15
Science and Social Studies Achievenent
Grades 4 and 5
As Measured by The Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills (1982-83)

| $\cdots$ | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7F Students | Science $\%$ orile | Soc. St. \%tile | \# Students | $\begin{gathered} \text { Science } \\ \% \text { tile } \end{gathered}$ | Soc \% |
| Classical Studies Magnet | 219 | 65 | 68 | 190 | 65 | 72 |
| Extended Day | 148 | 66 | 64 | 172 | 56 | $6:$ |
| GifteḋTalented | 1054 | 68 | 62 | 1029 | 67 | 62 |
| International | 121 | 63 | 67 | 116 | 59 | 5 ! |
| Non-Magnet Schools | 2022 | 72 | 67 | 2242 | 65 | 63 |
| WAKE COUNTY TOTALS <br> (AIL SCHOOLS) | - 3553 | 67 | 62 | 3930 | 64 | 61 |

The following observations are made regarding the performance of students in science and social studies in the various programs:

1. Science and social studies scores are generally above the National Average as is: true for math, language and reading achievement of students in Wake County.
2. The mean score in social studies for students enrolled in the Classical Studies Program at both grades 4 and 5 are above scores in other ". magnet programs, in the non-magnet.schools and above the county-wide mean. This result was more pronounced in grade 5 :
3. There is a difference in the sicence achievement of fourth grade students enrolled in the magnet programs and the regular program. The mean score of students in the non magnet schools is higher.
4. Science achievement of fifth grade students in the Classical Studies a snits, Gifted and Talented Magnets, and the non-magnet schools are higher than those of the International Studies magnets and Extended. Day Magnets. Fifth grade students in the Gifted and Talented Schools • scored highest.

Foreign language proficiency was assessed in four schools: Washington, Wendell, Underwood, G-T Magnets, and Wiley, International Studies Magnet. Students in these schools who had been enrolled in a foreign language elective, for the entire year were tested individually using the Foreign Service Institute Proficiency Test to obtain rating of proficiency.
"A number of problems are involved in the assessment of foreign language 'proficiency for young children. Factors such as reading leval, developmental age, and lack of availability of standardized instruments limits evaluation in this area. An oral approach is used to teach young children foreign language. Gramar is not introudced untii the middle grades. Since this is the case, the Foreign Service Institute Proficiency Test was selected for use in the four schools named above. In order to administer this test, teachers attended special training sessions during which they learned to systematically test students oral ìmguage.

Accent, grammar, vocabulaky, Fliency, and comprehersion are judged. The resulting rating are as follows:

P-O No practical speaking profisiency (knows isolated words and phrases)
P-1 Elementary Proficiency (Able to satisfy routine daily-needs and minimm courtesy requirements.)
P-2 Limited Proficiency (Can handle with confidence casual conversations about family, work, play, current events.)
' P-3 Basic Proficiency (Able to speak the leuguage with sufficient - structural accuracy and vocabulary to participate in most formal and informal conversations.)
P-4 Full Proficiency (Able to use the language fluently on all levels normally pertinent to school related needs.)
P-5 Native or Bilingual Proficiency (Speaking proficiency equivalent : to an educated native.)
क
For a point of reference, most teachers of foreign language would score between P-3 and P-5. Thus, a great deal of work must be done before moving from one level to another. In order to make the instrment more sensitive . at the begirning level, Nake County's Foreign Language Department subdivided the 0 level into three additional categories.

The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{OH}$ - level subdivisions were:
PSA Unable to function in the spoken language.
$P O B \quad$ Able to operate within very predictable areas of need.
POC Äble to satisfy immediate needs using leamed uttemances.

Table 4 indicates the number of students tested in a specific language and the proficiency rating.

Table 16
Foreign Language Proficiency of Elementary Students As Rated by the Foreign Service Institute Proficiency Test

| Lartguage |  |  | POA |  |  |  |  | POB |  |  |  |  | POC |  |  |  |  | Ot |  |  |  |  | -1 |  | ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $K$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $K$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $K$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $K$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| French |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 15 | 6. | 5 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 16 | 1 |  |  | 1 | i |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Spanish | 2 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 4 | $\leq$ | 10 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| German |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | . | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Japanese | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Chinese | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 31/15\% |  |  |  |  | 71/34\% |  |  |  |  | 75/36\% |  |  |  |  |  | 17/8\% |  |  |  | 15/7\% |  |  |  |

The purpose of this pilot study was to determine if achievement in oral foreign language could be measurer and to determine problems involved in assessment of this nature. According to Table 16, Spanish and French were the most popular foreign languages. A larger number of second, third and fourth grade students selected foreign language as ari elective tizan did stidents in kindergarten and first grade. After one year of foreign language elective, approximately $70 \%$ of the students earned a rating of $P O B$ or POC indicating that they are able to use common expressions and perform in a limited manmer within very predictable settings using
learned phrases in the foreign language. Fifteen percent of those tested were judged to know practically none of the second language. Conversely, approximately $15 \%$ were functioning at a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}+$ or $\mathrm{P}-1$ level indicating they know isolated words and phrases or have achieved elementary proficiency. The grade in which the student was enrolled did not seem to be a big issue so far as achievement was concerned.

It appeared that in each language the curriculum mandated the teaching of greetings, numbers, colors, and names of body parts, foods, clothing, time, season of the year, age and name of the student and prepositions such as in, on, under, between. Oral language was taught. This is an appropriate develópmental age for students to succeed in mastery of oral language and oral vocabulary.

Four problems associated with the inplementation of the foreign language electives are listed below:

1. Administrators indicated there were some start-up problems.
2. According to the comments on the questiomaires completed by parents, there was some misunderstanding as to the purpose of the elementary foraign language program. Many parents indicated they were expecting one year of elementary foreign language to equal one year of instruction at the middle and senior high level.
3. Some youngsters changed languages at the semester and lacked a commitment to the foreign language component.
4. Assessment of achievement in foreign language was difficult to achieve and was not exact. This may render problems if longitudinal data gathering is to be attempted.

In general, the foreign language component seems to have been adequately implemented and, with some effort, can be assessed over time.

Objective VI: To Provide Equity of Educational Opportumity. One of the major purposes of the Schools of Choice Programs was to increase facility usage and achieve racial balance in particular schools. These schools, for the most part, were located within the beltline. The Schools of Choice Programs were a curriculum/instruction solution to a poprlation composition/concentration problem. The Schools of Choice Programs were imovative and offered instruction in areas above and beyond the regular school programs. Of the Schools of Choice Programs, the greatest expansion to existing programs occurred with the implementation of the elementary Gifted and Talented Magnet Schools. As previously described the curriculum at the elementary Gifted and Talented magnets was expanded to provide offerings in an array of content and special interest areas. The structure of the elementary school was modified to accommodate elective courses. Parental desire for these classes led to the school administration to place the elementary Gifted and Talented programs in schools accessible to students living in all areas of the camty: Gifted and Talented magnet programs were established in eight schools outside the beltline -Apex Elementary, Baucom, Carver, Fuquay Elementary, Lincoln Heights, Wendell, Wake Forest Elementary, and Zebulon Elementary. Since the rationale for placing the program at these schools was one of equal educational opportunity, these became known as "equity" programs.

Equity programs did not have ''draw' students assigned to them. This was the major difference between the magnet schools inside the beltline and those outside the beltline. In all other aspects -persomel, program, funding -- the equity programs were comparable to
other Gifted and Talented programs. The elective scheduling is the same in all Gifted and Talented magnets but the actual electives provided varied from school to school depending upon the interests and requests of students and their parents. Persomel were assigned and materials and equipment were provided to a particular school based upon the students' and parents' requests for electives. Personnel, materials and equipment needs differed slightly in all Gifted and Talented magnets. This was true regardless of location - inside or outside the beltline. Slight differences in courses and the accompanying support is also evident, but there is no apparent difference due to equity.

Persomel and materials were provided sufficiently to implement the Schools of Choice Program. An opportunity ${ }^{\text {h for }}$ similar (equitable) educational programs was offered for students to all parents in Wake County and this objective was met.

Indirectly related to the objective concerning equity of educational opportmity is the issue of the cost of implementing the Schools of Choice Programs. The Magnet Evaluation Advisory Committee requested information concerning the cost of persomel, materials, equipment and capital outlay for implementation of the equity magnet programs. Due to the sources of funding and the accounting process, it was not possible to obtain the cost of implementation of the equity programs or only the magnet programs.

Monies came from three sources: local funds, state funds, and grant funds from federal, state and private sources. Per pupil allotments to schools are based on the number of students enrolled in a
school and were the same for magnet and non-magnet schools. These per pupil allotments are available, but are not reported as they serve no purpose here.

Several attempts have been made to determine the amount of funds which were spent to implement the Schools of Choice Programs. A separate accounting for implementation cost was not maintained. In attempting to determine these costs, the question inevitably was 'How much would we have spent had we not been a magnet school?" Some principals made the decision to spend school allotted monies for needs relative to electives; others did not. These decisions are not obvious on purchase orders.

The Division of Maintenance and Operations was able to identify the following projects, and accompanying costs as directly related to implementation of the magnet programs:

| PROGRAM | NO. OF PROJECTS | ESTTMATED COST |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dance | 14 | $\$ 64,731$. |
| Audio/Video - Media | 6 | 25,421 |
| Extended Day - Lockers | 3 | 2,100 |
| Art | 1 | 3,876 |
| Science | 3 | 13,003 |
| Drama | 3 | 4,362 |
| TOTAL |  | $\$ 113,475$ |

Grants represented a third source of funds for magnet school expenditures. There were nine sources of revenue fran which support was given to the development/implementation of the magnet school programs for a total of $\$ 773,899.40$ as follows:
Basic Skills Program$\$ 10,330.00$Bilingual Education20,878:00
ComputeranicsGreative Learning through Arts ${ }_{\text {r }}$ andSocial Studies

$$
22,498.00
$$

Digital Equipuent Corporation

$$
140,000.00
$$ECIA Chapter I (EducationallyDisadvantaged)$58,874.40$

Disadvantaged) $\quad 58,874.40$
ECIA Competitive Grant ..... 108,000.00
Education Consolidation and Inrrovement Act ..... 382,716.00
The Transitianal Program for Refugee Children ..... $22,244.00$
TOTAL GRANTS

The implementation of the Schools of Choice Programs necessitated additional persomel in some schools. Generally, these were homeschool coordinators and persons to teach foreign language. Monies in the amount of $\$ 323,825$ were provided from grants listed above to support these additional positions.

Objective VII. To Provide for Increased Parental Participation. Each magnet school held one or more parent orientation meetings for the purpose of familarizing parents with the magnet concept. At these meetings, the concept of electives was explained. In the Gifted and Talented and International Studies Magnets the scheduling process necessitated the involvenent of the parents. Parents assisted the child in course selection and signed the schecule before it became final. Thus, parents of students in the above magnet programs were more personally involved in their child's scheduling than had previously been the case.

Other areas of parent participation in all schools is PIA membership, activities sponsored by parents and parent volunteers. Tables 1.7 and 18 show the number of parent volunteers and major activities sponsored by parents by school. The schools are divided into magnet and non-magnet categories. Thether or not a school has a magnet program does not seem to effect parent participation in these two categories. Data of this type for 1981-82-was not available. The use of this type data for evaluation purposes is of little value in that many other variables (other tham magnet program) may affect the amount of participation.

It" appears that this objective was accomplished, but through involvement with selection of a class schedule twice per year and not through participation in general parent activities.
'INB.F: 17
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PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT: HARENT VULUNIEERS

|  |  |  |  | (MA | NET) ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | PTA |  |  |  |  | FIELD | . |  |  |
| VOLUNTEERS | MEMBERSHIP | TUTORS | RESOURCE | AIDES | CLERICAL | TRIPS | OTHER | MAJOR ACTIVITIES IN | VOLVING PARENTS |
|  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  | MATERIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| 74 | $\begin{gathered} \text { PTO } \\ 200 \end{gathered}$ | 18 | 26 | 2 | 6 $6$ | 22 | 2 . | Cormunity posters Newspaper publicity Conmunity newsletter | Field Trips <br> Dinnen <br> Picnic <br> Tea |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & P T O \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | 36 | ; | 2 | 33 | 12 | . | Community newsletter | Dinner <br> Tea <br> Picnic <br> Fielá Trips |
| 81 | 258 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 45 | 1 | Fall Festival Candle Sale | Volunteer appreciati Open House Field Trips |
| 60 | 234 | $4$ | 10 | $3{ }^{\prime}$ | 4 | 30 | 9 | Media Coverage. | Dinner <br> Tea <br> Picnic <br> Field Trips |
| 107 | 304 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 12 | 1 | Campus beautification Science materials Halloween Festival Student pictures | Ronald McDonald(Safe Ballet, music, craft Opera, Puppets Black History |
| 59 | 235 | 4 | 5 |  | 21 | 10 | 19 | Fund Raising Hospitality (Dinner for teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Field trips } \\ & \text { Field day } \\ & \text { Theater production } \end{aligned}$ |
| 150 | 134 | 10 | ${ }^{78}$ | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 58 | 3 | Sales, T-Shirts, Food <br> P.E: Equipment <br> Photo Copier <br> shubbery <br> plaque | Book fair <br> Spring Camival <br> Music, drama, gifts <br> Symphony, poet <br> Black History. <br> 13 |

TAble 17
PARENTAI. INVOLVEMENT: PARENT VOIIINTEERS

| CHOOL | TOTAL <br> vOLINTEERS | PI'A MEMBERSHIP | TUTORS | RESOURCE | AIDES | CLERICAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIELD } \\ & \text { TRIPS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | OTILER | MAJOR ACTIVITIES IN | VOLVING PARENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cdots$ |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | MATERIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| F'uquay El. | 200 | 115 | 12 |  | 3 | 6 | . | $33$ | Music: Supplies <br> F.E. Supplies <br> Art Supplies <br> Phone Services. <br> Merit Auction Sales | heading Workbooks cultural Arta l'roje rield Trip; |
| Hantes | 113 | 207 | 8 | 12 |  | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 83 |  | Books <br> Copy Machines hospitality | opera Meception |
| No!mitr | 11:3 | 205 |  | 26 |  | 4 | 5 | 68 | ITA Board News le t ter. P.E. Committee | Field tripa Enibichment lroject Learning Center |
| Lacy | 259 | 353 | 20 | - | 4 |  |  | 235 | ITA, Board <br> Fim: ly Fund Night <br> Science Fair <br> Hospitality <br> raincipal/Farent Act. | Open House Mubic Comference American Education X-Mas Trogiam Pionic |
| Olds | 89 | 186 | i | i | 2 | 3 | 78 |  | ITMA Board Volunterers aent food (144 participated) |  |
| millipa | 120 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  | 120 | Ot. Aucmotine's Ciolleg whe Meredith college rroiectes | - binner <br> Tea <br> Picnic <br> Volunteer certifica <br> and Pins |
| por | 10 | 178 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  | Fall-sprived Prodects finta': shop r-shires ribrary hooks | Family Night. |

PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT: PARENT VOLUNTEERS
(Magnet)

| TOTAL <br> VOLUNTEERS | PTA <br> MEMBERSHIP | TUTORS | RESOURCE |  | CLERICAL | FIELD TRIPS | OTHER | MAJOR ACTIVITIES INV | VOLVING PARENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MATERIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| 200 | 145 | 12 |  | 3 | 6 |  | 33 | Music Supplies <br> P.E. Supplies <br> Art Supplies <br> Phone Sèrvices <br> Merit Auction Sales | Reading Workbooks Cultural arts Proje Field Trips |
| 113 | 207 | 8 | 12 |  | 10 | 83 |  | Books <br> Copy Machines <br> Hospitality | opera Reception |
| 102 | 205 |  | 25 |  | 4 | 5. | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTA Board } \\ & \text { Newsletter } \\ & \text { P.E. Conmittee } \end{aligned}$ | Fiel. trips <br> Enru...hment Project Learning Center |
| 259 | 353 | 20 | - | 4 | ${ }_{0}$ | , m | $2335$ | PTA Board Family Fund Night <br> Scierice Fair, <br> Hospitality <br> Principal/Parent Act. | Open House Music Conference American Education X-Mas Program Pienic |
| 88 | 186 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 72 | $\cdots$ | PTA Board Volunteers sent food (144 participated) | Dinner <br> Tea <br> Picnic. <br> Volunteer Certifict |
| 120 | 128 |  |  |  | , | $\checkmark$ | $120$ | St. Augustine's colleg and Meredith College Projects | Dinner <br> Tea <br> Picnic <br> Volunteer certific <br> and Pins |
| $135^{10}$ | 178 | 3 | $?$ |  |  |  |  | Fall-Spring Projecte Santa's Shop. $T$-Shirts. Library Books | Family Night $136$ |
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table 18
PARENTAL. INVOLVEMENT: PAREN'T VOLJNTEERS
( Non-Mlumet)

| iCHOOL | TOTAL <br> VOLUNTEERS | PIA MEMBERSHIP | TUTORS | RESOURCE | AIDES | CLERICAL | FIELD TRIPS | OTHER | MAIOR ACTIVITIES IN | OLVING PARENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | MATERIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| Lumm hisad | 200 | $7 \% 0$ | 20 | 6 |  | $50$ | 150 | 60 | Candle Sale <br> hoopitality <br> Media Coverage | Speakers <br> Ficld Trips <br> Book Fair <br> Dinner |
| Knightedule | 300 | 269 |  | 2 | 1 | 19 |  | . | Raffle. <br> Egg solves <br> Hoapitality <br> Newsletters | Hee-Ifas liny <br> Dedication Euening <br> Volumteer Dimer <br> Green Pince Baptist <br> Church Activities |
| Millirrovk Elementan! | 846 | 356 | 223 | . | 25 | 37 |  |  | Wews let ters Sales | Volunteer Dimer chuail Hollow ciarden Club Activities |
| North litulye | 150 | 588 | 8 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Hook sale wews let ters media foverage | Cultural Arts ionani <br> Play Lay <br> Special Interest <br> linmer <br> Conontiy Fair |
| Northumbl: | 173 | 306 | 99 | 7 | 5 | 15 | 47 |  | ichool \& Community Posters \& Newsletters V'elephone itles | Volunteer binner Cultural Arts Socie hohin hood Players "Kids on the Block' Poet-In-Residence |
| ShermedBrates | 100 | 23.9 | 20 |  |  | 6 | 26 | $\therefore 0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cam It" Projest } \\ & \text { Media roverage } \\ & \text { Sales } \\ & \text { News letters } \end{aligned}$ | Math-Around-the-CT. <br> Super Kida olympies <br> Learning Enhhange <br> Arts-in-the-School <br> "I'm Suecial" |
| Smith | 68 | 206 | 3 |  |  | : | 47 | 57 | lettors to porente Gialen | Fum Day, PEPI <br> Field Trips <br> Volunteer Dinner |


(Non-Magnet)

| TOTAL <br> VOLUNTEERS | PTA <br> MEMBERSHIP | TUTORS | RESOURCE | AIDES | CLERICAL | FIELD TRIPS | OTHER | MAJOR ACTIVITIES IN | VOLVING PARENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MATERIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| 200 | 720 | 20 | 6 |  | 50 | 150 | 60 | Candlé sale Hospitality Media Coverage | Speakers <br> Field Trips <br> Book Fair <br> Dinner |
| $200$ | 2.09 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 19 |  |  | Raffle Egg Sales Hospitality Newsietters | Hee-Haw Day nedication Evening Volunteer Dinner Green Pines Baptist Church Activities |
| 235 | $356$ | 223 |  | $25$ | 37 |  |  | Wewaletters Sales | Volunteer Dinner Quail Hollow Garder. Club Activities |
| 150 | 588 | 8 |  | 50 $\therefore$ |  | - |  | Book Sale Newsletters Media Coverage | Cultural Arts Cormi <br> Play Day <br> Special Interest <br> Dinner <br> Country Fair |
| 173 | 366 | 99 | 7 | 5 | 15 | 47 |  | Schooi \& Community posters \& Newsletters relephone sales | Voluntesr Dinner Cultural Arts Socie Robin Hood Players "Kids on the Block' Poet-In-Residence |
| 100 | 229 | 20 |  |  | 6 | 26 | 20 | "Can It" Project Media Coverage Sales Newsletters | Math-Around-the-Clc Super Kids Olympics Learning Exchange Arts-in-the-School "I'm Special" |
| $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ 15 \mathrm{~J} \end{array}$ | 206 | 3 |  | . | 2 | 47 | 57 | Letters to parents Sales | Fun Day, PEPI Field Trips Volunteer Dinner 15: |

TNBLF: J
PARENTAL, INVOI,VEMENT: PARENT VOLIINTEELIS
(Nin-Mki!nti:t.)

| iCHOOL | TOMAL | $1 \times \mathrm{CA}$ |  | FIFLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VOLUNTEERS | MEMBERSHIP | TUTOHS | RESOURCE | AIIES | CLERICAL | TRIPS | OTIIER | MAJOR ACTIVITIES INV | VOLVINC PARENTS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MATENIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| Stoush | 150 | 200 | 36 | 95 | 1 | 35 | 50 |  | Fall. Festival Nrews let.t.ere | Garden cluh <br> Cultural Arta Com Safoty Activit! linner |
| Swij't ('1'e't $k$ | 9.9 | 168 | 65 | 208 | 2 | 3 | 810 |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { r-shints } \\ \text { llonpital ity } \\ \text { crafts } \end{array}\right.$ | Luncheon <br> Athens Peer Progr Apex lligh PMPI PR Suift ciock Exhan Continental lreak |
| Rolusiville | $120$ | 27\% | 6 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 27 | 18 | Fall I Pestinal Nems let ters Merdia Coverrage ITA Projecte | Opera, Art Activi <br> brima <br> Gisupel sing <br> Open Campue <br> oqen llume |
| Vance | 100 | 2.41 |  | 8S |  | 25 | 2.5 |  | Caminal, Festival crounds Committere | Learming Exporien wield lay open llouse |
| Vandiria | 250 | 426 | 16 | $3!1$ | 1 | 8 | 1.3\% | 801 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { irrnival } \\ & \text { rimondsi committee } \end{aligned}$ | Super Kids Ka!! 36 Field Trips Field lk!y Papki Activities |
| Wellurm | 39 | 88 | $7{ }^{6}$ |  |  | 23 |  |  | Sal.es; <br> Nontiletters | Volumterer binner Kxceptional rhila |
| Will ... <br> Sprin!a | 57 | 53 | 3 | 9 |  | 1 | 30 | . | ITA Upen Mourae Winter/spring festiva | Field 7:יps <br> Field Ra!! <br> Volunteer Dimmer <br> Fuqualy Brationt Ch <br> Actint! |

152

PARENTAL INYOLIEMENT: PARENT VOLUNTEERS
(Non-ibagnet)

| TOTAL <br> VOLUNTEERS | PTA MEMBERSHIP | TUTORS | RESOURCE | AIDES | CLERICAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIELD } \\ & \text { TRIPS } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER | MAJOR ACTIVITIES INV | OLVING PARENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MATERIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| 150 | 200 | 35 | 95 | 1 | 35 | 50 |  | Fall. Festival Neusletters | Garden Club Cultural Arts Com. Safety Activity Dinner |
| 95 | 168 | 55 | 22 | 2 | 3 | 20 |  | T-Shirts Hospitality Crafts | Luncheon <br> Athens Peer Program Apex High PEPI PRO. Swift Creek Exhange Continental breakfa |
| 120 | 277 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 27 | 18 | Fall Festival Newsietters Media Coverage PTA Projects | Opera, Art Activity <br> Drama <br> Gospel Sing <br> Open Campus <br> Open House |
| 100 | 241 |  | 25 |  | 25 | 25 |  | Carnival; Festival Grounds Committee | Learming Experience <br> Field Day <br> Cpen House |
| 250 | 426 | 16 | 39 | 1 | 8 | 136 | 201 | Carnival <br> Grounds Committee | Super Kids Day 36 Field Trips Field Day Parks Activities |
| 39 | 88 | 16 |  |  | 23 |  |  | Sales <br> Newsletters | Volunteer Dinner Exreptional Childre |
| $57$ $153$ | 53 | 3 | 5 |  | 1 | 30 |  | PTA Open House Winter/Spring Festiva | Field Trips <br> Field Day <br> Volunteer Dinner <br> Euquay Baptist Churc Activity. |

'I'nlatr' Its
PabtNTAL INVOLVEMENT: PARENT VOLINTEE:R:;
( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}$ M-Mhtymet)

| H1)OL | 'UTAI, <br> vol.un'TEF:TR:; | FIA <br> MEMBERSMIP | TuTCMS | RE:GOURC: | AIDES | CIERICAI. | F゙LELD <br> TMIPS | OTHLTR | MAJOR ACTIVITIES | OLVING PARENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MATERIRIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| 'ork | 198 | 317 | 13 | 3.4 | 1 | $4{ }^{6}$ | $0.4$ | 4 | foud liatisers ramivai Book Fuir | Drams, mances <br> Art <br> Minater <br> Jumior lireat looks |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { :ust } \\ & \text { hillbumek } \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 96. | $\because$ |  |  | : 2 | 20 |  | Newspaper Coverrage Sules | Libmery Actibity <br> carcer lata <br> Mu! Ituy <br> Tusting Progress AE |
| North (mamed | (i) | $\begin{gathered} 1 l^{2} 10 \\ .100-500 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | $1 i$ | 1 | 9 | 17 | 17 | Newsléteres Whmulls/Guides roo Activity | Volunteer binner Téa <br> N. C. Wildlife <br> Commission Activit? |
| West liary | 115 | $\begin{aligned} & 1510 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $:$ | (i) |  | 2 | 15 |  | Fund haising Now:paper | Activity Speakers Counclot Musio Acti lad! silipuer club Auxurds Night |
| Wake romest middl. | ¢ | 118 | 1 | 10 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W:switetters } \\ & \text { Hospitality } \\ & \text { Uund hatsing } \end{aligned}$ | Coultural Artes Asso Volumteer Vinner Health Actibity |
| Weist Millbir | 1,k 150 | 96, | a |  | 1 | 6: | 16 | 18: | iathoe file Bucater (:Lub Nenomle:tti:3:3 | Open house <br> Fiteld I'rips <br> Volumteder brunch Rotary (Club Activi Cultural Art: Clud Lamducturina Action |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\rceil$ | - |  | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT: PARENT VOLUNTEERS
(Non-Magnet)

| TOTAL VOLUNTEERS | PTA | TUTORS |  |  | CLERICAL | FIELD <br> TRIPS |  | MAJOR ACTIVITIES | VLVING PARENS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESOURCE | AIDES | CLERICAL |  | OTHER | MATERIALS/FUNDS | CULTURAL ARTS |
| 108 | 317 | 19 | 34 | 1 | 46 | 94 | 4 | Fund Faisers Camival Book Fair | Drama, Dance <br> Art <br> Dinner <br> Junior Great Books |
| 150 | 464 | 2 |  |  | 25 | 20 |  | Newspaper Coverage Sales | Library Activity <br> Careen Ray <br> May Day <br> Testing Prooress A: |
| 61 | $\begin{gathered} P 90 \\ 400-500 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 16 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 17 | Newsletters Manuals/Guides FTO Activity | Votunteer Dinner Tea <br> N. C. witdlife Comission Activit? |
| 115 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTO } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 60 |  | 2 | 15 |  | Fund Raising Wewspaper | Activity Speakers Camelot Musie Actit Lady Slipper Club Awards Night |
| 55 | 148 | 4 | 10 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 25 | Wewsletters Hospitality Fund Raising | Cultural Arts Assoc Volunteer Dinner Health Activity |
| ok 150 | 954 | 25 |  | 1 | 62 | 15 | 125 | picture Sale Booster Club Newsletters | Open House <br> Fieid Trips <br> Volunteer brunch <br> Rotary c"lub Activi Cultural Arts Club Iandseaping Activi |
| $150^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $15 \%$ |

## APPENDIX I

## EVALLIATION PIAN

Schools of Choice Programs were initiated in the wake County Public School System at the beginning of the 1982-83: school year. Objectives for these programs follow with procedures for gathering data and evaluating each objective. A Progress Report is to be presented to the Board of Education during.the late summer or eariy zall of 1083. This report will include a detailed description of each zype srogram and a report of data to evaluate the program objectives.

Easeline data will be collected duxing 1982-83 with plans for a longitudinal study of five years. A progress Report will be submitted to the zoard of Education annuaily.

## MEASURABLE OBJECTIJES OF THE SCHODLS OF CHOICE PROGRAMS

I. To improve facilit: ittilization system-wide
A. Statement or program
3. zute current enrollments for all schools as of end of first school monti
C. State nimollments as of end or first month, 1981
D. State capacity figures established by the zoard of Education for all school

ミ. Compare current enrollment to capacity; report differences in percentages
F. Note the effect of magnet programs on capacity figures and current enrollments - include all schools
G. Show building capacity adjustments for. gifted and talented magnets and new programs in non-magnet schools. ?resent in chart. form.
7. Describe this two-year plan
I. Anaiysis of data and conclusions
II. To estailish a consistent organizational pattern of scinools (K-5, 6-3 and 9-12) county-wide
A. List all schools and indicate organizational patterns before and after the establisiment of the schools or chot 159 ?rograms. Include school
enrollment.
B. Indicate change in school enrollment due to Schools of Choice Programs III. To racially balance the student populations of schools
A. State racial compositions of all schools for the 1981-82 school year
3. State racial compositions of all schools for the 1982-83 school year
C. Vote any school where the number of minority students does not fall
between 15 and 45 percent
IV. To provide a more effective and economical transportation system
A. List problem areas identified by the Administration which lead to installation of the computerized transportation system
3. Indicate areas which were addressed
C. Indicate areas which continue to be problematic
D. Present a statement of the following for the 1981-82 school year and for 1982-83:

1. Number of miles traveled
2. Gallons of gas used
3. Number of buses
4. Average cost per mile, cost per pupil
5. Number of double drizers
6. Number of drivers who drive dowle routes
7. Cost of activity buses
8. Number of shuttles driven
9. To improve educational programs through expanded curricula
A. Describe eaci magnet program in detail. Include a comparison of magnet and non-magnet scheduling and course offerings.
10. Present system-wide mean scores by grade and subtest for three years 1981, 1982 and 1983
C. Present mean scores for ali schools by grade; note any incident where a significant number of studentsitransfer out.
D. Collect three year longitudinal achievement tesi data for siudents currently enrollec in grades 5 and 8 i.n magnet schools beginning with the 1981 scinool year. Collect two year longitudinal achievement test data for scuciente enrel 30 in Schools of Choice Progrinnis at grades 4, 6, 7 and 9 beginning with the 1981-82 school year (these scores are available on computer tapes). Shork data by magnet type.
E. Present the data above in two ::iys:
11. Mean scores for each grade, each year by magnet type 2. Profile each grade and magnet type by quartile
F. Determine mean scores for the base popuiation and the magnet "draw" by magnet type over three years at grades 5 and 8 and over two years at grades 4, 6, 7 and 9.
G. Profile the base populations and the magnet "draw" by quartile over a three year period at grades 5 and 8 and over a two year period at grades 4, 6, 7 and 9.
if. Determine the average daily attendance in all schools for 1982-83. Note ones ifr which Schools of Choice-Programs are available.
I. Determine incidences of discipline problems.
12. Report number of out-of-school suspensions - 1982-83
13. Report number of expulsions - 1982-83
14. Determine number and percentages of suspensions and expulsions which came from base populations and the number and percentage which were a part of the magnet draw beginning 1983-84.
J. Determine attitucies of parents, teachers and other professional educators and students toward the Schools of Choice Programs.
15. Conduct focus group interviews with representatives from the student and parent groups. These incerviews will be designed to elicit both variety in substance of attitudes neld and the relative intensity of
the respective attitudes. One group each of parents and students will be drawn from each magnet type with two groups of each from the elementary G-T Magnets (one inner city and one equity). The group will be formed as follows:

Students and parents will be selected from each magnet type and grade level. The sampling will be purposive. Classrooms will be selected randomly for each magnet type and level of schooling. Students will be selected from these classrooms, and alternatively will be white or black, and volunteer or base student. Students will be selected from grades 3-8, and 9-12 at Enloe figh School only. Parents will similarly be selected, but will not be the parents of the students selected to be interviewed.

Groups of parents and students will be interviewed separately with approximately ten people in each focused group interview. A total of 16 focused group interviews will be conducted. One group each of parents and students will be interviewed for each magnet type and school level, except in the case of the 14 gifted and talented elementary schools, two grouss of each will be interviewed. As a result, the design will be:

Magnet Type and Level of School
Gifted and Talented Elementary Schools

Gifted and Talented Middle Schools

Gifted and Talented Senior High Schools

International Elementary

Classical Elementary.

## Interview Groups

2 groups of parents
2 groups of students
1 group of parents
1 group of students
1 group of parents
1 group of students
1 group of parents
1 group of students
2. group of parents

1 group of students

I groip of parents
L group or stucents
i group of parents
－group of sticients

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { TOTAL }= & 16 \text { group interviews } \\
3= & \text { approximateiy } 30 \text { parents } \\
& \text { approximateiy } 80 \text { s cucents }
\end{aligned}
$$

Dr．George vobiit will be responsible for conducting tie focus groups， analyzing and sumuarizing data collected tirouç tinis metiod．

2．Jevelop a questionnaire to be administered to ail teaciers emplouved in tie nagnet sciools．\＃ave them respond to the instrument，incioviauis： suring a Eaculty meeting．One teacher wili be piected to coidect Enstruments and mail to the State Department of pubiic Instauction． Scanning and summarizing of questionnaire will be perミormed bu tie personnel at Vorti Carolina State University Computer Genter．

3．Deveiop a questionnaire tó surveu attitudes of parents oź aix stucients enrolied in magriet schools．Give option to maiz jack to sciooi．

4．Surrey attitudes of all students in grades 3－8 in ail magnet scizoois． Suryeu stucents in grades $\exists$ and il at Enioe itisi sonooi．
 ニンi．ura：understandings，ianguages，siaracter eciucation，jerfoming ants， ’ orodiem－sciring，computer knowledge wi－i de measused as part or fie iong－ range evaluation glan．Aaminister in fie spring oi mas a starciazizzed achievement test in science and sociad stugies tu s＝ucients in graces 4
 －283－34．Test ain viementary scincois at fourai grace ievei or none at ai：．
 science．In Eine Eoliowing magnet sciools profisiency in Eoreign iarouage wi－1 be measured by acministering riee Foreign seroice Institute Eest so 163
stucients enrolled in a foreign ianguage elective：

| Washington | Wenciell |
| :--- | :--- |
| Underwood | Niley |

Stazents enrolled Eor a Eull year will be tested．Only Eour sciools will be involyed during 1982－33 as a piloting effort．
․ Enice $\ddot{\because}$ gin scinool will be evaluated using the same guideinnes as Eor the other magnet schools．A description of the program，class sciedule， advanced classes and specialty classes will be included．Achievement zest scores＝or the gti grade for a two year period will be inciuded． $\because$ ：So zrovicie equity of educational opportunity
$\therefore$ ．Jescribe tie magnet programs outside the beitine
3．Make a statement of cost，program materiais and personnei．Determine $\therefore=$ Iie magnet concept was implenented．
$\because$ Z－．zo zrovide Eor increased parental participation
A．Jescribe tie opportunity for parental invoivement in the selection of eiectives in tine magnet programs

3．تncicate－numoer of yoiunteers in each sciooi $K-8$ and percentage of zarenes who roiunteer in ali sciools $K-3$ Eor 1981－32，1982－33．
 ョたたenced $\exists$ ？ma meeting anc other activities involvinc parents，eta．）

APPENDIX II
SURVEY FORTM

Instructions: Please read the items below and record your opinions by darkening the appropriate circles on the answer sheet. Please use No. 2 pencil. Classical elementary teachers should not answer items 11-15, concerning electives.

Strongly Agree Neutral Disagree | Strongly |
| :---: |
| Agree |$\quad$ Disagree

1. I like teaching this year.

A
2. My students seem to like school this year.
3. Most of my students are motivated to Zearn this year.

A
4. Teacher expectations of students are high in the Magnet School.s.

A
5. Student behavior is good this year. A
$\varepsilon$. I have more materials for basic courses this year than last year. $A$
7. The length of classes is sufflicient for students to learn basic skills. . A
8. The basics are being adequately covered this year.
9. Eristing curricula are adequate to guide preparation in the basic skills
(language arti, math, science, social studies).
$\because$ I wouid like more staff levelopment activitiec that prefare me to teach.
11. I have had alequate materials tc implement the elective courses.
12. Written cur icula are arequat? to :uide preparation in elective courses. A
13. I am adequately prepared to teach. A A
14. Time spent in elective corrses should be increased. $A$
15. Multigrade electives are acceptable. A

| $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ |

16. Students have succersfully adjusted to the schedule. $\cdot A$
$B \quad C \quad D$
[^2]Strongly Agree Neutral Disagree | Strongly |
| :---: |
| Agree |

Disagree
17. Stidents have chosen well-balanced schedules.

A
B
C
D
$E$
18. I have had adequate planning time this year for basic courses.

A
B
C
D
$E$
19. All in all, the Magnet School Program has been worth the effort.

A
B
C
D
$E$
20. If I have a choice, I will opt to teach in this magnet school next year.
$E$
21. My race is: (A) Black (B) White (C) Asian (D) Other
22. I have taught in Wake County Schools: (A) 1-5 years (B) 6-10 years (C) 11-15
(D) 15-20 years (E) $20+$ years
23. I have taught a total of (A) 1-5 years (B) 6-10 years (C) 11-15 years (D) 15-20 years (E) $20+$ years
24. Level of Education: (A) BacheZor's (B) Master's (C) Post-Master's (D) Docts
25. I teach: (A) K-2 (B) 3-5 (C) 6-8 (D) $9-12$ (E) Other
26. I chose to teach in this school.
(A) Yes
(B) No

* IF you wish to make comments below, be sure to turn in this page with your ansher sheet

Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Magnet Schooz Parent Survey

Instructions: The Wake County Public School Sustem would like to know your opinion of the Magnet Schools. ?lease read the statements below and check the appropmiate answer.

1. This year my child likes the school he or she attends.

Yes $\qquad$ ivo $\qquad$
2. This year, I like mi child's school.
3. Compared to last year, I like my child's school.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
More $\qquad$ Scme $\qquad$ Less $\qquad$
4. My child was assigned to the Magnet Program at my request. Yes $\qquad$
5. I am pleased with my child's class schedule.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
5. Class periods are long enough for my child.
7. I feel my child's teachers are well-prepared.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
8. ity child's teachers are available to talk with me about
me child's school work.
Yes $\qquad$
Q. I would recommend this school to other parents.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
10. M child rides the school bus.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
11. I an satisfied with the bus transportation provided for my childs.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
12. I om satisfied with my child's, progress this year.
13. If hour child has electives, are you satisfied with them? No Electives $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
14. The choi.:e of electives is: Too few $\qquad$ Adequate $\qquad$ Too many $\qquad$
15. Mu chizu is: Male $\qquad$ Female $\qquad$
Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
16. My chitis is: Black $\qquad$ White $\qquad$ Asian $\qquad$ Other $\qquad$

Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Base $\qquad$ Draw $\qquad$

## Elementary Student Survey (Magnet)

Instructions: Plecse read the statement and check your answer.

1. I like school better this year than last year.
2. I like my new subjects this year.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. School is fun this year.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
4. This is a good schoot.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
5. I like changing rooms for different classes.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
6. I mide the school bus.

Yes $\qquad$ No

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
8. I would choose to come to this school rather than another school.

Yes
No $\qquad$
9. My parents and I talk about school a lot.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
10. I am a giri.

Yes $\qquad$ I am a boy.

Yes $\qquad$
11. I am in grade

$\qquad$ 5 $\qquad$ -
12. I $a n$

Brack $\qquad$ White_Asian $\qquad$ Other $\qquad$

Comments: $\epsilon$
$\qquad$

Secondary Student Surveu (Magnet)

Instructions: The Wake County Public School System would like to know your opinions of the Magnet Schools. Please read the statements below and check the appropriate answer.

1. My parents and I requested magnet assigmment. $\qquad$
2. I like school better this year than last year.

Yes $\qquad$
3. I was able to schedule most of the elective subjects I wanted. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. I like the elective subjects I um taking.

Yes $\qquad$
5. Class periods are long Enough for me 25 learn the subjects.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
6. I am assigned to mide tut sool bus.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
7. Bus rransportation inch io povided is satisfactry.

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
8. If I could start thi. yectry r, I would choose to come to this school motrimi inan to another.

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$
9. I am Black $\qquad$ hite_ As:
$\qquad$ Other $\qquad$
10. I am Male Female $\qquad$
11. I cam in grade 6 $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ $i$ $\qquad$
11 $\qquad$
12: Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## APPENDIX III

PARENT INTERVIEW SCHEDULE
STUDENT INTERVIEN SCHEDULE
\%

## FOCUS INTERVIEW FORMATS

## PARENT INTERVIEWS

Frocess:

1. Introduction/Explanation:

This is a focused group interview and the rules are --
-- everyone gets a chance to speak
-- respect diversity of opinion
-- seek clarity
-- anonymity
We will ask you what you think about your school and the magnet program.

Their intro -- includes name, where they are from. PLAY BACK TAPE TO CHECK EQUIPMENT.
2. Give question. Seek out non-spontaneous answers. Elicit the range of responses.
3. Organize responses into "perspectives" and have group comment/critique and modify until tirey agree on them.
4. Repeat $2 \& 3$ with next questions.
5. Summations, congratulations, debrief on what happened and what didn't happen. Any personal comments, etc.

Interview:

1. Introduction
2. Why were you picked for this group?
-- base/volunteer
-- black/white
-- male/female
3. Why did you agree to come?
4. What don't you like about this school?
a. School?
b. Magnet concept
c. This magnet school implementation
d. Classmates and student relations
5. What do you like about your school?
a. School?
b. Magnet concept
c. This magnet school inplementation
d. Classmates and student relations
6. What happened that you had expected /to happen?
a. Length of classes
b. Choice of schools
c. Bus rides
d. Electives
7. What happened that you didn't expect to happen? What sumprised you?
a. Length of classes
b. Choice of schools
c. Bus rides
d. Electives
8. What is your involvement with school? What we.s it like last year?
9. Would you chose this school again next year?
10. If you could make two changes in your child's school, what would they be?
11. Summations, etc.

## Student Interviews

Introduction/Explanation
This is a focused group interview, and the rules are --
-- everyone gets a chance to speain
$\therefore$ respect diversity of opinion
-~ seek clarity
-- anonymity
We will ask you what you think about your school and the magnet program.
Their intro--name and where from (School). Use this as an equipment chéck and play back what they'said.

Questions. Seek out non-spontaneous answers. Elicit a range of responses. SUMMARIZE AFTER EACH QUESTION.
I. Describe how your school is different this year than last year?
A. Changing classes?
B. Length of classes -- shorter? longer?
C. Bus rides?
II. What dc you like about:
A. Your school
B. -The idea of a magnet program, the idea of electives. (Changing classes, length of classes)
C. This specific magnet program, these electives
D.? The other students at your school, in your program
E. Bus rides
III. What do you dislike about:
A. Your school
B. The idea of a magnet program, the idea of electives. (Changing classes, length of classes)
C. This specific magnet program, these electives
D. The other students at your school, in your program
E. Bus rides
IV. If you could change two things about your school, what would they be?
V. What happened this year at schol that you expected to happen?
VI. What happened that you did not expect to happen? What surprised you?
VII. Did your parent(s) help you to select your schecule?
VIII. Let's talk about your school building. Does anything need 'Fixed-up''?
IX. Has anyone in here (or in your school) rm out of paper, pencils, or supplies, or equipment?
X. (OPIIONAL) in one sentence, describe your year's experience here at your school.
XI. Thank you for your participation in helping us by telling us what you think about the magnet school. You can tell your parents and teachers that you have been:
--helpful
--informative
--articulate
--well-mannered
--that they should be proud of you.

## APPENDIX IV

ATTITUDES TONARD THE SCHOOLS OF CHOICE PROGRAMS

SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS OF SURVEY DATA ANALYSIS OF FOCUS GROUP INTERVIEW

The Advisory Committee for the Evaluation of the Schocls of Choice Program argued that the evaluation should attempt to determine the attitude's of parents, students and teachers concerning the programs. The consensus was that this effort should be part of the initial assessment to be presented to the Wake County Board of Education in the summer of 1983. It was suggested that a panel of researchers be convened to discuss elements of the technical design.

The panel of technical research experts discussed the design, and concluded that it was doubtful that' a survey could not be properly . conducted in the time allotted. (Approximately six weeks remained in the school year.) Instead, they proposed a seri.es of "focused group interviews" to get the preliminary data on opinions that could later serve as a basis for a survey in the following, year: The adninistration saw an immdiate need for a survey of the various constituents and asked that both. Ised group interviews and opinion surveys be conducted.

The interviews and sumeys were both designed to solicit informarion concerning overall assessments of the various programs and a series of special issues the research team, staff members of the Nake County Schools, and the Advisory Comittee anticipated were of concem. The two teciniques together enable a triangulation of results, thus enhancing the validity and reliability of the results. However, it should be remmiered that regardless of the power of using multiple data collection approaches that
this research was at best a prelininary, exploratory study. This assessment should be considered a pilot study that should lead to a new research design, new instruments and techniques. Therefore, it is suggested that this exact study not be replicated, but rather inqur ert.

## Researci Procedures

The design had two elements: the focused group interviews and the opinion surveys. Parents and students were bo*h surveyed and interviewed, wilie teachers were surveyed with a more elaborate instrument. Topics to be scudied were deveioped through a series of meetings with The Advisory Committee and selected staff of the take County Schools. It should be rememiered that the process through which the topics were nominated and Enali: included was one that focused on 'Known' issues, i.e. issues persons in the schools and commmity hat discussed. Thus the design Enuses on issues that are known to be controversial and tended to ignore issues of no controversy. In short, the design of study is biased towards - the negarive

The instrments, however, are probably biased towards the positive. The survey instrments (with the exception of the teacher instrment) allowed for dichotomous responses. It is generally beliered that such . response choices lead to people choosing a positive response more than a negative one. The focus group interview further is not able to tap highly sensitive and/or threatening information. Pather it is designed to have people discuss public issues and express their viewpoint.

The biases of design and instruments seem to off-set one another. Respondents may tend to be overly positive about negative issues. Further, parents for example, tend to be highly positive about their scioool and less so about education in general, suggesting that cimparisons between respondent groups (i.e. parents, students and teachers) are not appropriate. It is important that the reader remember these syste atic sources of bias. Since the biases are systematic, however, relative compa_sons between programs are appropriate. Again, absulute percentages are $n_{0}$ : interpretible and comparisons between respondent groups are not appropriate. Comparisons of programs by respondent groups based on relative percentages are interpretible.

## Teacher Survey

It was believed that the process of developing the survey instruments allowed some sophisfication about the opinions of the teachers. All teachers in magnet schools were presented a 26 item survey wiil a five point Likert-type response scale (strongly agree to strongly disagree). Given the limitations of this study, it was decided that the instrument should contain simple and direct statements. No controls for response patterns were employed. (See copy of instrment in Appendix II.)

At each of the magnet schools, the principal called a faculty meeting. The Test Coordinator at each building coordinated the process. The faculty then chose a teacher to collect the surveys and mail them to the Research Division of the State Department of Public Instruction. The teachers were to read the surveys and respond to them during the meeting. Although the school administrators called the faculty meeting, they were not present during
the completion of the questiomaire. Teachers were encouraged to write in comments at the bottom of the survey in space provided. The teachers responded on a NCR standard answer form so the data could be machine-scored.

Survey data was sumarized by computer and cross-tabulated by program to enable relative comparisons of teacher responses by type of magnet program. A total of 780 teachers retumed usable questionnaires for a respunse rate of $99+\%$. Comments were tabulated manually.

## Parent Survey and Interviews

Parents were both interviewed and surveyed. The parent survey was less scinhisticated than the teacher survey, owing to the range of reading levels in the general population. The sixteen item survey instrument asked for parents to indicate 'yes" or 'ho' concerning their agreement with the item. (See parent questiomaire in Appendix II.)

All students in magnet schools were asked to take a questionnaire home to their parents or guardian. Test Coordinators distributed the surveys in each school. With the survey was a letter explaining the procedirres The surveys were to te completed, sealed in an attached envelope, and returned. The student's name was indicated on the letter. Thus parents could :e"eive multiple questiomaires if they had more than one student in the magn. scinools. The data was keyed onto floppy discs and analyzed by program and for base and draw populations. A total of 9,616 parents returned usable surveys for a response rate of $63 \%$.

Since parents are in less regular contace with the school administration than are teachers, it was also decided to conduct a series of focused group interviews to attempt to establish the various perspectives held by parents. Classrooms were randomly selected in grades 3-12. Parents were then selected from these classrooms to perticipate in the group interview by the local school administration. Selection was purposeful with an attempt to include parents representative of the population with regard to race and whether or not they were from the base population or from the population drawn ("draw') to the school. The number of parents actually interviewed was 63 for the eight types of programs and and varied irom a low of 5 to a high of 12 . Forty-six percent of the parents were minorities and forty-three percent were from the base populations of the schools.

The foclsed group interviews were conducted ior groups of parents representing euch type of magnet program. One and one half hour interviews were conducted the evenings of Nay 9-12, at the school system offices. Parents were asked a series of open-ended questions that concerned their likes and dislikes, their overall assessment and two changes they would like to see in their school. The interview was designed to encourage and respect diversity of opinion. (See Appendix III for interview schedule.)

## Sindent Surveys and Interviews

Students were also both interviewed and surveyed. Given the differences in reading, comprehension, and evaluative skills between elementary and secondar:y students, two surveys were developer. While the
survey for the elementary students was a simplistic version of the one designed for secondary students, both surveys contained simple, direct statements requiring the student to respond yes or no to a series of statements. (Sree Appendix II). Again, no controls for response patterns were employed.

The student surveys were sent to the schools and administered in classrooms during the schrol day For the elementary students, the teachers read the instructions and statements and the students checked the appropriate response. Eleme:cary students below third. grade were not sampled. The secondary students read and completed the survey individually. Students in grades 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 were asked to respond to the secondary student survey. The completed surveys were keyed onto floppy discs. A total of 5,428 students retumed a usable questionnaire for a response rate of $90 \%$ ( $95 \%$ for eiementary students, $85 \%$ for secondary).

Since students are the most direct clients of the school, it was decided to conduct a series of focused group interview to attempt to establish the various perspectives held by students. Using the same procedure employed in selecting parents, students were selected to be interviewed in groups representing each type of magnet program (although $\mathrm{K}-2$ was excluded from the sample.) A total of 78 students were interviewed in relatively equal sized groups. Thirty-eight percent of the students iriterviewed were minorifies and fifty-nine percent were from the base populations of the schools.

The focuser group waviews were conducted for groups of students representing each type of net progran. The hour and a half interviews were conducted diring the schi" day. Students were asked a series of openended questions that concerned ti:-x: ikes and dislikes, surprises and expectatic:: and their overall a. , akets. The interview was designed to encourage $=$ respect diversi.' A "trem. (See Appendix III for interview schec 4. ,

## The Data Analys

The data were arslyzed by program type for each respondent: group (teachers, parents, eiementary students and secondary students.) The results of these araiyses are contained in the sections that follow.

Survey responses were populaiion data and were preserted in percentage form. Wests of sign:ficance are designed to penrit inferences from ary single sample to 2 population ard are def ndent in par.' on the sample size. To use tests of signizicance with these data would mean that sigitricant differences would be very easily formd in the Farts, less so in students, zu:ci much less so with teachers. In this situation, an arbitrary rule of difference is more appropriate. A $10 \%$ difference between prograns within a popuiation group was arbitrarily chosen to represent a significant difference. Anything less thim that is a "sligh." di.fference for these analyses. Ohviously, other arbitcary niles would bring different results. The reader is obviously, encourajed to rerumber this as these data are reviewed.

## Findirgs

In overview, the data gachered from the surveys and incerviews address three major issues central to a magnet program for achieving racial balance. One issue concems bus transportation. In general, there is agreement that existing bus transportation is adequare. That concern exists tends to focus on the: length of the bus ride for some students and overcrowding on sune bus routes. A second issue conceme adequacy of basic instriction. While most st:dents and parents believe hasics are adequately covered, a significant munority (Iess than 20\%) of studers and parents and a majority of teachers, believe class time and materials are inadequate for the basics. A third issus concems electives. There is a generally posizive attitude roward electives although a significant number of teachers felt students did not select balanced sciedules. Furiher, sor parents and students wrressed problems with scheduling of electives. Most of the complaints entered around an in:ability to get one's choice, especially a first choice, of electives Sorne apprehension was expressed by parents that begiming courses: in sucin areas as computer science were offered without a concrete plan for a second course in the same subject. A number of parents expressed confidence in their school persmmel to remedy this during the second year ifis, the progrem.

In general, the magnet program .s pe seived to be successful in offering an attractive academic program that parents in particular as well as students and teachers view as a desirable alcemative.

Teachers occupy a pivotal position in the overall school experience. Thus it is important to pay special attention to their perception of the magnet program. In general, teachers were positive in their assessments. Those teachers who did not choose to participate in the magnet program were, however, more negative in their assessments. Overall, teachers believed students were motivated to learn and had adjusted to the schedule. There was, however, expressed concern whether students had selected balanced schedules. With regard to basic and elective curricula, most teachers believed electives were adequately covered while there was less agreement with the adequacy of coverage for the basics.

The findings from survey and interview data indicates teacher, parent and student attitudes about the Schools of Choice were positive. However, the attitudes varied somewhat by program types. The data summarized by program (below) indicate departures of programs from the generally positive perceptions of teachers, parents and students.

## Senior High Gifted and Talented Program

- Enloe students, parents and teachers were generally quite positive of the magnet program. Some students expressed concern over the six minutes allowed between classes, but others wanted longry class periods. Parents expressed both concern over basics and als ${ }^{\circ}$ general satisfaction with delivery. Teachers expressed disagreement that students choose a balanced scheduled. They also expressed some concern that basics were adequately covered. But as a group, they were supportive of the magnet
program and believed iistruction to be adequately provided. As one student at Enioe stated, "The Program was excellent, I didn't expect so much."


## Elementary Classical Studies Program

Overall, the interpretation of survey and interview data reveals a very positive perception. Teachers expecially appear to like being in the program. This positive attitude is shared by the students and parents. In the interview, parents expressed few dislikes. Among students, a primary complaint was the length of the bus ride, but most were enthusiastic about the program in the interview and positive in the survey.

## Elementary Gifted and Talented Program

Parents, students, and teachers were positive about the program and most parents would repeat the experience parents seemed pleased with the effects of having a racially diverse student body, and said they preferred this approach to desegregation to be preferable to mandatory pupil assignment. Some parents exprnssed concern with schedules, electives, and bus ride. Students we very positive about the magnet program, although the bus ride remains an object of :oncern. Teachers were generally positive about the program although teachers who did not choose the gifted and talented program assigrments were less positive.

## Extended Day Program

This program is designed to provide extended activities for children of working parents. Parents liked the program and concept very much. Pa::onts from draw areas were more positive than parents in base areas.

Many of the 'fase" parorts do not see this program as a magnet. Students report liking the program, offered, but some would not choose to be in the program. As one student indicated, "I have to be in it." Extended Day teachers were among the least enthusiastic of cher groups perhaps because the magnet program is not part of the regular curriculum. No significant dislikes were expressed, however, about the program. In general, parents -- and to a slightly less extent teachers, and students -favor the program and are satisfied with its delively.

## International Studies Elementary Program

As a group the students were positive about the program, but like elementary students generally, were less positive about the bus ride. They expressed some concern regarḍing availability of elective offerings. Parents expressed particular concem over the distance of bus transportation and general facilities, but this group of parents was positive about the program, inscruction, schedule and electives. International studies parents were among the least satisfied with their child's progress (even though test score data does not corrobate this concern.) Nine out of ten parents would, however, repeat the experience. Teachers were positive about this program, but some expressed concern regarding class time and coverage for the basics.

## Middle Giftei and Talented Progran:

The findings from students, 'parents and teachers were very positive but conditioned by several concerns. Students were vocal in their complaints
about the school, especially regarding bus transportation. Draw students tended to be more positive about the program than base. Parents were highly positive but base less so that draw. Parents did express concern with implementation, elective offerings, and coordination with the high school programs. Teachers in the middle gifted and talented program were among the least enthusiastic but overall were supportive of the program. Their concerns tended to center on issues of plaming time and preparation, curriculum and coverage of basics, and adequacy of materials for electives.

## Middle Classical Studies Program

Like their middle gifted and talented counterparts, middle classical. students, teachers, and parents exhibit conditional support of the program. Parents were quite positive about the schools, especially the Structure and emphasis on basics. Sone expressed concem over elective offerings. Most parents said they would select this school again if they had the opportmity. Middle classical teachers were the least positive about the magnet program among teacher groups. They expressed less satisfaction with material.s and coverage of basics, the adequacy of existing curriculum, and students schedules and behavior. Students were less positive about the middle classical program than other magnet student groups. They expressed concern aioout the strictness of rules, class length, time between classes, course offerings and schecules. As summarized by one student: "School was different. I learnel' more in language arts." Another: student said of classes, "Some were fun. Some weren't."

## Conclusion

In considering the magnet program generally, the data from surveys and interviews are consistent in indicating support among teachers, parents, and students. One may however, be concemed with the conditional support indicated by students, parents and teachers in both middle school program groups. Since elementary and senior gifted and talented programs received much greater support than the similar middle school program, and because the ."differentness" of the middle classical program from traditional approaches may be perceived as being minimal, we encourage examination of problums unique to the middle school years as the first step, and the problems unique to the middle gifted and talented and middle classical magnet programs as the second step.

## TEACHER ATTITUDES

SURVEY DATA ANALYSIS
Sample Characteristics
The population of teachers who returned their resronses was 788. The questionnaire had sought information in order to be able to better describe the population. The characteristics of the population were:

| Race | 18\% | (133) | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $79 \%$ | (581) | White |
|  | . $04 \%$ | ( 3) | Asian |
|  | 3\% | ( 12) | Other |
| Years Taught in Twake County | 42\% | (316) | 1-5 years |
|  | 26\% | (191) | $6-10$ years |
|  | 14\% | (107) | 11-15 years |
|  | 12\% | ( 88) | 15-20 years |
| $\because$ | 6\% | ( 47) | 20 or more years |
| Level of Education | 70\% | (530) | Bachelor's |
|  | 21\% | (153) | Master's |
|  | 8\% | ( 54) | Post-Master's |
|  | 1\% | ( 7) | Doctorate |
| Grade Level Taught | 26\% | (187) | K-2 |
|  | 23\% | (169) | 3-5 |
|  | 23\% | (165) | 6-8 |
|  | 1.2\% | ( 84) | 9-12 |
|  | 16\% | (122) | Other |
| Chose to Teach in This School | 84\% | (618) | Yes |
|  | 16\% | (106) | No |

General Assessment of Magnet Program
The magner school teachors were asked to respond to three staterients to try to assess their general opinions of the nagnet program. The teachers were first asked to choose a response to the item, 'I like teaching this year.|' Seventy-eight percent of the teachers \&incicated agreement (total pericunt agreed or strongly agieed.) Eleven percent were/neutral and eleven percent indicăted disagreeneri: (total percent disagreed or strongiy disagreed.)

Program type seened to affect the responses someriat. Elementar: anr senior gifted and talented programs had eighty percent and aighty-cne percent of the teachers, respectively, agreeing or strongly agreeing aith the statement. Similar levels of agrement were found in the incemational, elementary classical, and extended day prograns (80\%. $34 \%$, anci $36 \%$ agrement, respectively.) Sixty-nine percent of the texchers in midite gifted and telented prograns indicated agreement ( $12 \%$ neutral and $19 \%$ indicated disagreenent.) Sixty percent of the middle ciassical program teachers iere in agreement, ten percent were neutral and thirty percent indicatod isagreenent

The teachers yere also asked to responc to the statenent, "ill in all, the magnee school program has been worth the effort." Eifto-nine percent of the teachers agreed or strongly agreed. Thenty-one percent isagried or itrag disagreed, and sixteen percent rere neutral. Higrer levels of agement aere found in the senior gifted and talenter proran (75\%), and elenentar aisusical progran ( $8 \%$ ). The elementar: gifued and caienced grogen had stry-uree percent agreenentiond the international sucies progrm had sixty percert agreement. Efencec day had fort; percent agrecing, but also rac a large newno response ( $42 \%$ ) as to wether the magre school prograt had then anthe effort. According to comrents made by teachers in we axended day maseus. many of them do not include thembeives as part of ac nagnet procear as ut extended day prozran is largely operated by teacters tho are para-time it appears that the facilities are not alway integrated. This was seen as abter by some of the parents tho participated in the focus gove Entervows. has
 not express strong sentiment about this tronet procth wide gated ard talented program teachers responded with fortorine perent in agrerman ore lowest rate of agrement was found amon the miden classica veachers (af).

When asked, 'If I have a choice, I will opt to teach in this magnet school next year, "seventy-five percent agreed or strongly agreed. Twelve' percent were neutral while thirteen percent were in disagreement. Middle gifted and talented and middle classical programs h三d the lowest rates of agreement ( $67 \%$ and $61 \%$, respectively.) Internationá :tadies and extended day programs exhibiting similar results of seventy-five and seventy-two percent agreement, respectively. Elementary gifted and talented had severicy-six percent of teachers in agreenent while senior gifted and talented had eighty...hree percent in agreement. Elementary classical teachers were most positive ith eighty-seven percent in agreement.

In summary, the teachers were generally positive in their assessments. Teachers in both the middle school prograns were less enthusiastic about the program generally. Elementary and senior gifted and ralented teachers; international and elementary classical teachers were consistently more positive. Finally, it is important to note that the sixteen percent who did not choose to teach in a magnet program but were assigned one were consistently more negative than those who did.

## Special Issues

The teachers were asked to respond to statements about their students and the nature of the instructional program and support. Several items concerned students. Eighty-three percent of the teachers agreed or strongly agreed that most of their "students seem to like school this year." Middle classical had the lowest percentage of agreement (51\%) while elemerrtary classical had the highest rate of agreement ( $95 \%$ ). The other programs, had seventy-three percent agreement for middle gifted and talented, seventy-nine percent for senior gifted and talented, eighty-eight percent forf international studies, eightynine percent for extended day and ninety percent for elementary gifted and talente

The second student item concerned the students motivation to learn this year. Sixty-nine percent of teachers responding agreed that students were motivated. Senior High gifted and talented program teachers indicated fifty-eight percent agreement, $51 \%$ of middle school gifted and talented teachers agreed and seventy-six percent of the elementary gifted and talented teachers saw their students as motivated. Middle classical teachers were the least likely to agree ( $42 \%$ ) with the motivation item. International studies, elementary classical and extended day teachers were most likely to agree ( $80 \%, 83 \%$, and $88 \%$, respectively.)

Seventy-three percent of all teachers surveyed agreed their expectations of students were higin while only nine percent expressed disagreement. The programs varied in their responses. The middle classical and the extended day programs had the lowest rates of agreement ( $58 \%$ and $66 \%$, respectively.) A middle group seemed evident somposed of the elementary gifted and talented ( $72 \%$ agreement), intemational studies (74\%) and middle gifted and talented (75\%). The programs with the most teachens agreeing that teacher expectations of students is high are the senior gifted and talented ( $84 \%$ ) and the elementary classical ( $90 \%$ ) . A less optimistic view emerges from their evaluation of student behavior. Overall, only forty-four percent of the teachers agreed or strongly agra that student behavior was grod. Student behavior was considered good by teachers more often in senior gifted and talented (59\%) and elementary classical (56\%). The extended day teachers had fifty percent agreement and internatimal studies had forty-seven percent agreement. There was less agreement expressed by elementary gifted and talented and by middle classical program teachers (both $39 \%$ ) and by the middle gifted and talented teachers (36\%).

Sixty-aight percent of all the teachers agreed or strongly agreed that students have adjusted to the new schedule. Only fifteen percent are in disagreement, while seventeen percent remain neutral. Middle classical (58\%), senior gifted and talented ( $61 \%$ ), middle gifted and talented ( $63 \%$ ) had lowest rates of agreement while extended day (67\%) and elementary gifted and talented (69\%), and international studies (70\%) had somewhat higher rates of agreement. Eighty-nine percent of the elementary classical teachers agreed that their students had adjusted to the new schedule.

The last student measure concerned students' choosing "well-balanced schedules." There was no teacher consensus. Thirty-seven percent agreed, thirty-one percent were neutral while thirty-two percent were in disagreement. Agreement was strongest among international studies program teachers (54\%) and elementary gifted and talented (46\%). Middle gifted and talented (27\%), senior gifted and talented (29\%), and middle classica1. all shared low levels of agreement. Nonresponses were high for the elementary classical. and extended day because this item is not applicable to them.

A series of questions tried to tap the instructional program and support. Two questions concerned available materials for instruction. Forty-nine percenc of teachers disagreed with the statement that there :re more materials for basics; thirty-three percent were neutral; while eighteen percent agreed. Midole classical teachers were in greatest disagreement (66\%), while senior gifted ant talented teachers had forty-eight percant disagreement and international studies had fifty-five percent $\dot{C}$.isagreement that more materials were available for the basics this verr. Disagreement was less for extended day ( $39 \%$ ), middle gifted and talented ( $41 \%$ ), elementary classical ( $43 \%$ ), and elementary gjfted and talented (48\%).
A..second materials question concemed the adequacy of materials for electives courses. Fifty-two percent expressed disagreement with the statement. Thirty-one percent expressed agreement while only seventeen percent remained neutral. There was no program type in which a majority of the teachers agreed with the statement. Middle classical teachers were among the least likely to agree ( $13 \%$ ), while nineteen percent of middle gifted and talented agreed. The rates of agreement were higher for senior gifted and talented (35\%), elementary gifted and talented (37\%) and international studies (40\%). The question is not applicable to elementary classical or extended day programs.

Three items concern time allotted and coverage of courses. Thirty-eight percent of all teachers agreed "length of classes is sufficient to learn basic skills." Fortjr-eight percent, however, disagreed, and fourteen percent are neutral. There were wide differences by program in teacher attitudes. Elementary classical and extended day, both more traditional in program, exhibited the highest agreement ( $80 \%$ and $73 \%$, respectively.) Middle gifted and talented, middle classical, the senior gifted and talented, and elementary gifted and talented had majorities indicating disagreement ( $67 \%, 64 \%, 60 \%$, and $53 \%$, respectively.) International studies had forty-two percent agreement and forty percent disagreement. One finds teachers with older students were more likely to indicate the length of class was not sufficient for students to learn basic skills.

Another question addressed the same issue from another vantage point by asking the teachers to indicate relative agrement that the basics are being adequately covered. Teachers were more inclined to agree ( $55 \%$ ) with the statement
than to disagree ( $26 \%$ ), but agreement varied widely by program. Elementary classical ( $85 \%$ ) and extended day ( $73 \%$ ) were most likely to agree, the middle schools teachers were most likely to disagr:e (niddle gifted and talented - 55\%; middle classical - 54\%). Oniy thirty-three percent of the elementary gifted and talented agree, while forty-one percent of international studies teachers and forty-nine percent of the senior gifted and talented teachers agreed.

The third question on time and coverage of subject matter concerned whether the time in elective courses should be increased. Most teachers believed elective course time should not be increased (72\%). Only thirteen percent agreed, fifteen percent were neutral. The item is not applicable to the elementary classical and extended day programs. The programs had similar rates of agreement (middle classical, $9 \%$; international studies, $10 \%$; elementary gifted and talented, $12 \%$; senior gifted and talented, $14 \%$; and middle gifted and talented, $17 \%$ ). It should be noted however that thirty-nine percent of the senior gifted and talented were neutral.

Overall, one gathers the impression that teachers think basics are being adequately covered but don't want to see more time going to electives. There appears to be no consensus in opinion of teachers as to whether or not the time spent on courses is sufficient.

Three items concerned the curricula. Overall, slightly over half (57\%) agreed with the statement that existing curricula are adequate to guide preparation in basic skills. Twenty percent disagreed; twenty-three percent were netural. The impression is that teachers are mildly satisfied with the basics curriculum. Programs varied little. Elementary classical teachers
agreed most often (77\%), international studies had sixty-four percent agreement, while senior gifted and talented and extended day teachers had fifty-nire percent and fifty-eight percent agreement, respectively. Elementary gifted and talented and fifty-six percent agreement. Midulle gifted and talented and riddle classical teachers agreed least often ( $50 \%$ anc $49 \%$, respectivel.s.)

Teachers did, however, tend to disagree (52\%) that written curricula were adequate to guide preparation in electives. Twenty-six percent were in agreement, while trenty-two percenc were neutral. The item is not applicable to the elementary classical and extended day programs. The highest rate of agreement was among the senior gifted and talented teachers (39\%). Intemational studies and elementary gifted and calented had rates of i.greement of thirty percent and twenty-eight percent, respectively. Middle classical had the lowest agreement. ( $15 \%$ ) but also had the largest neutral category ( $31 \%$ ).

When asked whether multi-grade electives are acceptable only serior gifted and talented teachers exhibited a clean preference with sixty-four percent in agreement. Teachers in other programs were about equally divided in agreeing: or disagreeing, and overall thirty-nine percent agreed and thirty-nine percent disagreed.

Overall, teachers appear to be divided in opinion regarding the curricula. They seem somewhat satisfied with curricula for basic courses brst somewhat dissatisfied with written curricula on elective courses.

A final set of items concern teacher preparation for instruction in the magnet program. Forty percent of teachers overall, would like more staff development. Thirty-five percent do not want more; while twenty-five percent
were neutral. In no program did a majority of teachers favor more staff development. Elementary classical ( $24 \%$ ) and extended day ( $30 \%$ ) had the lowest rates of agreenents, but both also had large neutral categories ( $27 \%$ Fnd $40 \%$, respectively.)

Teachers were also asked if they 'had adequate plaming time this year for basic courses." Thirty-eight percent were in agreement and forty-eight percent were in disagreenent. Middle and senior gifted and talented teachers were less likely to agree (both 27\%.) Teachers in other programs remained slightly negative about the adequacy of their plarming time for the basies.

Finally, teachers were asked if they were "adequately prepared to teach." Sinety percent thought they were. There was little variation by program.

The items on the teacher survey that attempted to tap special issues are difficult to sumarize. Of the items concernirg students, teachers seemed least positive about student behavior and students "choosing balanced schedules. They tended to think students liked school, had adjusted to the schedule, and were motivated to leam. Teachers also thought their expectations of students were high.

With regard to instructional materials most teachers felt there were not more materials for basics provided for the 1982-83 school year. Most also indicated they did not have adequate materials to implement the elective courses.

## 198

:Thile just over half the teachers thought basics were adequately covered, slightly less than half the teachers thought the length of class sufficient for students to learn basic skills. A clear majority did not think time spent in elective courses should be increased.

Slightly over half the teachers thought the curriculum for the basics was adequate and for electives was inadequate. Only senior gifted and talented program teachers favored multigrade electives.

Teachers expressed mixed views regarding additional staff development and plaming time. There was no question, however, that teachers overall considered themselves adequately prepared to teach. The pattern of these results, it should be noted, is systematically affected by whether the teachers perceived they had chos n to teach at :heir school or not. The sixteen percent of the teachers who did not choose to teach at the school wert consistently more negative than the teachers who choose their school.

Since these special issures items cover a broad spectrum of issues it is difficult to summarize the patterns of responses by program type. However, the middle school programs consistently have lower rates of agreement. The reason for this is not readily apparent. Certainly, the middle school years are generally regarded as the most difficult, but the data did not allow for systematic assessment of the causes of the attitudes of the teachers.

To concliae, it appears that a majority of the teachers have a positive opinion of the Schools of Choice Programs in general. The teachers feel they are adequately prepared to teach and the majoitity do not want additional staff development activities. Length of class periods and number of electives are issues on which there is not a clear-cut consensus. Apparently, there have been some start-up problems which need attention -- multigrade elctives, lack of materials, inadequate written curricula for the electives. The middle school programs have the least positive attitudes. Further, teachers were much more likely to express positive opinions about the program and the school if the teacher had chosen to teach in the program. A sumary of the responses of the Magnet School Teachers is on pages 92 and 93.

ANALYSIS OF SURVEY DATA
Sample Characteristics
A total of 9,616 parents returned questiomaires. This sample represented parents of children in gracies kindergarten through eight plus parents of students in grades 9-12 at Enloe High School. The characteristics of the students as reported by parents were as follows:

| Race | $32 \%$ | $(3038)$ | Black |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $66 \%$ | $(6206)$ | White |
|  | $1.4 \%$ | $(136)$ | Asian |
| Sex | $.6 \%$ | $(64)$ | Other |
|  | $49 \%$ | $(4626)$ | Male |
|  | $51 \%$ | $(4850)$ | Female |


| Grade | K | $10 \%$ | $(895)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $11 \%$ | $(959)$ |
|  | 2 | $10 \%$ | $(915)$ |
|  | 3 | $10 \%$ | $(908)$ |
|  | 4 | $11 \%$ | $(955)$ |
|  | 5 | $12 \%$ | $(1078)$ |
|  | 6 | $13 \%$ | $(1114)$ |
|  | 7 | $9 \%$ | $(749)$ |
|  | 8 | $7 \%$ | $(574)$ |
|  | $3 \%$ | $(275)$ |  |
|  | 10 | $2 \%$ | $(186)$ |
|  | 11 | $1 \%$ | $(121)$ |
|  |  | $1 \%$ | $(121)$ |

## General Assessment

Four items were included in the questionnaire to determine parents' general assessment of the magnet program: 'My child lịkes the school attended," " "This year, I like my child's school," "Compared to lastyea:: I like the school," and 'I would recommend this uciool to other parents."

The parents were quite positive about the school and the magnet program. Overall $92 \%$ of the parents reported their child liked the school he/she attended. Parents with children in middle classical (base, $81 \%$, and draw, $84 \%$ ) and base extended day ( $82 \%$ ) programs while still, quite positive were somewhat less likely, however, to indichte their children liked the school attended than were parents of children in other programs. Parents with children from draw areas, regardless of school programs, consistently reported their children liked school at a higher rate than did parents with children in base areas, ( $84 \%$ base agreed, compared to $95 \%$ draw). --

Parents consistently 'liked' the school attended this year, (92\%). Again, parents from the draw area expressed greater liking for the school, (95\%), than did parents from the base popilation, (34\%), although both were quite positive. While parents with children in extended day programs expressed virtually umanimus likir.g for the school program (98\%), parents overall reported high levels of ?.iking for the schools for themselves and their children regardless of the type of magnet program.

It is apparent that parent attitudes have improved over the past year, regardless of whether they choose the magnet program (draw) or elected to stay in their assigned school "(base), although the draw parents' attitudes improved considerably more. Compared to the previous year, $28 \%$ of the parents in the base areas and $51 \%$ of parents in draw. areas reported liking the school better this year. Fifty-four percent of the base area parents and $41 \%$ of the draw parents liked the present school about the same as the school their child attended the previous year. Eighteen percent of the parents in base areas and $8 \%$ of paren :s in draw areas liked the cumrent school their child attended less. Compared with parents from other base program populations, parents with children in the intemational program expressed a higher rate of liking better this year the school program ( $42 \%$ ), than did base area parents generally. Overall, $88 \%$ of the parents li.ked the present school more or the same as the previous year. Parents with children in middle classical draw populations (80\%), were less iikely then draw parents in other magnet programs (92\%), to indicate same or more prefernce for the present school over the previous school. Again, however, partents are highly positive.

Overall, parents expressed a strong wiliingness to recommend the school to other parents, (89\%). 'Parents from draw populations (93\%) were more likely to recommend their child's school to others than were parents from base areas, ( $81 \%$ ). Within the base program populations, parents with children in elementary classical programs were most likely•to recommend the school $(95 \%)$; witile the intemational program base parents were least likely of the base parents, (75\%) to recommend the school.

Although still willing to offer recommendations to others, parents with children in middle classical draw ( $82 \%$ ) areas were less willing to offer positive recommendations than other draw parents. Again, however, the least positive parent groups are still highly positive.

Overall, parents expressed a highly positive assessment of the schools. In every case, parents in draw areas exhibited higher rates of positive assessment than did parents in base areas. Parents with children in extended day programs expressed very high levels of liking the program.a Base extended day program students and middle classical program students were reported by parents not to be as positive about the school. Overall, most parents liked the school more or about the same as the previous year. Draw parants with children in the Classical Middle School program were sonewhat less positive however, than other draw parents. Middle classical draw parents were also less likely to recommend the school to other parents, even though a vast majority indicated they would recommend the school.

## Special Issues

The parents were asked their opinions about a range of issues believed to be relevant to the Schools of Choice Program. Opinions about classes, teachers, their child's program, and the bus ride were all sought. Even though these topics were issues of believed controversy it could have been expected that parents would be more negative. However, parents remained quite positive, al beit somewhat less than positive than in their general assessments. Parents were asked if they were pleased with their child's class schedule. $83 \%$ indjcated that they were. Draw parents were more
likely to be pleased ( $82 \%$ ), than the base parents (76\%). Among the base parents, those in the elementary gifted and talented (70\%), miadle gifted and talented ( $75 \%$ ), and middle classical ( $77 \%$ ) were less pleased than those in other programs. Among the draw parents, the middle gifted and talented ( $81 \%$ ) and middle classical ( $80 \%$ ) programs had the least positive responses. Again, however, these are still high rates of agreement.

Parents were also asked if class periods were long enough and $82 \%$ indicated they were. The draw parents were more positive than base parents ( $89 \%$ versus $76 \%$ ). Among the base parents, the elementary and middle gifted and talented programs had the least positive responses ( $71 \%$ and $72 \%$, respectfully). Among the draw parents, the middle gifted and talented program received the least'positive response about length of classes (73\%), although almost three-fourths of the parents saw the length of classes as adequate.

Parents were asked if they were satisfied with their child's electives, and it seems they were. Of those who had electives, $82 \%$ reported they were satisfied' with the: electives. The draw parents were more satisfied ' ( $86 \%$ ) than the base parents ( $75 \%$ )." The middle classical program received the least positive (but still positive) generally response from base parents (69\%) and (70\%) from draw parents.

Parents were asked about the choice of electives an'd again, wẹre generally positive with $70 \%$ indicating the choice was adequate, $13 \%$ indicating "too few" choices, and $18 \%$ indicating "too many." Draw parents were slightly more likely to see the choice as adequate (75\%)
than the base parents ( $68 \%$ ) who were slightly more likeiy to say too many choices. Of the base parents, the extended day and elenentary classjical programs were excluded since these programs do not contain electives. Base parents in the middle classical ( $60 \%$ ), middle gifted and talented ( $64 \%$ ), and elementary gifted and talented ( $67 \%$ ) viewed the choice of electives to be adequate. Of these, the elementary and middle gifted and talented parents saw too many electives, ( $23 \%$ and $28 \%$, respectfully) while middle classical saw too few ( $25 \%$ ). Of the draw parents (again, excluding extended day and elementary classical), the middle classical parents were least likely to see the choice as adequate• ( $60 \%$ ) with $28 \%$ seeing too few electives in that program. Thus it appears that programs that emphasize electives are criticized for having too many, while those who de-emphasize electives are criticized for having too few electives.

Parents were asked about the teachers. The parents overwhelmingly * agreed that teachers were well-prepared (91\%), with draw parents slightly more. likely to see teachers as prepared (93\%) than base parents ( $85 \%$ ). Of the base parents, the three gifted and talented programs were seen as having the least well-prepared teachers although all were highly positive (elementary gifted and talented $84 \%$; middle gifted and talented $83 \%$ and senior gifted and talented 79\% well-prepared). Draw parents of the middle classical, middle and senior gifted and talented'programs ( $82 \%$, $87 \%$, and $90 \%$, respectively,) perceived teachers as well-prepared although less than was the case with other magnet draw parents. Again, all parent groups were highly positive cautioning us not make distinctions between programs.

The availability of teachers to meet with parents about their child's schoolwork wassalso quite favorably perceived by parents. Parents were highly positive ( $95 \%$ ) with draw parents only slightly more positive ( $96 \%$ ) than base parents ( $53 \%$ ). The range of responses for each the base and draw parents is narrow making it difficult to have convincing differences between the programs. For the base parents, the senior gifted and talented program was seen as least available ( $85 \%$ available) with the middle schools coming next. For the draw parents, the middle classical is perceived as having the least available teachers ( $86 \%$ available) with the middle and senior gifted and talented programs coming next. This may be the result of secondary schools having a different relationship with the parents than elementary schools.

Since the parents are positive about the classes and teachers, it colld be expecter that they would also be satisfied with their child's progress, and $82 \%$ indicated they were. Draw parents ( $85 \%$ ) were more positive-than base parents ( $74 \%$ ). Of the base parents, it seems that parents are least satisfied with their child's progress in the senior gifted and talerted (70\%) and the international studies programs (69\%). Of the draw parents, it is in the middle classical at (77\%) middle gifted and talented ( $80 \%$ ) and, the intemational ( $81 \%$ ) programs that they are the least satisfied with their child's progress.

Finally, the parents were asked about their satisfaction with the bus transportation provided, and $78 \%$ said they were. Whether the parents were base or draw seemed to make little difference (77\% satisfied with base; $80 \%$ with draw). Of the base parents, those in the elementary
classical (70\%), middle classical (73\%), elementary gifted and talented ( $75 \%$ ) and widdle gifted and talented ( $75 \%$ ) were the least satisfied. Of the draw parents, those in the middle gifted and talented (70\%), senior, gifted and talmted ( $75 \%$ ) and middle classical ( $75 \%$ ) were the least satisfied. Transportation is often believed to be a controversial issue, yet parents indicated high levels of satisfaction.

In sumary, the parents were rather pusitive about the special issues tapped by the survey, number of electives, teachers' preparedness, transportation, and class schedule. Draw parents were generally more positive than base parents. Concerning schedule and electives, it seems that the elementary gifted and talented and both the middle school programs were seen least positive (but still positive.) Conceming teacher preparedness and availability, parents felt less positive about the secondary program than elementary programs even though both were still positive. Perceived progress of the child varied considerably by draw and base for programs, with draw parents perceiving the two middle schools and the international programs least favorably and base parents perceiving the senior gifted and talented and intemational programs least favorably. Finally, the parents were positive about the bus transportation, but some comented the rides were too long and a few suggested isolated cases of discipline problens. The consistent pattern is one of variations among highly positive respondents. graphic descriptions of parent survey data are placed at the end of this section.

Analysis of Parent Interviews
The parents who participated in the interviews were uniformly positive about the various programs and almost all would repeat the experience.

The extended day program was seen quite positively by parents. Two put it enthusiastically:
"It's one of the best kept secrets in the school system."
'Everyone is in agreement. Their child is happy."

The parents perceived there had been some inplementation problems, (now resolved). The hours were too limited, minorities were not being adequately served, and extended and regular staffs were insufficiently integrated. They said:
$\checkmark$
"It (implementation) was mass confusion'. It's leveled out."
'The only thing I don't like about extended day is that it needs to be extended thirty minutes longer."
'We don't have the (extended day) magnet schools in the black commity."
'Integrating staff of extended day with the staff of the regular curriculum needs to be looked at."

The international studies program parents liked the diligence of the staff, the structure provided, the atmosphere and the electives. In their words:
'I think they (teachers worked hard.)"
'I like the structure they've got."
'"The thing that excites me is the intemational atmosphere."
. 'I like the program, the electives that they have...I like the principal...The teachers I have to deal with--they seem to a!l be involved in the children themselves."

The parents expressed concern about the adequacy of implementation, distance to the school, and adequacy of facilities.
"I think all the magnet programs had trouble getting started.".
'To far from the house."
"I dislike peeling paint on the ceiling."
"I am aware of the physical plant being old and being run down and that's always a little bit depressing to a group. I think the teachers...are very talented and very committed."

The elementary classical program parents were quite positive about their experiences with the school and magnet program. The parents like the structure, discipline, parent involvement, student response, ard emphasis on the basics. They said:
'I like the concept. : It's a structured "program. I.feel like my children need that structure...'
"I am very happy with tive fact that there seems to be a lot of discipline in the school."
'I Had heard tremendous things about the school about the invoivement of the PTA and the involvement of all the parents at the school as well as the teachers with the child's total like as well as his educational life."
'He loves to go to school and I've noticed the drive that he has, has increazed over the year."
"One of the main things I like about it is that it does stress the basics."
The elementary classical parents expressed some concerns over denying others this program, overiy full curriculum, and the bus ride.
"...There are so many kios out there who are getting in trouble that maybe if they had the attention that kids in a magnet program are getting, it might direct. them away from their life of crime."
'In some cases there are too many things that have to be crammed in a day."
"...If there werer mre classical sehools...they wouldn't hav to ride so far."

The elementary gifted and talented schools were divided into two groups: regular and equity. 'Regular'" programs are those inside the beltline; situations where there are a significant number of magnet students drawn to the school for the specific program offered. "Equity" programs were ones established outside the beltline area. These schools for the most part, retained their previous populations and have no "draw" students. Both groups were very positive about the schools and the program, and would choose the school again. Both parent groups liked the response of their children to the program. The regular GT Program parents noted the value of the heterogeniety of students:
'I like it because of the enthusiasm I've seen in my daughter."
"And now not only does he have his own friends over on our side of town, but he knows what other people are like."

Parents of students in the regular elementary gifted and talented magnet schools also liked the improyed school facilities and parent participation, while draw parents noted the enthusiasm of the staff. In their words:
'Before, the physical plant was not up to merit. Once it was targeted to be a magnet, they tore up tile, they washed our windows, they did a whole lot."
"The parents have really come out in full force. I think if. anything we have too much participation; too many things going on."
'The other thing is just a sense of enthusiasm and comrảerie among the teachers."

GT Equity Program parents expressed concerns primarily about the elaborateness of the elective offerings. One explained:

What disappoints me most is that we would sit down and ponder for days over a schedule...things she would like...only to find out that the periods would be arranged so that the only things she would want would be things she would be unable to take..."

The regular program parents expressed different concems: Overcrowding, distance to the school, and integration of draw parents. They said:
"It's beginning to bulge at the seams. That I don't like."
"I. dislike the distance. I have hesitated to let my children do some things in the aftemoon because I tutor full-time at home and it's impossible to do that and still get over to school and back."
'The thing that' I don't like...is that there is not a coherent group among the parents yet... It's not that they don't want to, it just hasn't developed."

The middle school parents were also quite positive about the school and program. The middle classical parents liked the structure, the emphasis on the basics, and the concept. They said:
"Our daughter has a problem in that she reeds to be structured."
"She does get progress reports before report card time, and I like that. And she tends to have plenty of homework, I like that."
'I think the basics are being stressed. That's what I feel good about and that's what I think my daughter needs."
"Conceptually it was good. It brought back to the parents at least the feeling that you were making choices."

The middle classical parents expressed concerns about elective offerings, the implied threat that accompanied the decision to have magnets and some problems with the school labels. In their words:
'I went over the list (of electives) with her and I haven't the foggiest idea of what those courses are all about."
'My first information on the magnet was that the purpose of it was for racial balance. I got the feeling that if we don't go along with the magnet school program...it was almost a threat... You better watch out or you're really going to be bused."
"A couple of months ago my daughter came to me and said she wanted to go to a rifted and talented magnet, and I asked her, 'why there?'" And' she said, 'Well, mama, the students leam more!' I don't thirk one school is better than another."

The middle gifted and talented parents liked the schools and the program, especially teacher enthusiasm and discipline, student response, and the magnet concept. They said:
"I am very pleased with the school. They have a good principal, and a good staff. They have the interests of the students at heart...They are very strict and seem to have everything well under control."
"I know several teachers too, and I'm very enthused about the attitudes."
'My daughter could be feeling, ill in the moming but she doesn't want to miss."
"I think the magnet concept is good in that if you had a child who had some disability in say, English, who was a genius in math and physics, without the magnet school you wouldn't be able to tap into that, so that's good."

The middle gifted and talented parents expressed concerns about implementation, elective offerings and articulation with the high school.

They said:
'The first few welks were had; then they dim't have the right materials that they needed."
'She got "fluff" courses. Even though she signed up (she's gifted and talented) for a lot of academic courses.'
'I think it's nice to have some choice, but I think they have too many choices."
'There's only one problem I have at this point. There is only one place my son can go when he gets out and that's Enloe. Nothing against Enloe, but they can't hold all these kids that are coming out of middle school in Enloe. It will be too crowded."

The senior gifted and talented program parents were quite positive about their school and program. The parents liked the magnet school, teacher interest, their child's response, and the range of offerings and alternative to busing. They said:
'I think it's been a very positive experience."
"All the teachers I have come in contact with in the program' seem to have a tremendous interest in the program plus the students that are there, and that is something in both the school before that they did not have."
"It gave her an opportmity which is the only opportimity that she had in Raleigh, to work with students that have the same interests she had, without leaving Raleigh and going somewhere else. It meant she could stay at home."
'We chose to stay in the regular part of the school, but she is able to select some courses that she would like to take that are a part of the magnet part. Some of these other courses, they did not have before the magnet started."
'Magnets create an alternative to carving up the city and moving those boundaries every time."

The senior gifted and talented parents expressed some concerns only about the length of classes and coverage of the basics. In their words:
'With the clases cut, it causes a problem in getting as much in the classes as I feel the students need."
"I hope they are getting enough of the basics. That's the only cuncem I have."

In summary, the parents were uniformly positive about the magnet program. Parents voiced what they saw as strengths and areas of concern for each program. Draw parents were obviously more concerned about issues of bus transportation and obtaining electives that were appropriate to their choice of program. Elective offerings seem to be a topic of general concern, selection and depth of course were spécific areas mentioned.

## Summary of Parent Attitudes

The parents were strongly positive in their overall assessments of the magnet schools and programs. They saw magnet schools as more desirable than forced busing. Draw parents were more enthusiastic than the generally enthusiastic base parents. Classical middle parents and 'base" extended day parents were the least of the enthusiastic parent groups about their programs in the survey. Some concerns were expressed in both the surveys and interviews with elective offerings, bus transportation, and coverage of the basics. Yet in every case, more than a majority viewed the issues favorably. Draw parents tended, to be more concerned about elective offerings . and bus transportation while base parents were more concerned about the length of time spent in the basic courses, as might be expected.

## ALYSIS OF PARENŤS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM TYPI

QUESTION \#1 -- THIS YEAR MY CHILD LIKES HIS OR HER SCHOOL


## LY'SIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM TYPE

 qüestion *2 - this year I II ike my child's school

| ANALYSIS OF PARENT SCHOOL SURVEY BY PROGRAM TYPE QUESTION 非3 COMPARED TO LAST YEAR - I LIKE MY CHITD'S SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAGNET TYPE | STAIISTIC | SAME | MDRE | LESS | TOTALS |
| Elementary gified and talenied | \# OF STUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 1873 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1638 \\ 41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 523 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | 4034 |
| MIDDIE GIFIED AND TALENIED | \# OF STUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 476 \\ 41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 470 \\ 41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 203 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 1149 |
| SENIOR GIFIED AND TALENTED | \# of students PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 404 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 304 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | 53 7 | 761 |
| INIERNATIONAL | \# OF STUDENTS PERCENTACE | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | 23 8 | 287 |
| EIFMENTARY CLASSICAL | \# OF STUDENTS PERCENTACE | $\begin{array}{r} 463 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 466 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \\ .7 \end{array}$ | 1001 |
| MIDDIE CLASSICAL | \# OF STIUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 513 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 307 \\ 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | 977 |
| EXIIENDED DAY | \# OF STUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 382 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 32 \end{array}$ | 35 6 | 617 |
| ALJ PROGRAMS COMBINED | \# OF STUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 4240 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3520 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1006 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | 8826 |

## LYSIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM TYPE

 question $\%$ - I would recommend this school to other parents

## JALYSIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM TYF

question *s - I am pleased with my child's scheoule
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## YSIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM TYPE

 OUESTION - CLASS PERIODS ARE LONG ENOUGH

## JALYSIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM TYF

question \#7 - I feel ay child's teachers are well-prepared


## ALYSIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM TYP

 question *a - my child's teachers are availabie to talkMM
ITARY GIFt NO


## NALYSIS OF ARENTS SCHOOL SURV́VY - BY PROGRAM

question *1! - I am satisfied with the bus transportation OGRAM


## LYSIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SURVEY - BY PROGRAM• TYPI

 QUESTION *12 - I AM SAYISfiED WITH MY Child'S progress

ATALYSIS OF PARENTS SCHOOL SJJRVEY BY PROGRAM TYPE QUESTION 非13 ARE YOU SATISFIED WLiH THE ELECIIVES

| MAGNET TYPE | STATISTIC | YES | NO ElECITVE | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETEMENTARY GIFTED AND TALFNIED | PERCENTACE | 82 | 3 | 15 |
| MIDDLE GIFTED AND TALENTED | PERCENTAGE | 77 | 1 | 22 |
| SENIOR GIFTED AND TALENTED | PERCENTAGE | 88 | 5 | 7 |
| INIERNATIONAL STUDIES | PERCENTAGE | 86 | 4 | 10 |


| QGESTION 非4 ThE CHOICE OF EIECTIVES IS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAGNET TYPE | STATISTIC | ADEQUATE | TOS FEW | TOO MANY |
| ELEMENTAPY GIFIED AND TALENTED | PERCENTAGE | 71 | 10 | 18 |
| MDDDLE GIFTED AND TAIENTED | PE ' INTAGE | 64 | 8 | 29 |
| SENIOR GIFIED AND TALENTED | PERCENTAGE | 81 | 8 | 11 |
| INIERNATIONAL SIUDIES | PERCENTAGE | 76 | 14 | 10 |

## ANALYSIS OF SURVEY DATA

## Population Characteristics

The elementary school students who returned responses included 4,608 third, fourth and fifth graders. The questiomaire sought information in order to better describe the population. The characteristics of the population were:

| Race | 32\% | (1475) | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 65\% | (3011) | White |
|  | 1\% | (60) | Asian |
|  | 1\% | (58) | Other |
| Sex | 50\% | (2062) | Male |
|  | 50\% | (2073) | Female |
| Grade Level | 30\% | (1411) | Third |
|  | 32\% | (1497) | Fourth |
|  | 38\% | (1676) | Fifth |
| Magnet Status | 72\% | (2987) | Base |
|  | 28\% | (1164) | Draw |

## General Assessment

The elementary magnet school students were asked four questions to try and assess their general opinions of the magnet programs. The elementary students strongly agreed (87\%) that 'This is a good school." Further, both base students and draw students tended to perceive their school as "good" with $86 \%$ of the base students and $90 \%$ of the draw students agreeing with the item. All the programs seemed to share the same relative status based on this item and this pattern remains the same regardless of whether the student was base or draw. The number of students who
were designated as "draw" population is too insufficient to allow analysis. Therefore, these responses will not be analyzed for the remainder of this study. It is suspected that this will result in an error in the determination of the base and draw populations although many of the students who participate in the extended day activities are base students.

Students were also asked if school was "fun' this year. Again a. high percentage ( $82 \%$ ) thought it was "fun this year." ill the programs were rated by students within a fifteen percentage point range for the base students and within an eleven point range for the draw students. While still rather positive about the program, the classical and extended day students were-less-likely to agree school is fum, and this is true regardless of whether the students were base or draw for the classical magnet program.

As a third measure of the elementary students' overall assessments of the magnet programs, the students were asked if school was 'better this year then last year." Overal.1, the students largely agreed (78\%). Again, however, while generally positive, classical and extended day programs tended to have the lowest percent agreeing with the item. Whether the students were base or draw seems to have made little difference.

The last measure of the students' overall assessment asked if they "would choose to come to this school rather than another school." Students ( $67 \%$ ) tended to agree with this item, but somewhat less strongly than the other overall assessment items.

In sumary, the mitiple measures of the elementary students' overall assessments of their magnet schools revealed a generally positive portrait. A majority of students, regardless of program, base or draw, seemed to approve of their school and of the new program in place. The base extended day and the classical base and draw students tended to be the least positive. It may be that extended day base students see little change in the academic program and that classical students may view the school program as less innovative and more traditional and thus tend to be somewhat less enthusiastic.

## Special Issues

The elementary students were asked about a number of special issues: their new subjects, the changing of classes, the school bus ride, and parent-student cormmication about school. The students ( $89 \%$ ) agreed they liked their 'hew subjects." The percent agreeing was similar across programs and whether the students were base or draw. The question is not applicable to the classical or extended day students. These students may have possibly been responding to new initiatives at the school, but technicaily should be excluded from the analysis.

The students (82\%) also liked "changing rooms fo:: different classes." Again, the percent agreement was similar across prograntis whether the students were base ar draw. Again, this item is not relevant to the classical or extended day students.

Seventy percent of the elementary magnet program students responding to the survey rode the bus to school. The students were the least positive about this experience although $56 \%$ of the bus riders agreed with the statement, "I like to ride the school bus." This pattern was maintained regardless of the specific program and whether they were base or draw.

The last special issue concerned whether 'my parents and I talk about school a lot." A majority agreed (67\%). Again, what program the students were in and whether they were base or draw made little difference in their responses to this item. On special issues, the elementary students were again positive. They strongly like the new subjects and changing rooms, but were less enthusiastic about the bus ride. The students tend to talk to their parents about school a lot. Program type and whether the student is base or draw seems to have made little difference in their perceptions.

## Analysis of Elementary Student Interviews:

Elementary students were interviewed in five groups: extended day, intemational studies, classical: gi.fted and talented. The gifted and talented students were divided into two gifted and talented programs. These two categories were: students attending the five gifted and talented schools within the beltline and have a significant number of both base and draw students; and students attending the five gifted and talented programs outside the beltline (the "equity" programs.) The equity programs have very few "draw" students.

The extended day draw students said they liked the activities provided.
'I like...you have different things each week, like one week you have cooking or science. The next week you have industrial arts or something like that. I like a lot of different things each week."

The stulents were concerned about the age mixing in the program and the disproportionate responsibilities placed on older students, the access to and effective use of regular school equipment, and the perceived overstructuring of the program. While the students liked their school and the extended day activities, they also saw the program as a trade-off. The students themselves would rather be home but as one student said he was in the program because "I have to." The students know that their parents work and have to arrange for their supervision, but even interesting activities did not make them view the program as more desireable than home. Rather it is a continuation of directed activity:
'Like you go to a classroom right after snack or something like that. The teachers, they think that you have been not doing too much for half an hour, but things are tight in the schedule like one minute you are in one classroom and the next minute you are elsewhere."


The international studies students were generally positive about their experience: "I like it and I think it is a good school to go to." They liked changing classes and choosing electives:
'Last year we didn't switch any classes and I didn't learn that much last year."
"They teach more interesting stuff this-year...We study other countries."

The international studies students were concerned about not getting electives of their choice, time on tasks, and supervision on the bus. Some exerpts from their statements reflect this:
'Sometimes when you pick your electives...and when everything you want is gone, they put you in ones you don't want."
"In sone classes you just need more time."
"I think bus drivers should be able to control the kids more."
The classical gifted and talented program students were quite positive about their experiences. One classical studies student said:
'It's a good experience. Because there are a lot of good things that you didn't have last year."

The classical students expressed concern over the bus rides, facilities, and school discipline. They said:
'Well, I don't like that I have this long bus ride..."
"They never have enough supplies...". ;
'About the only thing I'd really like to change is that our discipline plan...I wish some other kids would obey it more."
"We have this little closet and on the ceiling, it leaks when it rains."

The gifted and talented students varied between the equity and regular gifted and talented programs. Both were quite positive:
"It was fun and I made a lot of new friends. I leamed a lot of things that I wouid not have learned." (regular program)
'Tast year, that was sort of boring. This year it's better. They are trying to get you to learn more." (equity program) --
In the inner city gifted and talented schools have students who specially selected the program and thus are transported some distance. These students said of the bus ride:
"Our bus is a little more crowded." case at the equity schools who have new friends and a student population to integrate.

Both regular and equity gifted and talented students were concerned about elective offerings. They said:
'When you sign-up for electives' they sound so good and they are not always good."
Students disagreed about whether they wanted additional time spent on basics or electives, but one student saw an integration of these alternatives:
'I wish we had more basics in our electives." .

- In sumary, the student interviews reveal that the elementary students are positive about the magnet programs. The possible exception to that pattern concerns the extended day student where even an interesting program
camnot compete with the alternative of being at home. Concerns about length of bus ride, student behavior on buses and integration into a new student body were concerns primarily for those students who volunteered and were selected to attend the magnet All students shared concerns over elective offerings and time spent in classes.

Summary of Elementary Student Attitudes
$\therefore$
In both the survey and the interviews, students were the least positive about the extended day program, possibly because it competes with being at horre and family. The survey found classical students less positive than did the interviews. This may beobecause classical students see their program as tranditional and thus Jess exciting even though they liked the experience. In'general; though, the elementary students were positive regardless of program type.

Draw students were not discernably different from base students, except in the concerins with their unique problems of flengthy bus rides, stcudent behavior on buses and integration into a new student population. Draw and base students seemed to share concerns over elective offerings and time spent in classes. Graphic descriptions of elementary student responses can be found on the following pages.
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 question \#9 - my parents and i talk about school a lot

SECONDARY STIDENT ATTITUDES
ANALYSIS OF SURVEY DATA •

## Population Characteristics

The population of secondary sclool students returning questionnaires included 4,844 sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, and eleventh grade students. The characteristics of che population were as follows:

| Race | 33\% | (1568) | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 65\% | (3147) | White |
|  | 10\% | (59) | Asian |
|  | 1\% | (36) | Other |
| Sex | 52\% | (2505) | Male |
|  | 48\% | (2305) | Female |
| Grade Level | 33\% | (1591) | Sixth Grade |
|  | 27\% | (1291) | Seventh Grade |
|  | 22\% | (1084) | Eight Grade |
|  | 11\% | (553) | Ninth Grade |
|  | 7\% | (318) | Eleventh Grade |

## General Assessment

The population of secondary magnet students were asked two questions to try.and determine their general assessment of the magnet program. The first question asked whether students liked school better this year than lest. Overall, students tended to like scheol better this year ( $63 \%$ ). inere rere some di-Ferences, however, between program type and whether studrats were base or deaw. Among base middle gifted and talented program students, $67 \%$ liked school better. The approval tating increased siightly among draw middle girted and talenteu program students to $70 \%$. A greater difference whs foind in the "enior gifted and talented program in which $61 \%$ of the basa stidents nd $77 \%$ of the draw stucents indicated liking school
better this year. The middle classical students were the least enthusiastic, and were essentially equivocal in their assessment of school this year over last.

When asked "If I could start this year over, I would choose to come to this school rather than another', the response within all program types was positive ( $69 \%$ overall). Again, there were differences between program type and base or draw. Within base middle gifted and talented program students ( $65 \%$ ) indicated a willingness to stay in the same school. Among draw middle gifted and talented program students the percentage indicating willingness to stay in the same school increased to $75 \%$. Ainong senior giffed and talented program, a similar pattern was evident with $70 \%$ of the base students and $89 \%$ of the draw students agreeing with the statement. Again middle classical students were less likely to indicate willingness to repeat the experience with less dramatic differences between base and draw. Fifty-four percent of the base middle classical students and $62 \%$ of the draw middle classical students agreed with the statement.

In sumary the overall assessment of the magnet program is positive. With the exception of base middle classical students equivocal response to the iten, "I like school better," most students responded positively to the questiomaire items. The middle classical students were consistently the least enthusiastic.

## Special Issues

The secondary students were asked about a number of special issues. Could they schectule desired elective subjects and did they like--their electives, the classroom period, and the school bus?

Middle and senior gifted and talented program students regardless of base or draw, indicated general ability to schedule the electives desired ( $77 \%$ ) and $80 \%$, respectively. The middle classical program students seemed to have more of a problem with $51 \%$ of base and $54 \%$ of draw reporting that they were able to schedule desired electives.

Middle and senior gifted and talented program students generally liked the elective subjects and draw students reported slight but consistent relative 'liking' of elective subjects. For senior gifted and talented programs the positive rating reached $91 \%$ for draw students, compared to 84\% for base. For draw middle gifted and talented program students the positive rating reached $86 \%$ compared to $70 \%$ among base students. In the middle classical program the positive rating was $74 \%$ for draw students, $68 \%$ for base.

Middle classical students, regardless of whether they were base ( $88 \%$ ) or draw ( $87 \%$ ), were more likely to consider class periods long enough than were middle and senior gifted and talented program students. While senior gifted and talented draw students were less likely to consider class periods long enough (70\%) than their corresponding base students ( $82 \%$ ), middle gifted and talented base ( $80 \%$ ) and draw ( $81 \%$ ) were similar in agreeing class periods were long enough.

All the secondary school respondents reported riding the bus. While a majority of students agreed bus transportation was satisfactory there were only slight differences between programs and between base and draw. Middle gifted and talented base (68\%) and draw (67\%) were similar in their
view of bus transportation but their rating was lower than both senior gifted and talented program and middle classical program students. Senior gifted and talented program base students ( $80 \%$ ) were slightly more likely to respond positively than were draw students ( $75 \%$ ). Middle classical program draw students ( $83 \%$ ) were slightly more likely to be satisfied than were base students ( $76 \%$ ).

In sumary, with regard to the special issues, the secondary school students were generally positive. Within all programs most students liked their elective subjects, although only about half of the middle classical students reported ability to schedule electives desired. There was general agreement among students that class periods were long enough, although senior gifted and talented draw students exhibited lower rates of agreement. Bus transportation was considered by most students to be satisfactory. Middle gifted and talented students were less positive on this item, however, than students in other programs.

## Analysis of Secondary Student. Interviews

The secondary students were interviewed in three groups representing the middle classical, middle gifted and talented, and senior gifted and talented programs, respectively. In general, the students were supportive of all three programs. The students from the senior gifted and talrated program said:
'Fantastic."
"I enjoyed it. I liked it a whole lot. I think it gave me a chance to meet a lot of people that were interested in the things that I was. You get to work with them throughout the year. I took the courses I liked."

The senior students, although quite positive, did express some concerns about insufficient time between classes, the bus ride, elective offerings and materials and equipment. They said:
"The time between classes shoule be longer."
". ..very long bus ride..."
"At the beginning or the year, we did not have any equipment."
'They gave you a thick guide then they came back and it had only two sheets of paper on it. I $m \in m$, half the stuff was not there which was offered. This was after you had filled out your schedule and taken it home so your parents could see what you wanted to take."

The midile gifted and talented students refrained from making over assessment • ar manr positive remarks, and said they "liked it." Scian of the positise cosents were:
"., ki get no:e classes, you get mree electives. I mean you get mare chanes to see what you rant to do."
"I ite 2 teachers better recause they don't drill as much."
'Tt • year you have more $\because$..nsi.bility."

Ti? middle gifted and talented rude Expresen: acens with various aspects of bus transportation (duraiion, overcrowding, conditions), and adequac: of existing facil. $\llcorner$ : 3 . They said:
"Our bus, like five ferple have to stand up."
'Tve have only fifteen people on our bus.'"
'Make the activity bus go where you live.'
"The rite takes so long."
'The rat needs to be fixed."
'The echool has a real small cafeteria."
"We reed an exterminator."
"T. Wid to sign up for electives, my whole class got something but me."

The ridcile classicil students generally liked their schools, and sperfically liker the electives new this year. They said:
'I think it' : nice because we learn more about subjects than we Us ist ear, because we get deeper involved with them."
"They kiow low to teach."
"I like the electives and interest courses."
"J tink it's nice to have electives that we can base our future on, so we can be more skillful in what we do."

However, their overall assessments were more tempered. It may be that the classical program seems more serious about their mi ainn:
'Leārned a lot of social studies."
.'Experiences were interesting and I learned a lot."
"Some ciasses were fum. Some weren't."

The concerns students expressed seemed to be consistent with their perception of the school. They said:
'I don't like some of the people, most of the rules."
"I dm't like the discipline plan because I think it's too strict. Just for a little thing, you have to be punished. In a way I do imderstand, the rules do calm the students down."
'We don't get a lot of time between classes."
"I don't think the classes are long enough..."
'It's like this, some of the teachers are too strict and others are just not strict enough.!'.
"I didn't get a lot of choice...I would've liked some choice."

In summary, the secondary students were generally positive about their experiences with the magnet programs. The middle school student seems to be less enthusiastic than the senior high students. In the senior and middle gifted and talented programs, students express concerns with various aspects of bus transportation, and the adequacy of facilities and equipment. Students in all the programs had concerns about elective offerings. The middle classical students like the school and especially the electives, but temper their enthusiasm by viewing the school as being a strict, serious program.

## Summary of Secondary Student Attitudes

The secondary students indicated in both the surveys and interviews that they were generally positive about their experiences in school and with the magnet program. The senior high gifted and talented students were quite enthusiastic about their program. The middle gifted and talented students were less positive, while the middle classical students were least positive. It may be that the strict and serious image of the classical magnet dampers some student enthusiasm, but note that electives are popular. Draw students were not discernibly different from base students, except in the concerns with their unique problems of lengthy bus rides. Graphic descriptions of secondary student responses are found on the following pages.
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## ANALYSIS OF ATIITIUDES OF SECONDARY STUDENTS BY BASE AND DRAW QUESTION $\ddagger$ \# 2 - I LIKE SCHOOL BEITER THIS YEAR THAN LAST YEAR

| MAGVET TYPE | STATISTIC | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIDDLE GIFIED AND TALENIED BASE | \# OF STIDENTS | 765 | 389 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 66 | 34 |
| DRAW | \# OF STIUENTS | 752 | 313 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 71 | 29 |
| SENIOR GIFTED AND TALENIED BASE | \# OF STUDENTS | 265 | 158 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 63 | 37 |
| DRAW | \# OF STUDENTS | 319 | 94 |
|  | PERTENTAGE | 77 | 23 |
| MIDILE CLASSICAL STUDIES | \# CF STUDENTS | 466 | 504 |
|  | PERCENLAGE | 48 | 52 |
| DRAW | \# OF SITUENTS | 339 | 275 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 55 | 45 |
|  | TF OF STUDENTS | 2906 | 1733 |
| ALI PROGRAMS COMBINED | PERCENTAGE | 63 | 37 |

QUESTION :18 - I NOULD CHOSE TO COME TO THIS SCHOOL INSIEAD OF ANOTHER
MAGVET TYPE

| MIDDLE GIFTED AND TALENIED BASE | \# OF STUDENTS <br> PERCFNLAGE | 743 | 401 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 65 | 35 |  |


| IF OF SIUDENTS | 796 | 265 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PERCENTACE | 75 | 25 |


| SENIOR GIFIED | And TALFINTE SASE | \# OF STUDENTS | 313 | 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PERCENTAGE | 75 | 25 |
| DRAW |  | \# OF SIUDENTS | 370 | 4.3 |
|  |  | PERCENTAGE | 90 | 10 |


| MIDDIE CLASSICAL STUDIES BASE | \# OF SIUDENTS | 556 | 398 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 58 | 42 |

DRAN \# OF STUDENTS 386
PERCEMTAGE 64
\# OF SIUDENTS 3164
69
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ANALYSIS OF AITITUDES OF SECONDARY STUDENTS BY BASE AND DRAN QUESTION 非 ABLE TO SCHEDULE MOST OF DESIRED EIECTIVES

| MAGEET TYPE | STATISTIC | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIDDLE GIFTED AND TALENIED BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS PER．CENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 888 \\ 76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 278 \\ 24 \end{array}$ |
| DPA ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 非 OF STUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 825 \\ 76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 257 \\ 24 \end{array}$ |
| SENIOR GIFTED AND TALEMTED BASE | \＃OF SIUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 353 \\ 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| DR4＇S | \＃OF STUDENCS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 334 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| MIDDLE CLASSICAL STUDIES BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS PERCENLAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 475 \\ 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 437 \\ 48 \end{array}$ |
| DRAIS | \＃F OF STUDENTS PEKCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 320 \\ 56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 252 \\ 44 \end{array}$ |
| ALJ PROGRAMS COMBINED | \＃OF STUDENTS PERCENTAGE | $\begin{array}{r} 3195 \\ 56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1390 \\ 44 \end{array}$ |

QUESTION 㸷－I LIKE THE ELECTIVE SUBJECTS I AM TAKING

| MAGETET TYPE－ | STATISIIC | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIDDLE GLFIED AND TALEMTED BASE | \＃OF SIUDENTS | 874 | 245 |
|  | PFRCENTAGE | 78 | 22 |
| DRAT | \＃OF STUDENTS | 898 | 145 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 86 | 14 |
| SENIOR GIFTED AND＇LALENTED BASE | \＃OF STUDENIS | 369 | 56 |
|  | PERCENTACE | 87 | 13 |
| DRAW | \＃OF STTJENTS | 374 | 43 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 90 | 10 |
| YIMDLE CIASSICAL SIUDIES BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS | 590 | 288 |
|  | PEP．CENTAGE | 67 | 33 |
| DRAW | \＃OF STUDEVTS | 400 | 156 |
|  | PERCENLAGE | 72 | 28 |
|  | \＃OF STLDENTS | 3505 | 934 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 79 | 21 |

ANALYSIS OF ATTITUDES OF SECONDARY STUDENTS BY BASE AND DRAW QUESTION 非－CLASS PERIODS ARE LONG ENOUGH

| MACNET TYPE | STATISTIC | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIDSE GIFTED AND TALENTED BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS | 914 | 242 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 79 | 21 |
| DRAIN | \＃OF STIUDENTS | 860 | 217 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 80 | 20 |
| SENIOR GIFTED AND TALENTED BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS | 351 | 81 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 81 | 19 |
| DRAW $\sim$ | \＃OF STUDENTS | 298 | 117 |
|  | PCRCENTAGE | 72 | 28 |
| MIDDLE CLASSICAL BASE | 非 OF STUDENTS | 843 | 135 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 86 | 14 |
| DRAF | \＃OF STUDENTS | 540 | 77 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 83 | 12 |


| AIL PROGRAMS COMBINED | FOF STUDENTS | 3806 | 869 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 81 | 19 |

QUESTION 非－BUS TRANSPORTATION IS SATISFACIORY

| MAGNET TYPE | STATISTIC | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIDDIE GIFTED AND TALENIED BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS | 618 | 298 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 67 | 33 |
| DRANT | \＃OF STUDENTS | 621 | 610 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 67 | 33 |
| SENIOR GIFIED AND TAIENIED BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS | 174 | 42 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 81 | 19 |
| DRAW | \＃OF STUDENTS | 250 | 82 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 75 | 25 |
| VIDDIE CLASSICAL BASE | \＃OF STUDENTS | 501 | 168 |
|  | PERCENTAGE | 75 | 25 |
| DRAW | \＃OF STUDENTS | 402 | 85 |
|  | PERCENLAGE | 83 | 17 |
| ALI PROGRAMS COMBINED | \＃OF STUDENTS PERCENTACE | $2566$ | $\begin{array}{r} 985 \\ 28 \end{array}$ |


[^0]:    

    * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

[^1]:    *Poe and Wiley were made International Studies Magnets and by program design, a variety of non-majority groups were to be represented and assigned to these schools. Racial balance was not an issue.
    **By design, these schools were not made magnet schools and therefore, racial balance and capacity were not addressed during 1982-83 in Schools of Choice Programs.
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